AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

119TH CONGRESS
2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT
119–715

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2027

R E P O R T

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

together with

MINORITY VIEWS

[TO ACCOMPANY H.R. 9495]



JUNE 26, 2026.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE
64–002 WASHINGTON : 2026

CONTENTS

	Page
Totals	1
Committee Budget Review Process	2
Introduction	2
Definition of Program, Project, and Activity	5
Reprogramming Guidance	5
Funding Increases	6
Congressional Special Interest Items	6
Classified Annex	6
Committee Recommendations by Major Category	6
Military Personnel	6
Operation and Maintenance	7
Procurement	7
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	7
Revolving and Management Funds	7
Other Department of Defense Programs	7
Related Agencies	7
Multiyear Procurement Contracts for Critical Munitions	7
Multiyear Procurement for Aircraft	8
Joint Strike Fighter Funding Incongruence	9
F/A–XX	9
E–7 Wedgetail	10
Autonomy and Counter-Small Unmanned Aircraft System Initiatives	11
Artificial Intelligence and Law of War	11
Compute Costs for Scaled Artificial Intelligence	12
Efficiencies from Business Process Modernization	12
Enterprise Industrial Base Investments	13
Financial Management Execution Benchmarks	14
Comptroller Modernization Initiatives	15
Budget Line Item Consolidation	15
Budget Documentation for Mandatory Request	15
Reports on Appropriation Status by Fiscal Year Program and Subaccounts	16
Budget or Appropriations Liaison Support to the Appropriations Committees	16
Department of Defense Data Center Projects	17
TITLE I. MILITARY PERSONNEL	19
Reprogramming Guidance for Military Personnel Accounts	20
Military Personnel Special Interest Items	20
Summary of End Strength	20
Overall Active End Strength	20
Overall Selected Reserve End Strength	20
Summary of Military Personnel End Strength	21
End Strength Growth	21
Military Personnel, Army	23
Military Personnel, Navy	25
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	27
Military Personnel, Air Force	29
Military Personnel, Space Force	31
Reserve Personnel, Army	33
Reserve Personnel, Navy	34
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	35
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	36
National Guard Personnel, Army	37
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	38
TITLE II. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	39
Reprogramming Guidance for Operation and Maintenance Accounts	40
Reprogramming Guidance for Special Operations Command	41
Operation and Maintenance Special Interest Items	41
Quarterly Operation and Maintenance Updates	41

Page

Operation and Maintenance Budget Execution Data 42
Contingency Response Forces 42
Access to Fresh and Locally Sourced Foods 42
British Indian Ocean Territory 43
Community Noise Mitigation Program 43
Holocaust Educational Programs 44
Guam Living Quarters Allowances 44
Military Criminal Investigative Organizations 44
Organic Industrial Base 44
Consumer Goods 45
Operation and Maintenance, Army 46
Fort Bragg Infrastructure 48
Fort Polk Modernization 48
Operation and Maintenance, Navy 50
Naval Air Station Corpus Christi 52
Littoral Combat Ship Sustainment and Modernization 52
Fire and Emergency Services Forces 52
P–8 Poseidon Parking Aprons 53
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps 54
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force 55
Air Force Special Operations Infrastructure 57
U–2 Dragon Lady 57
Operation and Maintenance, Space Force 58
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide 59
Defense Security Cooperation Agency Programs 62
Baltic States Security Enhancements 62
Poland 62
Egypt 63
Department of Defense Support for Gaza Ceasefire and Stabilization Efforts 63
Industrial Base Policy 63
Gold Star Advisory Council 64
Quality-of-Life Grant Program 64
Military and Family Life Counseling Program 64
Grooming Standards Evaluation 65
Northern Triangle Countries 65
Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration Program 65
Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund 67
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve 68
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve 69
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve 70
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve 71
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard 72
Fort Harrison Facility Modernization 73
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard 74
Point Defense Battle Lab 75
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces 76
Environmental Restoration, Army 77
Environmental Restoration, Navy 78
PFAS Remediation at Former Naval Installations 79
Environmental Restoration, Air Force 80
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide 81
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites 82
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid 83
Budget Justification Materials and Reporting Requirements 84
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account 85
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account 86
TITLE III. Procurement 87
Reprogramming Guidance for Procurement Accounts 88
Funding Increases 88
Procurement Special Interest Items 88
Joint Light Tactical Vehicle 88
Advance Procurement 89
Budget Request Exhibits for Procurement Accounts 89
Aircraft Procurement, Army 90
Missile Procurement, Army 91
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army 92

Page

Procurement of Ammunition, Army 93
Other Procurement, Army 95
Persistent Elevated Sensing and Aerostat Systems 99
Aircraft Procurement, Navy 100
Weapons Procurement, Navy 102
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps 104
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy 105
Shipbuilding Accountability 107
Submarine Construction 107
Submarine Industrial Base 108
Domestic Shipbuilding Industrial Base 109
Large Surface Combatant (BBG(X)) 110
Shipbuilding Workforce 111
Other Procurement, Navy 112
Procurement, Marine Corps 116
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force 118
Missile Procurement, Air Force 121
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force 122
Other Procurement, Air Force 123
Procurement, Space Force 126
Portfolio Acquisition Executive Structure 127
Space Force Acquisitions 127
Dynamic Space Operations 127
Procurement, Defense-Wide 129
Defense Supply Chain Packaging 131
Medical Countermeasures for Overseas Personnel 131
Meals Ready-to-Eat 131
Defense Production Act Purchases 132
Defense Strategic Capital Credit Program 133
National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account 134
National Guard and Equipment Account Guidance 135
TITLE IV. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION 136
Reprogramming Guidance for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Accounts 137
Funding Increases 137
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Special Interest Items 137
8(a) Business Development Program 137
Advanced Manufacturing for Critical Munitions Production 138
Helium–3 Supply Chain 138
University Affiliated Research Centers 138
Modular Open Systems Approach and Competition 138
Defense Innovation OnRamp Hubs 139
Skilled Trades 139
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army 140
xTech Overwatch 153
Hard Chrome Alternative Coating Qualification 153
Counter-Unmanned Aircraft systems Software Upgrades for Tactical Communications 153
Human Performance Optimization 153
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy 154
Coastal Environmental Research 164
Underway Replenishment 164
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force 165
AFWERX 176
Air Force Requirements and Resource Allocation 176
B–52 High Fidelity Simulator Modernization 176
Advanced Thermal Management for Next Generation Manned and Unmanned Aircraft 177
Looking Glass—Next 177
Autonomous Cargo Aircraft 177
Software Acquisition Pathways 177
Data Sharing Pilot Program 178
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Space Force 179
OCX Cancellation and AEP Path Forward 182
Space Program Classification Assessment 182
Competitive Space Transport Capabilities 182
Protected Tactical Satellite Communications 183

Page

National Reconnaissance Office 183
Golden Dome for America Fund 184
Golden Dome for America Fund 185
Energy Generation to Implement Executive Order 14186 185
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide 186
Artificial Intelligence-Enabled Rapid Response Antiviral Platform 197
Critical Technology Areas and Contested Logistics 197
Cultivated Meat 197
Government Management and Oversight of Artificial Intelligence Security 197
National Hypersonic Ground Test and Digital Engineering Center 198
Test and Evaluation Science and Technology 198
Human Performance .....
Unmanned Systems Testing in Global Positioning System Impaired Environments 199
Workforce Development in Manufacturing and Skilled Trades 199
Persistent Wideband Radio Frequency Monitoring Capability 200
Prioritization of Domestic Battery Technology 200
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense 201
Test and Evaluation Activities 202
TITLE V. REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS 203
Defense Working Capital Funds and National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund 204
TITLE VI. OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS 205
Military Health Program 206
Reprogramming Guidance for the Military Health Program 210
Carryover 211
Military Medical Manpower 211
Electronic Health Records 211
Medical Research 212
Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Program 212
Peer-Reviewed ALS Research Program 213
Peer-Reviewed Toxic Exposures Research Program 213
Peer-Reviewed Arthritis Research 214
Combat Casualty Care 214
Fully Operational Hospitals at Army Training Centers 215
USUHS CHSR Pharmaceutical Supply Chain 215
Suicide Prevention 215
Support for Access to Mental Health Care and Training 216
Access to Chiropractic Care 217
Metastatic Cancer Research 217
Military Family Limb Loss and Limb Difference Support 217
Maternal Health Services and Support 217
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense 219
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense 220
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities Support 221
Office of Inspector General 222
TITLE VII. RELATED AGENCIES 223
National and Military Intelligence Programs 223
Classified Annex 223
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund 223
Intelligence Community Management Account 223
TITLE VIII. GENERAL PROVISIONS 224
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS 233
Minority Views 233
Full Committee Votes 236
Comparative Statement of Budget Authority 247
Comparison with the Budget Resolution 251
Five-Year Outlay Projections 251
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments 251
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives 251
Program Duplication 252
Committee Hearings 252
Compliance with Rule XIII, CL. 3(e) (Ramseyer Rule) 253
Changes in Application of Existing Law 253
Appropriations Not Authorized by Law 264
Transfer of Funds 265

Page
Rescissions of Funds 267
Disclosure of Earmarks and Congressionally Directed Spending Items 267

119TH CONGRESS
2d Session
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
REPORT
119–715

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2027

JUNE 26, 2026.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. CALVERT, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

R E P O R T

together with

MINORITY VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 9495]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying Act making appropriations for the Department of Defense, and for other purposes, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2027.

TOTALS

Appropriations for most military functions of the Department of Defense are provided for in the accompanying Act for fiscal year 2027. This Act does not provide appropriations for military construction, military family housing, civil defense, and military nuclear warheads, for which requirements are considered in connection with other appropriations Acts.

The President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 for activities funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2027 totals $1,072,210,299,000 in new budget obligational authority.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2027

[Amounts in thousands]

RECAPITULATION			
	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
Title I—Military Personnel	205,121,200	204,152,890	−968,310
Title II—Operation and Maintenance	338,624,638	336,136,438	−2,488,200
Title III—Procurement	257,535,428	247,552,717	−9,982,711
Title IV—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	218,791,608	221,010,987	+2,219,379
Title V—Revolving and Management Funds	7,507,993	4,762,393	−2,745,600
Title VI—Other Department of Defense Programs	43,997,432	45,118,689	+1,121,257
Title VII—Related Agencies	1,105,000	1,089,350	−15,650
Title VIII—General Provisions	.....	12,859,835	+12,859,835
Total, Department of Defense	1,072,683,299	1,072,683,299	.....
Total, mandatory and discretionary	1,072,724,299	1,072,724,299	.....

COMMITTEE BUDGET REVIEW PROCESS

During its review of the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027, and execution of appropriations from prior fiscal years, the Subcommittee on Defense held six open hearings and six classified briefings during the period of March 2026 through June 2026. Hearings were held in open session, except when the security classification of the material to be discussed presented no alternative but to conduct those classified briefings in closed session.

INTRODUCTION

The Committee's recommendation for fiscal year 2027 represents a historic and overdue investment in national security at a critical inflection point given the current threat environment. As the United States faces enormous and complex technological, industrial, economic, and diplomatic challenges, the nation is actively in conflict with persistent and increasing threats across every domain. It is imperative that the Armed Forces, and entire national security apparatus, are sufficiently equipped to dominate any battlespace, deter conflict when possible, and adapt to rapidly evolving threat environments.

Therefore, the Committee recommendation fully matches the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 totaling $1,072,210,299,000 for the Department of Defense. This Act reflects a thoughtful commitment to meet the challenge and pace of progress presented by adversaries and competitors to the nation.

To this end, the Committee recommendation supports the President's budget request to appropriately prioritize critical, simultaneous modernization efforts. Numerous next generation weapon systems are advancing through various stages of development, including sixth-generation air dominance platforms, hypersonic weapons, and unmanned systems. Priority investments also include aggressive shipbuilding and aircraft procurement; integrated command and control systems; long range fires; air and missile defense; advanced autonomous systems; and enhanced counter drone systems.

Among the most critical are the components of the nuclear triad, all undergoing concurrent upgrade and replacement. The land, sea, and air strategic forces have not been modernized in decades, with critical systems nearing the end of their reliable service lives. The Committee recommendation includes resources to drive the Sentinel program, the *Columbia*-class program, and the B–21 Raider toward timely success.

This recommendation also prioritizes the "high ground" in space. The race for presence, situational awareness, influence, and ultimately dominance in the space domain has not only arrived, but it is continually intensifying. The Space Force has made remarkable progress in maturing into a true warfighting service, accelerating the delivery of critical capabilities, and prioritizing resilience across its architecture. This Act makes critical investments in space and ground architectures, consistent with the President's budget request, with robust funding in space launch, missile warning, satellite communications, and global positioning. Overall, discretionary resources provided for the Space Force increases by 113

percent, or $29,563,000,000 over fiscal year 2026, totaling $55,622,000,000.

Similarly, the extraordinary advancement of artificial intelligence has become a critical new arena of competition, essential to both national and economic security. Artificial intelligence already presents unprecedented new opportunities, threats, costs, and savings that must be engaged in all respects. The efficiencies this technology can offer are now already being realized. The Act includes a provision directing the Department to evaluate and capture savings already manifested in research and development programs and other areas.

The Committee continues recent years' prioritization of innovation. The recommendation equips the Department with funding and authority to support new entrants in the national security industrial base. In conjunction with the administration's efforts, it creates expedited processes to support and reward innovation and risk taking, incentivize private investment in the expansion of production capacity, and expand research and development capacity. The unprecedented resources recommended in this Act will ensure the Department and its industry partners can continue to accelerate innovation, create new economies of scale, and increase output to help meet the challenges and threats that have arrived along with those emerging in coming years.

Accelerated development and production of munitions, for example, has been a shared priority for both the Department and the Committee. The Committee recommends more than $30,000,000,000 in discretionary funding for munitions procurement. The Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 provided multiyear procurement authority for eight munitions in order to incentivize private investment in production capacity, drive down unit costs, and signal to the defense industrial base greater stability and predictability. Unfortunately, the funding that is required to execute the multiyear procurement authority that was provided in fiscal year 2026 was requested largely as mandatory funding in the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027. The Committee notes that mandatory funding is provided through a separate reconciliation process that is uncertain, unaligned with the annual appropriations cycle, and outside the jurisdiction of this Committee. Rather than using the reconciliation process as a tool to scale up and accelerate investments beyond the base budget, the mandatory request includes funding for several critical efforts, such as munitions, which should have been built into the base discretionary request. The two legislative vehicles are entirely separate tracks, with different timelines, committees of jurisdiction, and approval processes. This approach is risky and uncoordinated.

This split-track approach is particularly problematic for programs like the F–35, which incongruently splits the discretionary and mandatory request, not by number of complete jets, but with certain items fully funded on one track or the other. Therefore, both legislative processes must conclude successfully, with aligned levels of investment that are ideally closely synchronized. For F–35, one without the other is unworkable and wasteful.

The Committee recommendation also fully funds military personnel requirements, including the proposed service member pay

raise, and over $43,000,000,000 for the Military Health Program. In addition, it includes over $25,000,000,000 in investments supporting the President's Golden Dome for America initiative; over $30,000,000,000 for depot maintenance efforts; over $20,000,000,000 for facility sustainment, restoration, and modernization; over $4,000,000,000 for defense security cooperation efforts; and over $1,200,000,000 for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities.

In all, this Act meets the nation's most pressing national security imperatives, reflects dedicated stewardship of taxpayer funds, and will help ensure the United States meets the next 250 years in strength and safety.

DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT, AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177), as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100–119), and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–508), the terms "program, project, and activity" for appropriations contained in this Act shall be defined as the most specific level of budget items identified in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2027, the related classified annexes and Committee reports, and the P–1 and R–1 budget justification documents as subsequently modified by congressional action.

The following exception to the above definition shall apply: the military personnel and the operation and maintenance accounts, for which the term "program, project, and activity" is defined as the appropriations accounts contained in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2027.

At the time the President submits the budget request for fiscal year 2028, the Secretary of Defense is directed to transmit to the congressional defense committees budget justification documents to be known as the M–1 and O–1, which shall identify, at the budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group level, the amounts requested by the President to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for military personnel and operation and maintenance in any budget request, or amended budget request, for fiscal year 2028.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2008 (House Report 110–279). The dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall be $15,000,000 for military personnel; operation and maintenance; procurement; and research, development, test and evaluation.

Additionally, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees annual DD Form 1416 reports for titles I and II and quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the joint explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appro-

priations Act, 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogramming actions are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a military personnel (M–1); an operation and maintenance (O–1); a procurement (P–1); or a research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

FUNDING INCREASES

The funding increases outlined in the tables for each appropriation account shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables. It is directed that funding increases shall be competitively awarded or provided to programs that have received competitive awards in the past.

CONGRESSIONAL SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding is specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in this report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount, as specifically addressed in the Committee report. In addition, section 8006 of this Act provides direction on the treatment of increases which appear in the tables of the Project Level Tables, including certain limitations on the use of reprogramming authority in relation to these items.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in the classified annex accompanying this report.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS BY MAJOR CATEGORY

MILITARY PERSONNEL

In title I of the Act, the Committee recommends a total of $204,152,890,000 for active, reserve, and National Guard military personnel. The Committee recommendation provides funding necessary to support a military pay raise of 7 percent for all military personnel ranked E–5 and below, 6 percent for E–6 to O–3, and 5 percent for O–4 and above, effective January 1, 2027. The Committee continues to encourage constructive evaluations of recruitment and retention programs, bonus and special pay incentives, and personnel benefit programs for fiscal year 2027. The Committee remains supportive of programs intended to enhance the morale and quality of life of military personnel and their families.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

In title II of the Act, the Committee recommends a total of $336,136,438,000 for operation and maintenance support to the Military Services and other Department of Defense entities to fund robust operational training, readiness, and facilities needs in fiscal year 2027.

PROCUREMENT

In title III of the Act, the Committee recommends a total of $247,552,717,000 for procurement of major programs, initiatives, and modifications.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

In title IV of the Act, the Committee recommends a total of $221,010,987,000 for research, development, test and evaluation of major programs, initiatives, and modifications.

REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

In title V of the Act, the Committee recommends a total of $4,762,393,000 for revolving and management funds to execute maintenance and supply functions.

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

In title VI of the Act, the Committee recommends a total of $45,118,689,000 for other Department of Defense programs, including a total of $43,397,629,000 for the Military Health Program to support worldwide medical and dental services for active forces and other eligible beneficiaries.

RELATED AGENCIES

In title VII of the Act, the Committee recommends a total of $1,089,350,000 for related agencies, including the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund and the Intelligence Community Management Account.

MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS FOR CRITICAL MUNITIONS

The President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 includes a proposal to provide multiyear procurement (MYP) authority for priority munitions programs. In total, the Committee recommendation includes $11,404,782,000 for procurement of critical munitions, more than $4,700,000,000 above the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 (Public Law 119–75).

Section 8010 includes five-year MYP authority for Standard Missile—6; Long Range Anti-Ship Missile; Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile Extended Range; Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missile; and Standard Missile—3 Block 1B; Joint Advanced Tactical Missile; Precision Strike Missile; Standard Missile—3 IIA; along with seven-year authority for PATRIOT Advanced Capability—3 Missile Segment Enhancement; Terminal High Altitude Area Defense; and Tomahawk Cruise Missile Systems.

The budget request is in part a departure from historical MYP proposals by including categories or families of systems rather than

specific weapon systems and by including programs entering procurement that have not yet fully demonstrated mature, stable production. While some risk is assumed in this innovative approach to considering MYP, the objectives of manufacturability, reducing unit costs through economies of scale, enhancing the industrial base's ability to surge production, and more timely delivery of munitions to the warfighter have led the Committee to recommend three-year MYP authority for the Family of Affordable Mass Missiles (FAMM); Low-Cost Hypersonic Strike systems (LCHS); and Ground-Launched Low-Cost Cruise Missile systems. The Committee recommendation also includes $355,000,000 for FAMM and $481,000,000 for LCHS to accelerate procurement of these systems.

The MYP authority granted, and associated funding, will provide the Department of Defense with the ability to procure more munitions at a lower cost through fiscal year 2033 as compared to single year procurements. The Secretary of Defense is directed to negotiate MYP contracts which yield unit cost savings, shortened production timelines, production capacity expansion, or industry commitments in facilitization with a focus on second and third-tier suppliers. Further, the Secretary of Defense is directed to provide a semi-annual report to the congressional defense committees on the status of each munition MYP award granted in fiscal year 2024, fiscal year 2026, and fiscal year 2027 until all munitions covered under such award have been delivered, to include, projected and realized cost savings, the amount and impact of government and industry investment on capacity and associated supply chains, and an assessment of the extent to which such award has generated greater stability in the associated supply chain. Additionally, the Secretary of Defense is directed to submit a report to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees annually, not later than the end of the fiscal year for the period of this authority, on whether MYP for families of systems are achieving the Department's goals. The report shall include, but not be limited to, metrics and details on whether MYP for families of systems achieve the goals of: (1) fostering price competition; (2) lowering compliance barriers; (3) encouraging modular design development; and (4) enabling more rapid software and hardware iteration.

The Committee notes that the President's budget request includes more than $43,400,000,000 of mandatory funds for these critical munitions and acknowledges that in many cases entering into MYP contracts will require both discretionary and mandatory funds. The topic of accelerating munitions production has been a priority of the Department and Congress alike, though splitting funding into two funding processes could lead to incongruencies that will not be easily remedied. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to work with the Committee if resource limitations constrain Congress' ability to provide the necessary funding to fully support the MYP initiatives as presented.

MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT FOR AIRCRAFT

The Committee is aware that the Department of the Air Force may be considering a multiyear procurement request for the F–35 and F–15EX programs. To date, the Committee has not received

formal requests to consider multiyear procurement for these aircraft and looks forward to reviewing a proposal if the Department moves forward with submitting the requisite details for the Committee to evaluate.

JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER FUNDING INCONGRUENCE

The President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 includes $6,976,700,000 in discretionary funding for 32 F–35 Joint Strike Fighter aircraft and $9,896,100,000 in mandatory funding for 53 F–35s. Modernization for the program includes $2,074,500,000 in discretionary funding and $2,416,300,000 in mandatory funding. While the Committee commends the Joint Program Office for improvements to its justification materials, the Committee has serious concerns regarding how the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) bifurcated the funding request and questions the rigor that was used to split the request between discretionary and mandatory funding. For example, radars and other critical components were either funded in full on one side of the ledger or the other, inconsistent with the total flyaway costs for discretionary and mandatory quantities. Further, OMB made assumptions on program savings associated with executing a multiyear procurement contract, for which a corresponding legislative proposal has not been submitted, and applied all the savings to the discretionary request. As a result, the discretionary budget request actually procures a quantity of only six aircraft, rather than the 32 it purports to fund.

During Committee hearings, leaders across the Department of Defense stated that programs must be evaluated against their full funding request, both discretionary and mandatory. The Committee agrees that the total request should be considered as a whole rather than split between the two, both to support effective oversight and program continuity and also to preserve production lines and commitments to industry partners and allies. The program will be challenged to maintain these commitments if less than half of required funding is provided. However, the jurisdiction of the Committee is to consider the discretionary portion of the request. Therefore, the Committee recommendation provides funding consistent with the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 for discretionary funding and will continue to work with the Joint Program Office to monitor the overall health of the program as the budget process moves forward.

F/A–XX

The Committee reaffirms its strong support for the Navy's F/A–XX program. While the Committee appreciates the Department of Defense's efforts to ready the defense industrial base for two sixth-generation aircraft programs, the Committee is concerned by continued delays in awarding the Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) contract. Despite reservations, the Committee accepted the Department's plan for additional, amended criteria and evaluation prior to awarding the EMD contract. However, the Department's most recent delay in releasing the final request for proposal calls into doubt the Department's ability to award the EMD contract for this critical capability by the August 2026 deadline

that the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of the Navy set forth in recent testimony before Congress.

The need for a sixth-generation naval fighter aircraft has never been clearer. During Operation Epic Fury, the Carrier Strike Group demonstrated decisive power, expending the bulk of ordnance in armed conflict over Iran. Sustaining that capability requires continued investment in new aircraft such as F/A–XX. With the People's Republic of China flying at least two sixth-generation aircraft, the United States must aggressively pursue both the F–47 and F/A–XX.

Regrettably, the Department has delayed the F/A–XX EMD award since February 2025, citing industrial base concerns. The Department has not provided the Committee with data or analysis to substantiate this rationale. Rather, the Committee believes the prolonged uncertainty and successive delays surrounding the program are themselves degrading the industrial base that the Department is seeking to strengthen.

Global air dominance requires both Navy and Air Force sixth-generation fighters. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to take all actions necessary to meet the August 2026 F/A–XX EMD award and to pursue the most accelerated timeline possible for both sixth-generation fighter programs. Further, the Committee expects the Secretary of Defense to submit the President's budget request for fiscal year 2028 with funding for the F/A–XX program commensurate with delivering the accelerated initial operating capability as outlined in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 classified annex.

E–7 WEDGETAIL

The conflict in Iran has reinforced the need for the Air Force to maintain a credible airborne battle management capability, currently being met with the Air Force's E–3 Airborne Warning and Control System and the Navy's E–2D Hawkeye programs. As the E–3 is set to retire, the E–7 Wedgetail will serve as modern replacement for lost battle management capability, commensurate and interoperable with assets already being utilized by key allies. While the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 did not include funding for the E–7 Wedgetail program, the Secretary of the Air Force and Secretary of Defense testified before the House Defense Appropriations Subcommittee that they support this critical platform and have submitted a budget amendment to the Office of Management and Budget to restore funding for the platform. The shift in mindset at the Department of Defense translated to requested transfers from the Special Update Program in Other Procurement, Air Force, and the E–2D program in Aircraft Procurement, Navy for a total investment of $1,549,098,000 for E–7 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force in fiscal year 2027. This funding supports the rapid prototyping aircraft and the continuation of engineering and manufacturing development activities. The Secretary of the Air Force is directed to brief the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees, concurrently with the transmission of the President's budget request for fiscal year 2028, on the full E–7 acquisition strategy, to include required

quantity; funding requirements across the future years defense program; and schedules for development and production.

While the Committee wholly supports the E–7 program and funding realignment, the Committee also restored the E–2D program to six aircraft for fiscal year 2027. The Committee understands the operational necessity of the E–2D platform; the complementary nature of the E–2D and E–7; and believes that more aircraft, not fewer, are necessary to support our warfighters now and in the future.

AUTONOMY AND COUNTER-SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM INITIATIVES

The Committee commends the Department of Defense's efforts to accelerate the deployment of autonomous capabilities through the Defense Autonomous Warfare Group (DAWG) and Counter-Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems (C-sUAS) through the Joint Interagency Task Force 401 (JIATF 401). The Committee further applauds the collaboration with the Defense Innovation Unit (DIU) for leading the Drone Dominance Program, in coordination with the Test Resource Management Center, by employing a challenge-based acquisition model and ensuring program accountability. The Committee respects and supports DIU's transparency with the Drone Dominance Program as well as DIU's strategy to drive market conditions to establish domestic supply chain providers. The Committee understands the Department may continue to optimize DAWG and JIATF 401 organizations and insists updates be provided to congressional defense committees once strategic decisions have been finalized.

The Committee recognizes rapid integration of autonomy and C-sUAS across air, land, sea, space, and cyber domains has fundamentally altered the character of warfare and the United States must scale adoption of autonomy and C-sUAS capabilities to maintain military superiority. Furthermore, to achieve such ends efforts must remain joint and warfighter-focused, operationally driven, and centrally coordinated where resources are managed and applied toward capabilities that: meet operational goals; address contested logistics challenges; invest at a level of significance to support supply chain formation to deliver capabilities at scale; and address doctrine, organization, training, materiel, leadership, personnel, facilities, and policy to provide for a new category of warfighters in an enduring fashion. Although central coordination and management of resources are essential to scaling success, enduring success will come by, with, and through collaboration with the Services and therefore the ability to transfer funds without hindrance is imperative.

Accordingly, the Committee supports the investment strategies and budget requests for DAWG, JIATF 401, and DIU and maintains section 8121, as well as establishes section 8141 of this Act.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND LAW OF WAR

The Committee recognizes that artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning technologies are transformative enablers for United States defense and intelligence capabilities. These technologies have the potential to revolutionize the delivery of timely

intelligence and decision support essential to national security by enabling operations at machine speed at global scale. Consistent with the policy of promoting advanced AI innovation while strengthening national security, the Committee supports the rapid and responsible integration of AI across defense and intelligence missions to maintain America's technological edge and deter adversaries. The Committee affirms that decisions involving lethal force must remain under meaningful human judgment and control, consistent with the law of war and established Department of Defense policies. The Committee recognizes that national security applications of AI differ significantly from consumer uses, requiring exceptional speed, robust handling of classified data, and resilience against adversarial attacks. Accordingly, these applications must incorporate strong protections for accountability, oversight, and safety while avoiding overly burdensome regulations that could hinder innovation and slow the deployment of critical capabilities.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to each submit a report within 180 days of enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees on their accountability, oversight, and safety policies for AI.

COMPUTE COSTS FOR SCALED ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

The Committee recognizes the term compute is multivalent and therefore difficult to estimate, especially during rapid scaling of artificial intelligence (AI) and transformative technological advancements. The Committee appreciates that the Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Office completed a Cost Analysis Framework for Generative AI Platforms in 2025. The framework considers operational costs and offers several options to consider. However, the framework excludes costs for capital expenses such as initial set up costs and reoccurring expenses on information technology (IT), energy, and data transmission infrastructure. The Committee notes that on average compute costs represent 50 percent to 75 percent of total costs for AI deployment and therefore holistic cost consideration including capital costs, reoccurring expenses, and operational costs is necessary. The Committee also notes that more than half of the data center projects in the United States are forecasted to be placed on hold due to supply chain constraints of critical electrical equipment and/or energy shortages, both of which will further escalate costs for compute.

The Committee is concerned that the Department of Defense has not assigned functional experts to continue to assess and monitor total costs for scaled AI deployment or dedicated experts driving efficiencies in either hardware, software, or infrastructure. Therefore, the Secretary of Defense is directed to brief the congressional defense committees, not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act and quarterly thereafter, on total cost estimates across the entire compute stack to include operational costs, capital expenses for initial set up, reoccurring IT, energy, data transmission infrastructure, and affiliated labor.

EFFICIENCIES FROM BUSINESS PROCESS MODERNIZATION

The Committee applauds the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 seeking to modernize business processes and systems. A

Defense Business Board report from January 2015 reviewed the Department of Defense core business processes and estimated between $75,000,000,000 and $150,000,000,000 could be saved over five years from modernizing business processes and support systems. The Committee further notes this report was generated well before today's generative and agentic artificial intelligence (AI) technologies. The Committee notes as of May 2026, through GenAI.mil, the Department has rapidly scaled AI adoption to over 1,300,000 users, generating tens of millions of prompts and deploying hundreds of thousands of agents since January 2026. The Committee is encouraged by early productivity gain estimates and commends the Department's workforce for embracing AI technology. The Committee also recognizes that to achieve savings from modern business processes and systems, transformation will be needed throughout the enterprise. As documented in change management best practices, and as noted in the 2015 report, factors critical to success include, but are not limited to: leadership commitment and leadership continuity; effective governance; two-way communication with the workforce and critical stakeholders; and incentives to retain critical talent.

Therefore, the Secretary of Defense is directed to revisit the Defense Business Board report from January 2015 and provide to the congressional defense committees, not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, revised savings estimates that also incorporate savings from AI technologies. Additionally, the Committee recommends a $1,000,000,000 undistributed reduction as described in section 8144 of this Act. Accordingly, the Secretary of Defense is directed to provide to the congressional defense committees, not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, a briefing on the planned application of how the reductions will be applied and the correlation to savings estimated in the Defense Business Board report from January 2015.

ENTERPRISE INDUSTRIAL BASE INVESTMENTS

The Committee continues to recognize the criticality of the manufacturing industrial base for national security, encompassing industries across defense and commercial sectors. The Committee believes the true measure of industrial base strength lies not solely with large traditional defense contractors, but equally with lower-tier suppliers responsible for producing critical components, materials, and sub systems essential to national defense. The Committee remains concerned that decades of offshoring that eroded domestic manufacturing capacity have placed the United States at a strategic disadvantage relative to the People's Republic of China.

Accordingly, the Committee applauds the efforts undertaken by the Department of Defense through initiatives to stabilize, strengthen, and secure domestic manufacturing. The Committee fully supports the Office of Strategic Capital, the Civil Reserve Manufacturing Network, and activities conducted within the Office of Industrial Base Policy's purview, including those pursuant to the Defense Production Act of 1950 and is confident investments related to the above will prove transformative to the industrial base and national security.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT EXECUTION BENCHMARKS

The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) has used the same obligation and expenditure benchmarks for decades to gauge whether programs are executing funds on schedule. The Committee is concerned that these benchmarks are outdated and out of alignment with the Department of Defense's current acquisition direction, including Executive Order 14265, Modernizing Defense Acquisitions and Spurring Innovation in the Defense Industrial Base. Accordingly, there has been increasing utilization of Other Transaction Agreements, and many are structured as fixed price payments upon milestone or delivery. Several independent studies have reviewed the effectiveness of Comptroller's benchmarks and concluded that the benchmarks are especially problematic for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDTE) activities. The Committee asserts that while the benchmarks may offer a data point, the Committee finds that emphasis on these benchmarks create perverse incentives to obligate and expend funds prematurely rather than in alignment with technical progress and contractual deliverables. The Committee further notes that these benchmarks are not satisfactory metrics to evaluate current acquisition realities.

Due to the widespread use of these benchmarks by both executive and legislative branches of government, tremendous pressure is placed on program teams and consequences include suboptimal program decisions to satisfy execution benchmarks rather than uphold sound program management. These concerns have been voiced over time, including in a 2012 memo by then Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics), and the 2024 Commission on Planning, Programming, Budgeting, and Execution Reform Report, which found that "existing benchmarks that are used to judge the adequacy of budget execution are unrealistic, especially regarding [RDTE] funding, and result in some [program managers] taking counterproductive actions in order to obligate and expend funds quickly."

The Committee recognizes the importance of working in a coordinated fashion with the Department to ensure Congress and the Department have oversight and understanding of taxpayer dollars intended to deliver capability to the warfighter. Therefore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to initiate an independent third-party review of financial management execution benchmarks, including operation and maintenance, procurement, and RDTE accounts and to provide the congressional defense committees with a briefing on the plan for such independent review not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act. The review shall: (1) examine the origin and practical effects of current benchmarks; (2) assess their alignment with modern acquisition pathways, including the Software Acquisition Pathway, Other Transaction Agreements, and commercial solutions contracting; (3) evaluate alternative metrics, including milestone and deliverable completion rates, contract award cycle time, and portfolio-level obligation efficiency, that better reflect technical progress and contractual performance; and (4) recommend updated or replacement

metrics that incentivize execution discipline without penalizing programs that are performing well against contractual commitments.

COMPTROLLER MODERNIZATION INITIATIVES

The Committee commends the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 to comprehensively modernize financial systems through investments in artificial intelligence, automation, financial systems, and next generation resource management systems to conduct enterprise resource planning. The Committee also recognizes the modernization of independent assessments of audits performed by the Office of Inspector General. These investments will be central to obtaining an unmodified audit opinion. Without these investments there is little-to-no chance of conducting audits on substantial portions of the Department, let alone obtaining an unmodified audit opinion by December 2028. The Committee also believes that modern financial systems with minimal human adjustment are essential to providing Congress with timely and accurate insight into financial management and contracting details. As such, the Committee notes that section 8143 of this Act establishes new reporting requirements. The Committee notes the Department continues to call for additional flexibility. However, any additional or scaled flexibility will only be granted through greater transparency and accountability.

BUDGET LINE ITEM CONSOLIDATION

The Department of Defense has undertaken significant budget line item consolidation for fiscal year 2027 in an effort to improve transparency, and long-term planning. In many cases, cross-walking previous efforts to those requested in fiscal year 2027 makes oversight more cumbersome and less transparent in tracking changes across the budget request and prior fiscal years. The Committee reaffirms direction provided in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 under the heading "Agile Funding", and further directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Assistant Secretaries (Financial Management and Comptroller) for the Army, Navy, and Air Force to provide a briefing no later than November 2, 2026 to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on any proposals to further consolidate budget line items in the President's budget request for fiscal year 2028. The Committee directs that the briefing shall also provide proposals to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on how already established budget exhibits can better document movement of programs, projects, or activities when consolidation occurs.

BUDGET DOCUMENTATION FOR MANDATORY REQUEST

The Committee notes that section 8137 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 directed the Secretary of Defense to submit detailed budget justification materials for mandatory funds provided in Public Law 119–21 concurrently with the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027. The Department provided the "FY 2026 Mandatory Funding Allocation Plan" in April 2026, yet

M–1, O–1, P–1, and R–1 documents at the sub-activity group, project, and activity level, respectively, were incomplete, not adequately detailed, or entirely absent. The Committee acknowledges that established Department of Defense financial management systems have not been set up to efficiently process funds provided outside of the discretionary appropriations process. Detailed exhibits for mandatory funds were not consolidated or comprehensively provided, perhaps in part due to the manual nature of intaking mandatory funds and the mechanical way the funds were provided in reconciliation.

While the Committee recognizes the level of effort to process funding on both tracks, detailed budget exhibits are required for complete evaluation of accounts receiving both mandatory and discretionary funding. Not having those details effectively makes oversight more difficult. Some program offices were able to present the two funding tracks as one comprehensive picture, while others were more challenged. Having consistency across programs offers the opportunity for the Committee to fully analyze requirements and spend plans for programs funded on both vehicles.

Given the lack of information on funds included in Public Law 119–21, the Committee is concerned that the Department will be unwilling or unable to provide detailed budget justification documentation for funds provided in future reconciliation bills. The Committee reiterates the direction in section 8129 of this Act and looks forward to working with the Department to ensure that budget justification materials submitted for fiscal year 2028 are adequate to evaluate the full funding profile, regardless of funding mechanism or whether funding was previously enacted or provided in any future reconciliation package. The Committee further directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and Assistant Secretaries (Financial Management and Comptroller) for the Army, Navy, and Air Force, to provide a briefing to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees not later than September 30, 2026 with recommendations for what financial management system modifications may be necessary to achieve the goals for consistent, detailed budget information for programs receiving both mandatory and discretionary funding.

REPORTS ON APPROPRIATION STATUS BY FISCAL YEAR PROGRAM AND SUBACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and Assistant Secretaries (Financial Management and Comptroller) for the Army, Navy, and Air Force to submit to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees monthly AR(M) 1002 reports, which provide appropriations status by fiscal year program and subaccounts and offer a mechanism for the Committee to track how funds are being spent throughout the fiscal year. Reports shall be submitted electronically, no later than 15 business days following the last day of each month.

BUDGET OR APPROPRIATIONS LIAISON SUPPORT TO THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEES

Title II of this Act includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds in this Act to plan or implement the elimination or consolida-

tion of a budget or appropriations liaison office of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the office of the Secretary of a military department, or the Service headquarters of one of the Armed Forces into a legislative affairs or legislative liaison office. The House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees rely heavily on these offices to conduct their oversight responsibilities and make funding recommendations for the Department of Defense. The separate offices of legislative affairs within the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the military departments offer important assistance to the authorizing committees and individual members of Congress, and such assistance is provided on a parallel and separate track from the assistance provided to the Committees on Appropriations by the budget or appropriations liaison office of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the office of the Secretary of a military department, or the Service headquarters of one of the Armed Forces. As the offices of legislative affairs do not possess the specialized budgetary expertise and direct relationship to the Financial Management and Comptroller organizations, which are essential to the effective communication between the Department and the Committees on Appropriations, it is critical that the budget or appropriations liaison offices remain independent from the legislative liaison offices, have organizational parity, and retain the authority to respond directly and promptly with the information required by the Committees on Appropriations. Events throughout fiscal year 2026 have reinforced concerns with the ability of budget and appropriations liaison offices to exercise their responsibility towards the Committees on Appropriations. The Department's sidelining and subjugating of appropriations liaison functions has impeded the work of the Committee by causing significant delays in receiving budgetary information, access to witnesses, and scheduling of required briefings while these requirements were prioritized and more timely met for other committees.

Therefore, the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries are directed to provide appropriations liaison offices with full organizational parity and proper resourcing and to ensure that these offices have tasking authority, provide information on a timely basis to the Committees on Appropriations, and serve as the primary advisors to senior leaders in the Department of Defense on appropriations and budget related matters. The requested increase for the Office of Legislative Affairs has been partially withheld until this incongruence is corrected.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DATA CENTER PROJECTS

The Committee recognizes data center projects place significant strain on energy and water resources and communities have resisted such projects. This resistance has forced industry to address energy and water usage concerns, and the Committee is pleased to see industry responding to community concerns with next generation artificial intelligence (AI) infrastructure and increased development and adoption of photonics. The Committee is further pleased that the Administration recognizes these concerns and is actively engaging industry to ensure communities are not shouldering the costs of data center projects. The Committee equally recognizes that advancement of AI is imperative and data centers are essen-

tial to advancing that goal. As has been comprehensively stated by Department of Defense leaders, AI is a combat force multiplier that increases competitive advantage and the Committee is committed to ensuring AI and essential infrastructure are supported in an enduring fashion. Accordingly, the Secretary of Defense is directed to conduct an assessment and brief the congressional defense committees on implications to communities in proximity to Department of Defense data center projects before the initiation of physical on-site construction of a data center. The assessment and briefing shall include: (1) energy and grid impacts; (2) water resource and environmental impacts; (3) sitting and proximity impact standards; (4) impacts to physical security and mission assurance; (5) cumulative risk and community impacts; (6) community consultation and local government engagement; and (7) any other matters that may require legislative or resourcing consideration.

TITLE I

MILITARY PERSONNEL

The fiscal year 2027 Department of Defense military personnel budget request and the Committee recommendation are summarized in the table below:

MILITARY PERSONNEL

[Dollars in thousands]

RECAPITULATION	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	56,567,970	56,363,343	−204,627
MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	43,455,235	43,292,981	−162,254
MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	17,853,485	17,815,905	−37,580
MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	40,885,692	40,610,059	−275,633
MILITARY PERSONNEL, SPACE FORCE	1,824,853	1,780,331	−44,522
RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	6,003,189	5,932,241	−70,948
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	2,797,683	2,795,728	−1,955
RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	1,065,922	1,058,142	−7,780
RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	2,844,302	2,805,321	−38,981
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	11,555,125	11,492,865	−62,260
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	5,918,475	5,856,705	−61,770
TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL	190,771,931	189,803,621	−968,310
TOTAL, TRICARE ACCRUAL PAYMENTS (PERMANENT, INDEFINITE AUTHORITY) (PUBLIC LAW 108–375)	14,349,269	14,349,269	.....
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL	205,121,200	204,152,890	−968,310

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2027 appropriations accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' military personnel accounts between budget activities in excess of $15,000,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or have been specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in this report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount as specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional special interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414.

SUMMARY OF END STRENGTH

The President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 includes an increase of 40,100 in total end strength for the active forces and an increase of 4,400 in total end strength for the Selected Reserve as compared to fiscal year 2026 authorized levels. The following tables summarize the Committee recommendations for end strength levels, both in the aggregate and for each active and Selected Reserve component.

OVERALL ACTIVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2026 authorized	1,302,800
Fiscal year 2027 budget request	1,342,900
Fiscal year 2027 recommendation	1,342,900
Compared with fiscal year 2026	40,100
Compared with fiscal year 2027 budget request	– – –

OVERALL SELECTED RESERVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2026 authorized	764,900
Fiscal year 2027 budget request	769,300
Fiscal year 2027 recommendation	769,300
Compared with fiscal year 2026	4,400
Compared with fiscal year 2027 budget request	– – –

SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL END STRENGTH

	Fiscal year 2026 authorized	Fiscal year 2027			
		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from request	Change from fiscal year 2026
Active Forces (End Strength)					
Army	454,000	469,000	469,000	– – –	15,000
Navy	344,600	356,600	356,600	– – –	12,000
Marine Corps	172,300	173,700	173,700	– – –	1,400
Air Force	321,500	330,400	330,400	– – –	8,900
Space Force	10,400	13,200	13,200	– – –	2,800
Total, Active Forces	1,302,800	1,342,900	1,342,900	– – –	40,100
Selected Reserve (End Strength)					
Army Reserve	172,000	172,000	172,000	– – –	0
Navy Reserve	57,500	56,500	56,500	– – –	−1,000
Marine Corps Reserve	33,600	34,700	34,700	– – –	1,100
Air Force Reserve	67,500	67,400	67,400	– – –	−100
Army National Guard	328,000	331,300	331,300	– – –	3,300
Air National Guard	106,300	107,400	107,400	– – –	1,100
Total, Selected Reserve	764,900	769,300	769,300	– – –	4,400
Total, Military Personnel	2,067,700	2,112,200	2,112,200	0	44,500

END STRENGTH GROWTH

The Committee supports the efforts reflected in the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 to grow the force, particularly considering increasing global threats, evolving operational requirements, and the introduction of new weapon systems. The Committee notes that successful end strength growth requires a comprehensive strategy and encourages the Department of Defense to communicate its approach to the congressional defense committees.

The Committee believes end strength growth should be aligned with validated Service requirements and accompanied by necessary investments to support that growth. Such investments include training capacity and accession pipelines, career progression opportunities, housing and installation infrastructure, health care services, and other quality-of-life programs that directly affect retention and readiness.

The Committee emphasizes sustained growth goes beyond the ability to recruit but also depends on retention rates. These rates are directly impacted by service members and their families ability to receive adequate support throughout their careers. As the Department implements its end strength growth strategy, the Committee encourages continued investment in these force enabling efforts described above, which are essential to support readiness, retention, and the successful integration of additional personnel into the force.

The Committee further notes that the long-term impact of artificial intelligence on force structure requirements remains uncertain. As the Services pursue end strength growth, the Department should continue assessing how these technological advancements may affect future end strength requirements and workforce composition.

The Committee recognizes that achieving end strength growth will require sustained planning, coordination, and investment

across multiple functional areas. The Committee looks forward to working with the Department to ensure that the resources necessary to enable this growth to remain aligned with force structure requirements.

Accordingly, the Committee directs the Service Secretaries to provide quarterly briefings on military personnel end strength to the congressional defense committees on the following information: (1) accession performance relative to annual recruiting and end strength targets; (2) the adequacy of training and education capacity to support planned end strength growth; (3) the operational and force structure requirements driving personnel growth request; (4) resource requirements associated with end strength growth; and (5) any funding shortfalls, constraints, or other execution risks that may affect the Department's ability to achieve planned end strength growth objectives.

Furthermore, the Committee understands that the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation has conducted initial analysis regarding projected end strength growth requirements over the coming years. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act on these findings. The briefing shall include: (1) the operational requirements, strategic assumptions, and threat assessments that underpin projected end strength requirements; (2) the methodology used to assess personnel requirements, force structure needs, and alternative approaches considered to meet operational demands; (3) the extent to which artificial intelligence, automation and other emerging technologies were considered in the analysis and their anticipated impact on future personnel requirements; (4) the projected timeline, milestones, and associated resource requirements necessary to achieve planned force growth objectives; and (5) key risks, resource constraints, contingency plans, and associated risks should accession, retention, or growth targets that are not achieved.

Finally, the Committee recognizes the critical role the reserve components play in meeting operational requirements and maintaining surge capacity. As the active components pursue end strength growth objectives, the Committee urges the Services to coordinate with their reserve counterparts to ensure recruiting and retention efforts remain balanced across the total force and do not inadvertently impact the readiness, capability, or personnel pipelines of the respective reserve components.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Military Personnel, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
5	BASIC PAY	10,204,460	10,204,460	0
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,068,528	2,068,528	0
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	211,328	211,328	0
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,115,609	3,115,609	0
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	389,987	389,987	0
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	85,168	85,168	0
40	SPECIAL PAYS	489,448	489,448	0
45	ALLOWANCES	207,147	207,147	0
50	SEPARATION PAY	61,367	61,367	0
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	779,799	779,799	0
60	BASIC PAY	19,513,776	19,513,776	0
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	3,946,722	3,946,722	0
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	441,993	441,993	0
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	6,460,418	6,460,418	0
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	82,284	82,284	0
90	SPECIAL PAYS	1,019,394	1,019,394	0
95	ALLOWANCES	900,501	900,501	0
100	SEPARATION PAY	288,054	288,054	0
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	1,492,811	1,492,811	0
110	ACADEMY CADETS	121,063	121,063	0
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,653,461	1,653,461	0
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	1,183,640	1,183,640	0
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	188,116	188,116	0
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	124,009	124,009	0
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	544,183	544,183	0
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	809,129	809,129	0
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	258,703	258,703	0
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	221	221	0
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	16,994	16,994	0
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	51,035	51,035	0
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	113	113	0
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,595	1,595	0
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	39,300	39,300	0
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	63,148	63,148	0
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	355	355	0
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	7,933	7,933	0
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	561	561	0
216	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	3,407	3,407	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS [ROTC]	79,963	79,963	0
218	JUNIOR ROTC	32,501	32,501	0
219	TRAUMATIC INJURY PROTECTION COVERAGE [T–SGLI]	300	300	0
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	−370,554	−370,554	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−204,627	−204,627
	Historical unobligated balances		−129,810	
	Unjustified growth		−74,817	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	56,567,970	56,363,343	−204,627
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—OFFICERS	796,762	796,762	0
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—ENLISTED	3,175,399	3,175,399	0
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	60,540,131	60,335,504	−204,627

MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Military Personnel, Navy:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
5	BASIC PAY	6,259,766	6,259,766	0
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,262,936	1,262,936	0
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	102,721	102,721	0
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,262,853	2,262,853	0
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	235,281	235,281	0
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	205,890	205,890	0
40	SPECIAL PAYS	579,739	579,739	0
45	ALLOWANCES	111,191	111,191	0
50	SEPARATION PAY	44,472	44,472	0
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	478,625	478,625	0
60	BASIC PAY	14,792,099	14,792,099	0
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,949,427	2,949,427	0
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	287,798	287,798	0
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	7,560,251	7,560,251	0
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	119,410	119,410	0
90	SPECIAL PAYS	1,655,015	1,655,015	0
95	ALLOWANCES	543,268	543,268	0
100	SEPARATION PAY	134,011	134,011	0
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	1,117,889	1,117,889	0
110	MIDSHIPMEN	125,114	125,114	0
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,155,630	1,155,630	0
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	637,076	637,076	0
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	5	5	0
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	130,434	130,434	0
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	185,723	185,723	0
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	413,861	413,861	0
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	197,681	197,681	0
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	165,335	165,335	0
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	54,881	54,881	0
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	16,728	16,728	0
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	15,072	15,072	0
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	78	78	0
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	374	374	0
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	24,800	24,800	0
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	51,488	51,488	0
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	109	109	0
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	3,600	3,600	0
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	68	68	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
216	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	3,961	3,961	0
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS [ROTC]	21,058	21,058	0
218	JUNIOR ROTC	23,644	23,644	0
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	−474,127	−474,127	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−162,254	−162,254
	Historical unobligated balances		−101,810	
	Unjustified growth		−60,444	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	43,455,235	43,292,981	−162,254
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—OFFICERS	494,874	494,874	0
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—ENLISTED	2,527,902	2,527,902	0
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	46,478,011	46,315,757	−162,254

MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Military Personnel, Marine Corps:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
5	BASIC PAY	2,307,802	2,307,802	0
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	465,499	465,499	0
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	52,717	52,717	0
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	824,916	824,916	0
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	89,613	89,613	0
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	55,550	55,550	0
40	SPECIAL PAYS	25,180	25,180	0
45	ALLOWANCES	37,418	37,418	0
50	SEPARATION PAY	19,182	19,182	0
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	176,360	176,360	0
60	BASIC PAY	7,075,993	7,075,993	0
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,427,078	1,427,078	0
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	172,522	172,522	0
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,268,702	2,268,702	0
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	8,911	8,911	0
90	SPECIAL PAYS	331,349	331,349	0
95	ALLOWANCES	322,526	322,526	0
100	SEPARATION PAY	96,315	96,315	0
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	540,885	540,885	0
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	537,796	537,796	0
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	471,351	471,351	0
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	10	10	0
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	69,057	69,057	0
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	18,510	18,510	0
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	197,363	197,363	0
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	117,155	117,155	0
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	104,443	104,443	0
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	141	141	0
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	10,762	10,762	0
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	7,736	7,736	0
165	OTHER	4,616	4,616	0
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	220	220	0
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	64	64	0
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	11,050	11,050	0
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	11,939	11,939	0
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	42	42	0
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	1,002	1,002	0
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	101	101	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
216	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	2,190	2,190	0
218	JUNIOR ROTC	4,279	4,279	0
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	−14,860	−14,860	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−37,580	−37,580
	Historical unobligated balances		−37,580	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	17,853,485	17,815,905	−37,580
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—OFFICERS	187,705	187,705	0
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—ENLISTED	1,304,380	1,304,380	0
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	19,345,570	19,307,990	−37,580

MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Military Personnel, Air Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
5	BASIC PAY	7,252,257	7,252,257	0
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,461,000	1,461,000	0
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	116,641	116,641	0
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,945,058	1,945,058	0
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	265,685	265,685	0
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	439,648	439,648	0
40	SPECIAL PAYS	545,610	545,610	0
45	ALLOWANCES	126,144	126,144	0
50	SEPARATION PAY	49,991	49,991	0
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	554,265	554,265	0
60	BASIC PAY	14,044,209	14,044,209	0
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,829,107	2,829,107	0
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	274,594	274,594	0
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	5,153,110	5,153,110	0
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	55,720	55,720	0
90	SPECIAL PAYS	587,386	587,386	0
95	ALLOWANCES	735,610	735,610	0
100	SEPARATION PAY	100,681	100,681	0
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	1,074,382	1,074,382	0
110	ACADEMY CADETS	111,532	111,532	0
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,427,135	1,427,135	0
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	324,915	324,915	0
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	176,227	176,227	0
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	88,279	88,279	0
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	373,930	373,930	0
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	732,255	732,255	0
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	251,919	251,919	0
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	29,382	29,382	0
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	35,962	35,962	0
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	114,929	114,929	0
165	OTHER	14,361	14,361	0
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	27	27	0
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	413	413	0
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	20,700	20,700	0
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	25,287	25,287	0
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	202	202	0
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	2,960	2,960	0
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	13,116	13,116	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
216	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	4,138	4,138	0
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS [ROTC]	33,880	33,880	0
218	JUNIOR ROTC	22,226	22,226	0
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	−529,181	−529,181	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−275,633	−275,633
	Historical unobligated balances		−160,070	
	Unjustified growth		−115,563	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	40,885,692	40,610,059	−275,633
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—OFFICERS	538,930	538,930	0
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—ENLISTED	2,267,128	2,267,128	0
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	43,691,750	43,416,117	−275,633

MILITARY PERSONNEL, SPACE FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Military Personnel, Space Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
5	BASIC PAY	613,655	613,655	0
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	123,390	123,390	0
11	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	9,118	9,118	0
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	221,381	221,381	0
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	23,030	23,030	0
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	88	88	0
40	SPECIAL PAYS	6,254	6,254	0
45	ALLOWANCES	5,927	5,927	0
50	SEPARATION PAY	3,704	3,704	0
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	46,869	46,869	0
60	BASIC PAY	338,225	338,225	0
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	68,155	68,155	0
66	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	5,161	5,161	0
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	144,715	144,715	0
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	25	25	0
90	SPECIAL PAYS	54,426	54,426	0
95	ALLOWANCES	16,808	16,808	0
100	SEPARATION PAY	2,972	2,972	0
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	25,876	25,876	0
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	35,461	35,461	0
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	20,448	20,448	0
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	7,602	7,602	0
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	22,280	22,280	0
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	13,593	13,593	0
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	7,485	7,485	0
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	291	291	0
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	1,892	1,892	0
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	4,351	4,351	0
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	500	500	0
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	610	610	0
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	17	17	0
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	506	506	0
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	360	360	0
216	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	53	53	0
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	−375	−375	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	**0**	**−44,522**	**−44,522**
	Historical unobligated balances		−25,815	
	Unjustified growth		−18,707	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, MILITARY PERSONNEL, SPACE FORCE	**1,824,853**	**1,780,331**	**−44,522**
300	**HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—OFFICERS**	**46,904**	**46,904**	**0**
300	**HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—ENLISTED**	**53,414**	**53,414**	**0**
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, SPACE FORCE	**1,925,171**	**1,880,649**	**−44,522**

RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Reserve Personnel, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	1,793,603	1,793,603	0
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	57,278	57,278	0
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	271,051	271,051	0
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	12,422	12,422	0
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	2,516	2,516	0
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	223,038	223,038	0
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	360,958	360,958	0
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	3,043,171	3,043,171	0
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	32,623	32,623	0
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	52,746	52,746	0
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	84,372	84,372	0
130	OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT)	69,411	69,411	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−70,948	−70,948
	Historical unobligated balances		−66,040	
	Projected underexecution		−4,908	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	6,003,189	5,932,241	−70,948
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	639,807	639,807	0
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	6,642,996	6,572,048	−70,948

RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Reserve Personnel, Navy:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	868,209	868,209	0
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	8,421	8,421	0
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	44,174	44,174	0
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	18,423	18,423	0
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	87,046	87,046	0
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	155,676	155,676	0
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,535,014	1,535,014	0
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	14,859	14,859	0
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	224	224	0
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	65,637	65,637	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−1,955	−1,955
	Program increase—FA–18E/F recapitalization		17,000	
	Historical unobligated balances		−18,955	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	2,797,683	2,795,728	−1,955
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	241,853	241,853	0
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	3,039,536	3,037,581	−1,955

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	343,418	343,418	0
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	58,285	58,285	0
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	155,546	155,546	0
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	1,238	1,238	0
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	32,386	32,386	0
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	72,247	72,247	0
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	378,439	378,439	0
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	12,629	12,629	0
95	PLATOON LEADER CLASS	9,206	9,206	0
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	2,528	2,528	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−7,780	−7,780
	Historical unobligated balances		−7,780	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	1,065,922	1,058,142	−7,780
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	122,767	122,767	0
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	1,188,689	1,180,909	−7,780

RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Reserve Personnel, Air Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	827,681	798,322	−29,359
	Air Force Reserve requested transfer to 90		−29,359	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	117,117	117,117	0
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	93,365	93,365	0
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	4,408	4,408	0
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	548	548	0
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	242,762	242,762	0
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	399,711	399,711	0
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,053,576	1,082,935	29,359
	Air Force Reserve requested transfer from 10		29,359	
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	13,769	13,769	0
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	15,473	15,473	0
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	73,620	73,620	0
130	OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT)	2,272	2,272	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−38,981	−38,981
	Historical unobligated balances		−11,970	
	Projected underexecution		−27,011	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	2,844,302	2,805,321	−38,981
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	247,016	247,016	0
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	3,091,318	3,052,337	−38,981

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for National Guard Personnel, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	3,287,246	3,287,246	0
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	763,597	763,597	0
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	73,499	73,499	0
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	561,535	561,535	0
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	1,438,197	1,438,197	0
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	5,156,800	5,156,800	0
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	110,414	110,414	0
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	163,837	163,837	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−62,260	−62,260
	Historical unobligated balances		−62,260	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	11,555,125	11,492,865	−62,260
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	1,239,331	1,239,331	0
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	12,794,456	12,732,196	−62,260

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for National Guard Personnel, Air Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

M–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	1,134,143	1,134,143	0
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	121,533	121,533	0
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	8,087	8,087	0
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	468,086	468,086	0
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	375,044	375,044	0
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	3,758,649	3,758,649	0
94	THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS	29,037	29,037	0
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	23,896	23,896	0
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−61,770	−61,770
	Historical unobligated balances		−61,770	
	TOTAL, TITLE I, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	5,918,475	5,856,705	−61,770
300	HEALTH CARE CONTRIBUTION—RESERVE COMPONENT	465,097	465,097	0
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	6,383,572	6,321,802	−61,770

TITLE II

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The fiscal year 2027 Department of Defense operation and maintenance budget request and the Committee recommendation are summarized in the table below:

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

[Dollars in thousands]

RECAPITULATION	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	64,114,683	63,994,579	−120,104
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	82,568,546	81,673,408	−895,138
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	15,988,817	15,728,097	−260,720
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	74,039,636	73,679,124	−360,512
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SPACE FORCE	9,265,917	8,800,801	−465,116
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	64,251,581	64,165,575	−86,006
COUNTER ISIS TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND [CTEF]	303,099	303,099	.....
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	3,112,634	3,091,135	−21,499
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,477,216	1,468,610	−8,606
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	404,680	399,974	−4,706
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	4,748,987	4,675,843	−73,144
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	8,703,824	8,657,460	−46,364
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	8,130,689	7,981,154	−149,535
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES	21,698	21,698	.....
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	282,444	282,444	.....
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	305,246	308,496	+3,250
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	320,060	320,060	.....
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	8,957	8,957	.....
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES	238,927	238,927	.....
OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID	78,187	78,187	.....
COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT	221,332	221,332	.....
DOD ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNT	37,478	37,478	.....
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	338,624,638	336,136,438	−2,488,200

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2027 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' operation and maintenance accounts between O–1 budget activities, or between sub-activity groups in the case of Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, in excess of $15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense shall follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of $15,000,000 out of the following readiness activity groups or sub-activity groups listed below:

Army:

Activity Group 11 Land Forces
Activity Group 12 Land Forces Readiness
Activity Group 13 Land Forces Readiness Support
Activity Group 32 Basic Skill and Advanced Training

Navy:

Activity Group 1A Air Operations
Activity Group 1B Ship Operations
Activity Group 1C Combat Operations/Support
Activity Group BS Base Support

Marine Corps:

Activity Group 1A Expeditionary Forces
Activity Group BS Base Support

Air Force:

Activity Group "Air Operations", which includes sub-activity groups 011A Primary Combat Force and 011C Combat Enhancement Forces

Activity Group "Weapons Systems Sustainment", which includes sub-activity groups 011M Depot Purchase Equipment Maintenance, 011V Cyberspace Sustainment, and 011W Contractor Logistics Support and System Support

Activity Group "Installations", which includes sub-activity groups 011R Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization, and 011Z Base Support

Activity Group "Flying Hours", which is only sub-activity group 011Y Flying Hour Program

Space Force:

Sub-activity Group 012A Global C3I & Early Warning
Sub-activity Group 013C Space Operations

Sub-activity Group 013W Contractor Logistics Support and System Support

Sub-activity Group 042A Administration

Air Force Reserve:

Sub-activity Group 011A Primary Combat Forces

Air National Guard:

Sub-activity Group 011F Aircraft Operations

Additionally, the Secretary of Defense is directed to use normal prior approval reprogramming procedures when implementing transfers in excess of $15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activities listed below:

Army National Guard:

Sub-activity Group 131 Base Operations Support

Sub-activity Group 132 Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

Sub-activity Group 133 Management and Operational Headquarters

Air National Guard:

Sub-activity Group 011W Contractor Logistics Support and System Support

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a baseline report that shows the Special Operations Command's operation and maintenance funding by sub-activity group for the fiscal year 2027 appropriation not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is further directed to submit quarterly execution reports to the congressional defense committees not later than 45 days after the end of each fiscal quarter that addresses the rationale for the realignment of any funds within and between budget sub-activities and the movement of any base funds used to support overseas contingency operations. Finally, the Secretary of Defense is directed to notify the congressional defense committees 30 days prior to the realignment of funds in excess of $15,000,000 between sub-activity groups.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or have been specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in this report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount as specifically addressed in this report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional special interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414.

QUARTERLY OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE UPDATES

The Committee notes the successful quarterly meetings with each of the Services as regular interaction with each of the Services' financial management offices enhances the ability of the Committee to perform its essential oversight responsibilities. The Committee directs the Director of each of the Service's Operations Divisions (Financial Management and Budget) to continue to provide quarterly briefings to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on their respective operation and maintenance execution rates in fiscal year 2027.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Secretary of Defense and Service Secretaries are directed to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the close of each quarter of the fiscal year and should be provided for each O–1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve, and National Guard components. For each O–1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligations amount, the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget request, all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report, all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings, and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

CONTINGENCY RESPONSE FORCES

The Committee supports the Air National Guard's efforts to establish and modernize contingency response capabilities for the joint force through the conversion and equipping of operational units. The Committee is concerned that the associated funding, both in terms of total funding and type of funding, was not appropriately prioritized in fiscal year 2026. Stalls in funding present a particular obstacle in the fielding of this low-density, high-demand capability. The Committee encourages the Air National Guard to develop a fielding plan that includes targeted investment to bring contingency response units to full mission capability. The Air National Guard should ensure funding is deliberately programmed across both the operation and maintenance and procurement accounts to meet validated mission requirements. The Committee encourages the Director of the Air National Guard to provide regular updates to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on the status of converting and equipping these units.

ACCESS TO FRESH AND LOCALLY SOURCED FOODS

The Committee recognizes the importance of improving access to fresh fruits, vegetables, and other locally sourced agricultural products for service members and their families on Department of Defense installations. Through efforts such as the United States Department of Agriculture Department of Defense Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program, the Department of Defense has demonstrated the capacity to leverage its purchasing and distribution systems to procure large volumes of domestically grown produce and, where feasible, source products from local and regional producers. However, a Congressional Research Service report published on July 25, 2024, "Trends in USDA Procurement of U.S. Food and Agricultural Products," notes only 15 percent of all purchases made through the program catalog are from local sources, defined as from within the state or an adjacent state. Stakeholders have identified challenges that can limit local sourcing, including centralized procurement practices, vendor contract requirements related to scale and distribution, seasonality and availability of local prod-

ucts, and administrative barriers that may limit participation by small and mid-size producers.

Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Defense Logistics Agency and Department of Agriculture, to submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, a report evaluating opportunities to expand access to fresh fruits, vegetables, and other locally or regionally sourced agricultural commodities on installations, with an emphasis on procurement from local sources. The report shall include: (1) an assessment of current procurement practices for fresh produce and other perishable agricultural commodities across military dining facilities, commissaries, and other food service operations; (2) identification of statutory, regulatory, contracting, or administrative barriers that may limit the ability of military installations or prime vendors to procure products from local or regional producers; (3) an evaluation of opportunities to increase participation by small and mid-size agricultural producers, including through adjustments to vendor requirements, contracting structures, or distribution models; and (4) recommendations for administrative or legislative actions that would streamline procurement processes, expand technical assistance, and facilitate greater access to locally produced fresh food for service members and their families.

BRITISH INDIAN OCEAN TERRITORY

The Committee recognizes the strategic importance of the agreement concerning the availability for defense purposes of the British Indian Ocean Territory, dated December 30, 1966, including the continued role of Naval Support Facility Diego Garcia in supporting United States military operations and regional stability. The Committee notes that modifications to this agreement may carry significant implications for United States national security interests and longstanding defense arrangements with the United Kingdom. The Committee further expects no actions will be taken that would materially alter the terms of the agreement without appropriate engagement with Congress. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to notify the congressional defense committees prior to the initiation of any negotiations to modify the agreement. Such notification shall include the national security rationale for modifying the agreement; implications for United States operational control of Naval Support Facility Diego Garcia; and risks related to third-party sovereign claims or foreign military presence.

COMMUNITY NOISE MITIGATION PROGRAM

The Committee notes the Community Noise Mitigation Program is a competitive grant program authorized in fiscal year 2022 that assists state, local governments and tribal entities impacted by military fixed-wing aviation noise. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act, on the following: (1) the number of grants provided to-date and associated dollar amounts; (2) criteria used when assessing grant applications; (3) any efforts taken on noise mitigation and to engage

community members around such efforts; and (4) any additional resources needed for future noise mitigation efforts, to include improved technologies.

HOLOCAUST EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

The Committee is committed to combating antisemitism and supports Holocaust education, along with efforts to honor the memories of those murdered by the Nazis. Therefore, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Service Secretaries, is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act detailing efforts the Department of Defense and the Military Services are currently undertaking to educate service members on the Holocaust or could provide to those deployed to the United States European Command (USEUCOM) theater. The Secretary and the Service Secretaries are encouraged to consider the feasibility of offering educational programs to service members on the Holocaust that could include visits to historical sites and museums as well as possible engagements with Holocaust survivors, descendants, and academic experts both in the United States and the USEUCOM theater.

GUAM LIVING QUARTERS ALLOWANCES

The committee is concerned eligible Department of Defense civilian employees with a permanent duty station in Guam have not been provided living quarters allowances authorized by Congress. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing on living quarters allowances for Department of Defense civilians in Guam to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act.

MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATIONS

The Committee recommends the Services fund military criminal investigative organizations commensurate with their increased caseloads and consult with House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on ongoing efforts to improve the military criminal investigative organizations, including the need for updated case management systems, improved cold case units, and staffing of hard to fill posts. The Committee notes the importance of civilian personnel to military criminal investigative organizations and believes efforts should be made to retain them.

ORGANIC INDUSTRIAL BASE

The Committee is concerned about the debt load across the Department of Defense organic industrial base. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on the overall debt load of the organic industrial base, broken out by Service depot or arsenal, not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act. The report shall explain the causes of the debt, how it impacts the working capital funds of each Service, how this impacts the rates and busi-

ness models at the specific depots and arsenals, and potential solutions.

CONSUMER GOODS

The Committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a report to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, examining the purchasing, if any, by the Department of Defense of goods included in the most recent List of Goods Produced by Child Labor or Forced Labor published by the Department of Labor.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
111	**MANEUVER UNITS**	**5,309,790**	**5,113,390**	**−196,400**
	Unjustified growth		−196,400	
112	**MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES**	**375,682**	**361,382**	**−14,300**
	Unjustified growth		−14,300	
113	**ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE**	**1,193,028**	**1,340,066**	**147,038**
	Army requested transfer from MiP,A line 2 for THAAD realignment		173,738	
	Unjustified growth		−26,700	
114	**THEATER LEVEL ASSETS**	**2,395,049**	**2,327,791**	**−67,258**
	Unjustified growth		−67,258	
115	**LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT**	**1,273,674**	**1,273,674**	**0**
116	**AVIATION ASSETS**	**1,930,557**	**1,899,157**	**−31,400**
	Unjustified growth		−31,400	
121	**FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT**	**7,186,195**	**7,523,169**	**336,974**
	Program increase—active hearing protection		30,000	
	Program increase—applied AI applications for reserve component mobilization		5,000	
	Program increase—female body armor		19,000	
	Program increase—Holistic Health and Fitness program facilities support		30,000	
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		284,274	
	Program increase—soldier mental resilience training		1,100	
	Program increase—ultra-lightweight camouflage		60,000	
	Unjustified growth		−92,400	
122	**LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS**	**888,277**	**888,277**	**0**
123	**LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE**	**2,022,115**	**1,922,115**	**−100,000**
	Unjustified growth		−100,000	
124	**MEDICAL READINESS**	**786,815**	**786,815**	**0**
131	**BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT**	**10,390,174**	**10,390,174**	**0**
132	**FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION**	**5,435,364**	**5,485,364**	**50,000**
	Program increase—Holistic Health and Fitness program facility conversion		20,000	
	Program increase—joint readiness training facilities		5,000	
	Program increase—small arms proficiency and marksmanship skills facility		10,000	
	Program increase—United States Military Academy		15,000	
133	**MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS**	**287,812**	**287,812**	**0**
135	**ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES**	**383,610**	**383,610**	**0**
137	**RESET**	**117,880**	**117,880**	**0**
141	**US AFRICA COMMAND**	**693,812**	**693,812**	**0**
142	**US EUROPEAN COMMAND**	**510,862**	**520,862**	**10,000**
	Program increase—AI integration		10,000	
143	**US SOUTHERN COMMAND**	**464,769**	**464,769**	**0**
144	**US FORCES KOREA**	**77,775**	**77,775**	**0**
151	**CYBER ACTIVITIES—CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS**	**366,311**	**366,311**	**0**
153	**CYBER ACTIVITIES—CYBERSECURITY**	**579,954**	**579,954**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
211	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	53,602	53,602	0
212	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	1,125,709	1,125,709	0
213	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	3,172	3,172	0
311	OFFICER ACQUISITION	193,530	193,530	0
312	RECRUIT TRAINING	70,431	70,431	0
313	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	96,115	96,115	0
314	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	503,896	503,896	0
321	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING Unjustified growth	1,204,230	1,143,230 −61,000	−61,000
322	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,381,437	1,381,437	0
323	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	201,481	201,481	0
324	TRAINING SUPPORT	609,925	609,925	0
331	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	712,092	712,092	0
333	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	216,256	216,256	0
334	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	218,683	218,683	0
335	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	218,598	218,598	0
421	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION Unjustified growth	1,483,938	1,415,618 −68,320	−68,320
422	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	703,829	703,829	0
423	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	634,879	634,879	0
424	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	525,732	525,732	0
431	ADMINISTRATION	402,276	402,276	0
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	2,252,914	2,252,914	0
433	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	324,069	324,069	0
434	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	961,851	961,851	0
435	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT Program increase—Capitol Fourth	2,179,562	2,187,262 7,700	7,700
436	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	139,480	139,480	0
437	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	308,271	308,271	0
438	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT READINESS	434,778	434,778	0
43Q	DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNT	37,654	37,654	0
441	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS	760,520	760,520	0
442	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	28,681	28,681	0
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Army requested transfer from OP,A line 32 for software enabled automation Classified adjustment	3,457,587	3,583,449 131,737 −5,875	125,862
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		−239,000	−239,000
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION		−20,000	−20,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	64,114,683	63,994,579	−120,104

FORT BRAGG INFRASTRUCTURE

The Committee recognizes installation roads, bridges, and associated transportation infrastructure constitute critical components of the Army's operational foundation and are indispensable to mission readiness. These systems enable the safe and efficient movement of personnel, equipment, and materiel and are essential for training operations, logistics support, emergency response, and force deployment. Deteriorating or inadequate transportation infrastructure can impede mobility, delay mission execution, increase safety risks, and place additional strain on military operations. The Committee urges the Department of the Army to prioritize the repair, rehabilitation, and replacement of roads and bridges at Fort Bragg and at other installations with power projection and global response capabilities where deficiencies have been identified. The Committee emphasizes that such investments are essential to maintaining operational continuity and ensuring that installations can effectively support the full spectrum of military activities.

The Committee also recognizes properly functioning heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems constitute critical infrastructure essential to the safe, effective, and continuous operation of military installations. These systems directly support mission readiness by ensuring suitable environmental conditions for personnel, maintaining the integrity and operability of sensitive equipment, and preserving facilities required for training, planning, and deployment activities. Inadequate or failing HVAC systems can degrade operational capability, increase health and safety risks, degrade facilities, and disrupt mission-essential functions. The Committee is aware of reports of deficiencies at Fort Bragg, which directly supports units with global response and power projection missions. Accordingly, the Committee urges the Department of the Army to prioritize the repair, replacement, and upgrade of HVAC systems across installations where deficiencies have been identified. The Committee believes such investments are not merely quality-of-life improvements but foundational requirements for maintaining force readiness and resilience. While correcting such deficiencies, the Secretary of the Army should prioritize barracks, command and control facilities, maintenance structures, medical facilities, and operational support buildings that enable these units.

FORT POLK MODERNIZATION

The Committee recognizes that modernizing Combat Training Centers (CTCs), including Fort Polk, is a critical enabler of the Army transformation initiative and supports the Secretary of the Army's objective of ensuring formations are prepared to operate in complex, contested environments. The Committee further recognizes the evolving character of warfare—including contested communications, cyber and electronic warfare, unmanned systems threats, and degraded sustainment environments—underscores the strategic importance of CTCs in generating readiness for large-scale combat operations. Consistent with Army Transformation Initiative objectives and Army manning guidance, the Committee supports a prioritization of CTCs for senior-grade and high-experience personnel fills, ensuring the most qualified leaders are assigned to

Observer/Controller-Trainer, opposing force, and enabling roles essential to high-fidelity training. The Committee further supports a prioritization of available end-strength growth to maximize adversary force, sustainment, and enabling unit manning at CTCs and the Army's highest-tempo and deploying brigade combat teams.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, on options to modernize Fort Polk to optimally support multi-domain combat training installation for large-scale combat operations against near-peer adversaries. The briefing shall include the Secretary's plan to optimally expand Fort Polk including: (1) transition training from legacy counterinsurgency-focused scenarios to persistent peer-threat conditions, including contested communications, cyber and electronic warfare, degraded logistics, long-range fires, and denied space and Global Positioning System environments; (2) optimize opposing force capacity, to include growth of the 509th Infantry Regiment to support peer-threat replication; (3) establish a counter—unmanned aerial systems training center; and (4) reform Observer/Controller-Trainer assignment policies to emphasize experienced former commanders and senior leaders. The briefing shall also describe force structure adjustments, adversary force and sustainment manning improvements, and required resources to support the Secretary's plan to optimally align Fort Polk in best supporting the Secretary's multi-domain combat training vision.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Navy:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1A1A	**MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS**	**8,324,572**	**8,195,038**	**−129,534**
	Program increase—anti-submarine warfare support		30,000	
	Unjustified growth		−159,534	
1A2A	**FLEET AIR TRAINING**	**3,128,764**	**3,115,664**	**−13,100**
	Unjustified growth		−13,100	
1A4N	**AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT**	**1,469,165**	**1,469,165**	**0**
1A5A	**AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE**	**2,219,583**	**2,186,483**	**−33,100**
	Unjustified growth		−33,100	
1A9A	**AVIATION LOGISTICS**	**2,664,360**	**2,620,960**	**−43,400**
	Unjustified growth		−43,400	
1B1B	**MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS**	**7,424,752**	**7,990,848**	**566,096**
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		566,096	
1B2B	**SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING**	**1,713,065**	**1,713,065**	**0**
1B4B	**SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE**	**14,292,873**	**14,302,873**	**10,000**
	Program increase—robotic-enabled surface ship sustainment		10,000	
1B5B	**SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT**	**2,597,722**	**2,597,722**	**0**
1C1C	**COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE**	**1,821,744**	**1,821,744**	**0**
1C1M	**MEDICAL READINESS**	**661,800**	**661,800**	**0**
1C3C	**SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE**	**572,000**	**572,000**	**0**
1C4C	**WARFARE TACTICS**	**1,038,456**	**1,033,356**	**−5,100**
	Unjustified growth		−5,100	
1C5C	**OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY**	**496,272**	**521,272**	**25,000**
	Program increase—autonomous USVs for persistent ocean floor mapping		25,000	
1C6C	**COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES**	**2,476,987**	**2,471,187**	**−5,800**
	Program increase—NIWC marine mammals		10,000	
	Unjustified growth		−15,800	
1C7C	**EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT**	**62,570**	**62,570**	**0**
1CCH	**COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS**	**105,379**	**105,379**	**0**
1CCM	**COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT**	**1,994,139**	**1,300,639**	**−693,500**
	Program increase—seabed-to-space uncrewed reconnaissance force		18,500	
	Unjustified growth		−712,000	
1CCY	**CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES**	**662,040**	**656,240**	**−5,800**
	Unjustified growth		−5,800	
1D2D	**STRATEGIC AND REGIONAL STRIKE DETERRENCE**	**2,220,083**	**2,200,083**	**−20,000**
	Unjustified growth		−20,000	
1D4D	**WEAPONS MAINTENANCE**	**1,833,006**	**1,802,606**	**−30,400**
	Unjustified growth		−30,400	
1D7D	**OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT**	**834,752**	**819,752**	**−15,000**
	Unjustified growth		−15,000	
BSIT	**ENTERPRISE INFORMATION**	**2,196,932**	**2,196,932**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BSM1	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	4,891,828	4,939,528	47,700
	Program increase—electrical infrastructure		10,700	
	Program increase—hangar repair		12,000	
	Program increase—reconnaissance parking aprons		25,000	
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	6,069,456	6,089,456	20,000
	Program increase—agentic AI video intelligence		10,000	
	Program increase—Red Hill environmental monitoring		10,000	
2A1F	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	378,073	378,073	0
2A2F	READY RESERVE FORCE	881,029	881,029	0
2B2G	SHIP ACTIVATIONS / INACTIVATIONS	831,641	956,641	125,000
	Program increase—Platform Supply Vessel Program		125,000	
2C3H	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	27,729	27,729	0
3A1J	OFFICER ACQUISITION	198,743	198,743	0
3A2J	RECRUIT TRAINING	17,813	17,813	0
3A3J	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	193,797	193,797	0
3B1K	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	1,163,755	1,146,155	−17,600
	Unjustified growth		−17,600	
3B3K	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	280,521	280,521	0
3B4K	TRAINING SUPPORT	504,282	504,282	0
3C1L	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	261,140	266,140	5,000
	Program increase—Sea Cadets		5,000	
3C3L	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	75,600	75,600	0
3C4L	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	60,191	60,191	0
3C5L	JUNIOR ROTC	60,219	60,219	0
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION	1,396,823	1,396,823	0
4A3M	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	244,308	244,308	0
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	642,260	642,260	0
4AFC	FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION	5,517	5,517	0
4B1A	DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNT	60,440	60,440	0
4B1N	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	279,134	279,134	0
4B2N	PLANNING, ENGINEERING, AND PROGRAM SUPPORT	605,530	587,930	−17,600
	Unjustified growth		−17,600	
4B3N	ACQUISITION, LOGISTICS AND OVERSIGHT	822,580	822,580	0
4C1P	INVESTIGATIVE AND SECURITY SERVICES	1,076,664	1,076,664	0
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	728,457	726,457	−2,000
	Classified adjustment		−2,000	
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		−262,000	−262,000
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION		−400,000	−400,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	82,568,546	81,673,408	−895,138

NAVAL AIR STATION CORPUS CHRISTI

The Committee remains concerned about the facilities and infrastructure conditions of Naval Air Station Corpus Christi as outlined in the Department of the Navy's June 12, 2025, report to Congress entitled "Naval Air Station Corpus Christi Infrastructure Plan." The Committee urges the Navy to address the needs at Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, particularly as it relates to the sustainment backlog, to include improvements and projects for child development and school-aged care centers, quality of life projects for service members and their families, and projects in support of the Chief of Naval Air Training across the Naval Aviation Training Enterprise.

LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP SUSTAINMENT AND MODERNIZATION

The Committee supports the Navy's transition of Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) strategy from acquisition to sustainment and modernization to keep the LCS relevant, combat credible, and reliable through their service lives. The Committee urges continued investment in LCS mission packages, including mine countermeasure and surface warfare packages, and utilization of LCS for the demonstration and testing of unmanned assets. The Committee continues to oppose the decommissioning of additional LCS before the end of their service lives.

FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES FORCES

The Committee is concerned about the readiness posture of Fire and Emergency Services (F&ES) forces across Navy installations, particularly at naval air stations where fire and emergency response is integral to flight operations and aircraft mishap response. Shortfalls in specialized personnel, facilities, and equipment degrade naval aviation readiness and place aircrews and aircraft at risk. The Committee notes that the equipment and infrastructure for F&ES forces deserve the same rigorous readiness oversight applied to other warfighting enablers. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, on the readiness of F&ES forces at naval air stations.

The report shall include: (1) an assessment of the overall manning, training, and certification status of F&ES personnel at naval air stations, including compliance with applicable National Fire Protection Association and Department of Defense standards; (2) an inventory of Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting (ARFF) vehicles and other major firefighting apparatus at each naval air station, including the age, mission-capable rate, and percentage of vehicles that are operationally available relative to established requirements; (3) an assessment of the condition and adequacy of fire station facilities at naval air stations, including any facilities that do not meet current Department of Defense design criteria or that are in poor or failing condition; (4) an identification of known shortfalls in ARFF vehicle inventories, including installations where the number of available vehicles falls below the minimum required to meet applicable federal aviation and Department of Defense stand-

ards for airfield fire protection; and (5) a plan and associated cost estimate to address identified shortfalls in personnel, equipment, and facilities, including any programmatic or budgetary actions the Department intends to take within the future years defense program.

P–8 POSEIDON PARKING APRONS

The Committee remains concerned about the degradation of P–8 Poseidon parking aprons at Naval Air Station Jacksonville, which is home to seven operational squadrons and one reserve squadron. These squadrons provide vital maritime patrol and reconnaissance for the east coast and detachments around the world. Distressed parking apron slabs may generate debris and threaten personnel safety, aircraft readiness, and Naval Air Station Jacksonville's mission. The Committee encourages the Navy to consider targeted repairs to high-stress areas of parking aprons and to recapitalize P–8 parking apron infrastructure at Naval Air Station Jacksonville.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1A1A	**OPERATIONAL FORCES**	3,113,102	3,164,482	51,380
	Program increase—active hearing protection		50,000	
	Program increase—multispectral personal signature management		30,288	
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		70,892	
	Unjustified growth		−99,800	
1A2A	**FIELD LOGISTICS**	2,807,429	2,660,229	−147,200
	Unjustified growth		−147,200	
1A3A	**DEPOT MAINTENANCE**	344,750	344,750	0
1B1B	**MARITIME PREPOSITIONING**	280,543	270,543	−10,000
	Unjustified growth		−10,000	
1CCY	**CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES**	351,199	351,199	0
BSM1	**SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION**	3,629,008	3,629,008	0
BSS1	**BASE OPERATING SUPPORT**	3,175,027	3,164,427	−10,600
	Program increase—installation energy resilience		10,000	
	Unjustified growth		−20,600	
3A1C	**RECRUIT TRAINING**	45,521	45,521	0
3A2C	**OFFICER ACQUISITION**	1,346	1,346	0
3B1D	**SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING**	242,438	217,938	−24,500
	Unjustified growth		−24,500	
3B3D	**PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION**	64,994	64,994	0
3B4D	**TRAINING SUPPORT**	838,526	816,726	−21,800
	Program increase—training systems		10,000	
	Unjustified growth		−31,800	
3C1F	**RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING**	363,287	340,987	−22,300
	Unjustified growth		−22,300	
3C2F	**OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION**	47,840	47,840	0
3C3F	**JUNIOR ROTC**	33,292	33,292	0
4A3G	**SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION**	154,026	154,026	0
4A4G	**ADMINISTRATION**	416,349	416,349	0
9999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	80,140	80,140	0
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		−55,700	−55,700
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION		−20,000	−20,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	15,988,817	15,728,097	−260,720

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
11A	**PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES**	**1,798,263**	**1,828,263**	**30,000**
	Program increase—contracted tanker support		30,000	
11C	**COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES**	**3,117,205**	**3,690,127**	**572,922**
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		572,922	
11D	**AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING**	**2,770,832**	**2,495,832**	**−275,000**
	Unjustified growth		−275,000	
11M	**DEPOT PURCHASE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE**	**6,092,998**	**6,092,998**	**0**
11R	**FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION**	**6,100,395**	**6,100,395**	**0**
11V	**CYBERSPACE SUSTAINMENT**	**320,297**	**320,297**	**0**
11W	**CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT AND SYSTEM SUPPORT**	**11,647,415**	**11,545,415**	**−102,000**
	Program increase—expeditionary command & control node		20,000	
	Program increase—U–2 programmed depot maintenance		81,000	
	Unjustified growth		−203,000	
11Y	**FLYING HOUR PROGRAM**	**7,265,480**	**7,144,480**	**−121,000**
	Unjustified growth		−121,000	
11Z	**BASE SUPPORT**	**11,318,037**	**11,272,637**	**−45,400**
	Program increase—verified aqueous film-forming foam destruction		10,000	
	Program increase—modular, indoor live-fire small arms ranges		15,000	
	Unjustified growth		−70,400	
12A	**GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING**	**1,214,408**	**1,243,208**	**28,800**
	Air Force requested transfer to RDTE,AF line 105		−9,200	
	Program increase—Improve National Airborne Operations Center aircraft availability rating		38,000	
12C	**OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS**	**2,146,977**	**2,146,977**	**0**
12D	**CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES**	**1,155,815**	**1,155,815**	**0**
12F	**TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES**	**1,992,280**	**1,992,280**	**0**
12Q	**MEDICAL READINESS**	**561,626**	**574,126**	**12,500**
	Program increase—expeditionary medical support system		12,500	
15C	**US NORTHCOM / NORAD**	**746,165**	**746,165**	**0**
15D	**US STRATCOM**	**656,448**	**663,048**	**6,600**
	Program increase—B–21 operations		6,600	
15F	**US CENTCOM**	**405,438**	**405,438**	**0**
15G	**US SOCOM**	**42,261**	**42,261**	**0**
15H	**US TRANSCOM**	**694**	**694**	**0**
15X	**USSPACECOM**	**555,147**	**555,147**	**0**
21A	**AIRLIFT OPERATIONS**	**3,782,668**	**3,782,668**	**0**
21D	**MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS**	**321,889**	**321,889**	**0**
31A	**OFFICER ACQUISITION**	**267,971**	**261,771**	**−6,200**
	Unjustified growth		−6,200	
31B	**RECRUIT TRAINING**	**70,462**	**60,462**	**−10,000**
	Unjustified growth		−10,000	
31D	**RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS [ROTC]**	**143,686**	**143,686**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

0–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
32A	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	589,549	589,549	0
32B	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,122,297	1,122,297	0
32C	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	280,908	280,908	0
32D	TRAINING SUPPORT	192,608	192,608	0
33A	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	254,720	254,720	0
33B	EXAMINING	7,261	7,261	0
33C	OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	232,768	232,768	0
33D	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	354,678	354,678	0
33E	JUNIOR ROTC Program increase—talent pipeline modernization initiative	114,790	119,790 5,000	5,000
41A	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS Program increase—zero trust fabric digital supply chain	1,124,763	1,139,763 15,000	15,000
41B	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	159,721	159,721	0
42A	ADMINISTRATION	1,292,758	1,292,758	0
42B	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	43,892	43,892	0
42G	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	1,666,547	1,666,547	0
42I	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION Program increase	32,984	62,200 29,216	29,216
42W	DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNT	58,936	58,936	0
43A	SECURITY PROGRAMS	202,400	202,400	0
44A	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	77,853	77,853	0
9999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	1,735,346	1,704,396 −30,950	−30,950
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES	0	−270,000	−270,000
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION	0	−200,000	−200,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	74,039,636	73,679,124	−360,512

AIR FORCE SPECIAL OPERATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE

The Committee understands that the Air Force continues to make progress on establishing the 492nd Special Operations Wing at Davis-Monthan Air Force Base and has made significant investments in fiscal years 2025 and 2026 to support these efforts. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with Air Force Special Operations Command, to provide regular updates to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on anticipated delays or deviations from the plan previously provided to Congress as well as resources needed to complete the transition.

U–2 DRAGON LADY

The Committee believes the U–2 Dragon Lady continues to offer combatant commanders with intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance necessary to make informed decisions. The Committee remains concerned about timely follow-on capabilities, and therefore includes a general provision that prohibits divestment of the majority of the U–2 fleet and provides $81,000,000 to support U–2 programmed depot maintenance.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SPACE FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Space Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
12A	**GLOBAL C3I & EARLY WARNING**	**1,056,824**	**1,056,024**	**−800**
	Program increase—alternative navigation broadcast services		15,000	
	Unjustified growth		−15,800	
13A	**SPACE LAUNCH OPERATIONS**	**415,322**	**415,322**	**0**
13C	**SPACE OPERATIONS**	**1,266,939**	**1,179,939**	**−87,000**
	Space Force requested transfer to P,SF line 27		−78,000	
	Unjustified growth		−9,000	
13D	**CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES**	**289,958**	**268,458**	**−21,500**
	Unjustified growth		−21,500	
13E	**EDUCATION & TRAINING**	**783,168**	**721,352**	**−61,816**
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		41,084	
	Unjustified growth		−102,900	
13F	**SPECIAL PROGRAMS**	**733,761**	**730,461**	**−3,300**
	Classified adjustment		−3,300	
13M	**DEPOT MAINTENANCE**	**83,803**	**83,803**	**0**
13R	**FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION**	**1,384,326**	**1,384,326**	**0**
13W	**CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS & SYSTEM SUPPORT**	**2,096,381**	**2,036,381**	**−60,000**
	Unjustified growth		−60,000	
13Z	**BASE SUPPORT**	**551,196**	**490,996**	**−60,200**
	Unjustified growth		−60,200	
41A	**LOGISTICS OPERATIONS**	**36,164**	**36,164**	**0**
42A	**ADMINISTRATION**	**449,597**	**439,997**	**−9,600**
	Space Force requested transfer to RDTE,SF line 49		−9,600	
9999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	**118,478**	**118,478**	**0**
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		**−70,900**	**−70,900**
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION		**−90,000**	**−90,000**
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SPACE FORCE	**9,265,917**	**8,800,801**	**−465,116**

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1PL1	**JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF**	**534,218**	**498,018**	**−36,200**
	Unjustified growth		−36,200	
8PL1	**JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF—JTEEP**	**1,393,798**	**1,764,679**	**370,881**
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		484,881	
	Unjustified growth		−114,000	
8PL2	**JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF—CYBER**	**9,103**	**9,103**	**0**
8PL3	**JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF—JIATF**	**431,652**	**431,652**	**0**
1GTM	**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE—PSYOP**	**325,609**	**325,609**	**0**
1PL6	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND COMBAT DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES**	**2,589,383**	**2,589,383**	**0**
1PL7	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND MAINTENANCE**	**1,388,865**	**1,398,865**	**10,000**
	Program increase—distributed additive manufacturing		20,000	
	Unjustified growth		−10,000	
1PLM	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND MANAGEMENT/OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS**	**180,691**	**180,691**	**0**
1PLR	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND THEATER FORCES**	**3,695,859**	**3,701,612**	**5,753**
	Program increase—ARKTOS training program		6,000	
	Program increase—cognitive performance enhancement program		7,253	
	Program increase—Preservation of the Force and Family Program		7,500	
	Program increase—special operations TBI poly-trauma evaluation program		5,000	
	Unjustified growth		−20,000	
1PLS	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES**	**78,512**	**78,512**	**0**
1PLU	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND INTELLIGENCE**	**1,130,849**	**1,120,849**	**−10,000**
	Unjustified growth		−10,000	
1PLV	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND OPERATIONAL SUPPORT**	**1,796,851**	**1,781,851**	**−15,000**
	Unjustified growth		−15,000	
12D	**CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS**	**1,880,381**	**1,934,492**	**54,111**
	Program increase—cyber munitions, readiness and training		229,000	
	Program increase—high performance team training		11,000	
	Program increase—internet operations management (IOM) security automation		10,000	
	Excess growth		−139,815	
	Historical unexpended balances		−56,074	
15E	**USCYBERCOM HEADQUARTERS**	**303,726**	**293,726**	**−10,000**
	Excess growth		−10,000	
3EV2	**DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY**	**193,017**	**193,017**	**0**
3PL1	**JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION**	**173,748**	**173,748**	**0**
3EV8	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION**	**30,040**	**30,040**	**0**
4GT3	**CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS**	**118,488**	**278,488**	**160,000**
	Program increase—National Guard Youth Challenge		100,000	
	Program increase—STARBASE		60,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

0–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
4GT6	**DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY**	**611,600**	**611,600**	**0**
4GDC	**DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY—CYBER**	**3,825**	**3,825**	**0**
4GTO	**DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY**	**1,462,988**	**1,462,988**	**0**
4GTP	**DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY—CYBER**	**42,367**	**42,367**	**0**
4GTE	**DEFENSE COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY AGENCY**	**1,035,974**	**1,035,974**	**0**
4GTG	**DEFENSE COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY AGENCY—CYBER**	**16,885**	**16,885**	**0**
4GT8	**DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY**	**1,505,352**	**1,505,352**	**0**
4GSE	**DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY—CYBER**	**49,611**	**49,611**	**0**
4GT9	**DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY**	**3,873,222**	**3,873,222**	**0**
4GU9	**DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY—CYBER**	**602,017**	**602,017**	**0**
4GTA	**DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY**	**147,620**	**147,620**	**0**
4GTB	**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY**	**585,610**	**571,510**	**−14,100**
	Unjustified growth		−14,100	
ES18	**DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY**	**207,551**	**207,551**	**0**
4GTC	**DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE**	**160,358**	**160,358**	**0**
4GTD	**DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY**	**3,780,757**	**4,022,325**	**241,568**
	Program increase—Baltic Security Initiative		175,000	
	Program increase—Irregular Warfare Center		3,368	
	Program increase—Public Law 114–92 section 1226		75,000	
	Unjustified growth		−11,800	
4GTH	**DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION**	**47,101**	**47,101**	**0**
4GTI	**DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY**	**637,514**	**637,514**	**0**
4GTL	**DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY—CYBER**	**73,477**	**73,477**	**0**
4GTJ	**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY**	**3,493,232**	**3,598,232**	**105,000**
	Program increase—Impact Aid		50,000	
	Program increase—Impact Aid for children with disabilities		20,000	
	Program increase—Military and Family Life Counseling program		15,000	
	Program increase—world language advancement and readiness grants		20,000	
4GTM	**OFFICE OF LOCAL DEFENSE COMMUNITY COOPERATION**	**142,597**	**167,597**	**25,000**
	Program increase—Defense Community Infrastructure Program		25,000	
4GTN	**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE**	**3,832,831**	**3,841,746**	**8,915**
	Program increase—APEX accelerators		46,444	
	Program increase—autonomous sUAS docking stations for installation air defense		25,000	
	Program increase—National September 11 Memorial & Museum		12,000	
	Program increase—Native APEX accelerators		6,000	
	Program increase—Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration Program		20,000	
	Program decrease—Office of Legislative Affairs		−529	
	Unjustified growth		−100,000	
4GTC	**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE—CYBER**	**81,163**	**81,163**	**0**
011A	**MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY**	**487,235**	**487,235**	**0**
4GTQ	**WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES**	**536,546**	**551,546**	**15,000**
	Program increase—9/11 Pentagon Memorial Visitor Education Center		15,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
9999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** Classified adjustment	24,579,358	24,167,024 −412,334	−412,334
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		−464,600	−464,600
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION (EXCLUDES SOCOM, CYBERCOM, AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES)		−120,000	−120,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	64,251,581	64,165,575	−86,006

DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY PROGRAMS

The Secretary of Defense shall, not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act, submit to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees a detailed spend plan for amounts made available for the Defense Security Cooperation Agency. The plan shall include amounts for each program listed in the budget justification documents and, for International Security Cooperation Programs, amounts provided in the prior two fiscal years and planned for fiscal year 2027 by combatant command, country, and authority. The plan shall only reflect the amounts requested in the fiscal year 2027 budget justification materials as modified by fiscal year 2027 appropriations. A similar document with requested amounts shall be provided to such Committees concurrent with the submission of the President's budget request for fiscal year 2028.

Of the amount provided for Defense Security Cooperation Agency, the recommendation includes $1,448,173,000 for International Security Cooperation Programs. The Committee directs that congressional notifications for these funds specify the fiscal year, whether funds support ongoing or new programs, and the duration and expected cost over the life of each program.

The recommendation also includes $1,000,000,000 for the Taiwan Security Cooperation Initiative. Such funds are provided to increase Taiwan's defense, readiness, and deterrence capabilities. The Committee expects these programs to be integrated with other security assistance programs in a manner that complements, rather than duplicates, efforts and directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a spend plan to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act.

BALTIC STATES SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS

The Committee recognizes the value of unclassified, commercial, space-based satellite imagery to enhance Baltic nations' collective situational awareness and national defense and encourages the Secretary of Defense to utilize Baltic Security Initiative resources to assist in these efforts.

POLAND

The Committee recognizes the longstanding bipartisan congressional support for the Republic of Poland. The Committee further recognizes Poland has steadily improved its defense posture, to include military expenditures totaling an estimated 4.8 percent of its annual gross domestic product. The Committee also notes the efforts that Poland has accomplished in support of improving their military readiness and civilian infrastructure, which align with the United States' bilateral Enhanced Defense Cooperation Agreement framework signed in August 2020.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, Director of National Intelligence, and Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to review the potential impact a force reduction in Poland would have on the shared security and budgetary outcomes for the United States, the Republic of Poland, and our mutual allies in Eastern Europe and

provide the congressional defense committees with a briefing not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act on their findings.

EGYPT

The Committee recognizes the longstanding bilateral security cooperation between the United States and Egypt that has been foundational to maintaining security in the region. Egypt is a strategic partner and has been a Major Non-NATO Ally for nearly four decades. The Committee further notes Egypt's participation in the National Guard State Partnership Program through its partnership with the Texas National Guard.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SUPPORT FOR GAZA CEASEFIRE AND STABILIZATION EFFORTS

The Committee appreciates the Department of Defense's support of the Civil Military Coordination Center (CMCC) and efforts it has done toward stabilization. However, the Committee needs to better understand the resourcing of such efforts.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act, and quarterly thereafter until September 30, 2027, on any Department of Defense support for such efforts. The report should include the following: (1) any use of Department of Defense funds, personnel, contractors, intelligence, logistics, transportation, planning, equipment, base support, or other resources; (2) any role for United States Armed Forces in an international stabilization force or similar security arrangement; (3) any United States military involvement in an Israeli military withdrawal, Hamas disarmament, demilitarization efforts, or ongoing operations by Hamas, Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or other armed groups in Gaza; and (4) whether United States origin weapons, equipment, or other defense support are being used in a manner consistent with the ceasefire or stabilization effort.

If the Department of Defense has not provided support during a reporting period, the Secretary may satisfy this requirement by certifying that no such support was provided. The Committee also directs the Secretary to notify the congressional defense committees within three days after any United States Armed Forces are deployed into Gaza or used to directly support an international stabilization force or similar security arrangement for Gaza.

INDUSTRIAL BASE POLICY

The Committee recognizes Congress has directed the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Industrial Base Policy) and its components to execute significant requirements in recent years. The Committee understands the growing threat posed to the Department of Defense by adversarial capital and coercive market tactics by adversaries. The Committee further notes the importance of foreign investment review, monitoring certain outbound investments, and the series of other functions conducted by the Assistant Secretary

of Defense (Industrial Base Policy) to mitigate these threats. The Committee urges the Department to continue to develop the way it programs, funds, and conducts these critical activities.

GOLD STAR ADVISORY COUNCIL

The Committee recognizes the sacrifices made by the families of service members who die while serving the nation, including members of the United States Coast Guard. The Committee notes that family members of fallen Coast Guard service members may not currently be represented on the Department of Defense Gold Star and Surviving Family Member Advisory Council. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security, to take appropriate steps to ensure that eligible family members of fallen Coast Guard service members are afforded the opportunity to serve on, and provide input through, the Gold Star and Surviving Family Member Advisory Council.

QUALITY-OF-LIFE GRANT PROGRAM

The Committee recognizes the critical support the Office of Local Defense Community Cooperation (OLDCC) provides to military communities through its existing grant programs. Currently, under the Defense Community Infrastructure Program, there is limited opportunity to submit quality-of-life projects that specifically provide grants to improve shared, off-installation community facilities and spaces used by military families, including community centers, public recreation facilities, multipurpose gathering spaces, employment and education centers, community-based childcare or youth support spaces, outdoor parks and activity areas, community resilience and wellness facilities, and similar shared-use civilian infrastructure. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, that includes a feasibility assessment of establishing an OLDCC-administered grant program to support off-installation, community-based quality-of-life infrastructure of the types described above, including potential program structure, eligibility criteria, administrative considerations, and anticipated resource needs. The Committee further encourages the Department of Defense to consider including funding for such a program in the President's budget request for fiscal year 2028.

MILITARY AND FAMILY LIFE COUNSELING PROGRAM

The Committee notes the importance of the Military and Family Life Counseling Program and the impact it has on service members and their families. The Committee believes this program, and similar programs, are integral to the readiness and resilience of the military. The Committee is concerned about the Department of Defense's plan to reduce the number of counselors in fiscal year 2027, especially at a time when the Department is seeing a surge in cases due to increased operational tempo and the program is operating at maximum capacity. Accordingly, the Committee recommends an additional $15,000,000 for the program and encour-

ages the Department to focus on increasing the number of counselors to meet demands. In allocating counselors, the Committee encourages prioritizing installations that have experienced increases in suicide rates over the past year, including among military spouses. The Committee further encourages consideration of installations with increased deployment rates due to real-world events and significant joint service populations for additional resources.

GROOMING STANDARDS EVALUATION

The Committee understands the Department of Defense and the Military Services are conducting a reevaluation of existing religious accommodations related to grooming standards for facial hair. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense, in concert with the Service Secretaries, to expeditiously complete the reevaluation and to keep the Committee informed of the results. Upon completion of the review, the Secretary of Defense, with input from the Service Secretaries, shall provide a report to the congressional defense committees with the following information, disaggregated by religious affiliation: (1) the number of service members who have requested a waiver for facial hair based on their sincerely held religious beliefs; (2) the number of waivers granted; (3) the number of waivers denied; and (4) the number of previously granted waivers revoked.

NORTHERN TRIANGLE COUNTRIES

The Committee remains interested in evaluations of security cooperation programs with Northern Triangle countries. Further, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Policy) to provide an update on the Department of Defense's progress in implementing the outstanding recommendations contained in the of Government Accountability Office report "Northern Triangle: DoD and State need improved policies to address equipment misuse" (GAO–23–105856) to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act. The Committee is also interested in Army Security Force Assistance Brigade deployments in the region. Therefore, any congressional notification made pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 321 or 10 U.S.C. 333 should include a description of the number of individuals deployed and their training; the amount, type, and purpose of the training and equipment to be provided to the recipient country's security forces; the timeline and source of funds; and how the proposed program fits into the overall security cooperation goals of the brigade or country.

READINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INTEGRATION PROGRAM

The Committee recognizes the important role the Department of Defense plays as a federal partner in multi-state watershed restoration projects and the importance of the Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration (REPI) Program in limiting encroachment and land use conflicts. The Committee also recognizes the importance of deploying stormwater best management practices

on and around military bases to mitigate flooding and runoff, especially in stormwater-stressed ecosystems. The Committee encourages the Department to prioritize REPI projects that leverage other federal and non-federal funding sources to deploy best management practices on lands conserved through REPI to enhance resilience and improve water quality in watersheds where the Department has restoration partnership obligations and where land subsidence compounds the threat of sea level rise and associated flooding.

COUNTER-ISIS TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for the Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP	118,899	118,899	0
SYRIA TRAIN AND EQUIP	130,000	130,000	0
LEBANON TRAIN AND EQUIP	36,000	36,000	0
JORDAN TRAIN AND EQUIP	18,200	18,200	0
TOTAL, COUNTER-ISIS TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND	303,099	303,099	0

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	15,213	15,213	0
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	674,766	674,766	0
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	121,223	121,223	0
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	664,612	664,612	0
116	AVIATION ASSETS	37,490	37,490	0
121	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT Program increase—readiness training optimization	380,473	394,274 13,801	13,801
122	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS	41,301	41,301	0
123	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	37,429	37,429	0
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	577,337	577,337	0
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	411,093	411,093	0
133	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	27,810	27,810	0
151	CYBER ACTIVITIES—CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	2,725	2,725	0
153	CYBER ACTIVITIES—CYBERSECURITY	19,422	19,422	0
421	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	15,237	15,237	0
431	ADMINISTRATION	11,708	11,708	0
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	4,165	4,165	0
433	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	7,300	7,300	0
434	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	63,330	63,330	0
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		−28,300	−28,300
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION		−7,000	−7,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	3,112,634	3,091,135	−21,499

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	787,622	800,172	12,550
	Program increase—F/A–18E/F recapitalization		14,000	
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		6,550	
	Unjustified growth		−8,000	
1A4N	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	9,733	9,733	0
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	215,547	215,547	0
1A9A	AVIATION LOGISTICS	27,703	27,703	0
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	19,652	19,652	0
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	196,376	191,176	−5,200
	Unjustified growth		−5,200	
1CCY	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES	288	288	0
BSIT	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	30,811	30,811	0
BSMR	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	59,386	59,386	0
BSSR	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	111,177	111,177	0
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION	2,747	2,747	0
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	14,944	14,944	0
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	1,230	1,230	0
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		−15,500	−15,500
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION		−456	−456
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,477,216	1,468,610	−8,606

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1A1A	OPERATING FORCES	142,416	144,210	1,794
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		1,794	
1A3A	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	23,213	23,213	0
BSM1	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	100,709	100,709	0
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	128,902	128,902	0
4A4G	ADMINISTRATION	9,440	9,440	0
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		−4,500	−4,500
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION		−2,000	−2,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	404,680	399,974	−4,706

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
11A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	2,138,964	2,135,120	−3,844
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		21,056	
	Unjustified growth		−24,900	
11G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	217,542	217,542	0
11M	DEPOT PURCHASE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	787,861	787,861	0
11R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	187,022	187,022	0
11W	CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT AND SYSTEM SUPPORT	654,233	654,233	0
11Z	BASE SUPPORT	632,638	632,638	0
12D	CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES	1,655	1,655	0
42A	ADMINISTRATION	100,998	100,998	0
42J	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	11,620	11,620	0
42K	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	15,893	15,893	0
42M	AUDIOVISUAL	561	561	0
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		−59,300	−59,300
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION		−10,000	−10,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	4,748,987	4,675,843	−73,144

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
111	MANEUVER UNITS	807,777	807,777	0
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	233,114	230,414	−2,700
	Unjustified growth		−2,700	
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	1,143,423	1,139,123	−4,300
	Unjustified growth		−4,300	
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	84,598	83,857	−741
	Unjustified growth		−741	
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	344,161	343,601	−560
	Unjustified growth		−560	
116	AVIATION ASSETS	1,096,948	1,096,571	−377
	Unjustified growth		−377	
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	864,172	904,663	40,491
	Program increase—Center for the Study of the National Guard		5,000	
	Program increase—integrated live training		9,500	
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		38,591	
	Unjustified growth		−12,600	
122	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	93,367	92,990	−377
	Unjustified growth		−377	
123	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	171,055	171,055	0
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,326,854	1,326,854	0
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,065,363	1,065,363	0
133	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	1,116,559	1,116,559	0
151	CYBER ACTIVITIES—CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	6,831	6,831	0
153	CYBER ACTIVITIES—CYBERSECURITY	24,785	24,785	0
421	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	6,604	6,604	0
431	ADMINISTRATION	50,221	50,221	0
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	24,846	24,846	0
434	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	239,142	239,142	0
437	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	4,004	4,004	0
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		−77,800	−77,800
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	8,703,824	8,657,460	−46,364

FORT HARRISON FACILITY MODERNIZATION

The Committee recognizes the need to improve training, testing, and soldier support infrastructure at Fort Harrison. The Committee is concerned with reports that Fort Harrison lacks adequate dedicated indoor space for unit physical training, Army fitness test administration, and Holistic Health and Fitness activities, which may limit commanders' ability to meet readiness requirements while also supporting warrior task training. The Committee is further concerned that existing facilities may be insufficient to meet current demand. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Army National Guard to prioritize facility modernization at Fort Harrison and to assess the condition and capacity of facilities that support unit preparedness, force health, and quality of life for soldiers training at the installation.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
11F	**AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS**	**2,744,277**	**2,750,027**	**5,750**
	Program increase—contingency response forces		15,000	
	Program increase—modernization package		2,500	
	Program increase—readiness training optimization		36,050	
	Unjustified growth		−47,800	
11G	**MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS**	**663,367**	**679,467**	**16,100**
	Program increase—agentic warfare		10,000	
	Program increase—security forces model defender kit		16,000	
	Unjustified growth		−9,900	
11M	**DEPOT PURCHASE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE**	**1,210,460**	**1,210,460**	**0**
11R	**FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION**	**531,241**	**531,241**	**0**
11W	**CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT AND SYSTEM SUPPORT**	**1,632,461**	**1,580,276**	**−52,185**
	Unjustified growth		−52,185	
11Z	**BASE OPERATING SUPPORT**	**1,128,729**	**1,128,729**	**0**
11V	**CYBERSPACE SUSTAINMENT**	**26,354**	**26,354**	**0**
12D	**CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES**	**81,720**	**81,720**	**0**
42A	**ADMINISTRATION**	**64,249**	**64,249**	**0**
42J	**RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING**	**47,831**	**47,831**	**0**
	HISTORICAL UNOBLIGATED BALANCES		**−39,200**	**−39,200**
	PROJECTED OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN COMPENSATION		**−80,000**	**−80,000**
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	**8,130,689**	**7,981,154**	**−149,535**

POINT DEFENSE BATTLE LAB

The Committee is encouraged by the progress made to stand up Air Combat Command's Point Defense Battle Lab (PDBL), which leverages Air National Guard assets to enhance efforts to develop and evaluate advanced technologies to defend installations from small unmanned aircraft systems threats. The Committee encourages the Department of the Air Force and the Air National Guard to properly resource the PDBL.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
4GTT	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE	21,698	21,698	0
	TOTAL, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES	21,698	21,698	0

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Environmental Restoration, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	282,444	282,444	0
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	282,444	282,444	0

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Environmental Restoration, Navy:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	**305,246**	**308,496**	**3,250**
Program increase—industrial reserve plant PFAS remediation		3,250	
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	**305,246**	**308,496**	**3,250**

PFAS REMEDIATION AT FORMER NAVAL INSTALLATIONS

The Committee is concerned by the pace of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substance (PFAS) remediation at former Naval installations. The Committee recommendation includes additional funding for PFAS remediation at industrial reserve plants on such installations. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to prioritize and expedite executable remediation activities at such facilities and to utilize fully vetted, executable plans to obligate funds without delay, prioritizing actions that mitigate ongoing releases and protect adjacent communities' groundwater.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Environmental Restoration, Air Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	320,060	320,060	0
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	320,060	320,060	0

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	8,957	8,957	0
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	8,957	8,957	0

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites. The Committee expects the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to execute the Military Munitions Response Program in a manner consistent with the budget request.

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES	238,927	238,927	0
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES	238,927	238,927	0

OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
FOREIGN DISASTER RELIEF	10,000	10,000	0
HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE	57,656	57,656	0
HUMANITARIAN MINE ACTION PROGRAM	10,531	10,531	0
TOTAL, OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID	78,187	78,187	0

BUDGET JUSTIFICATION MATERIALS AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to include in its budget justification materials for the Humanitarian Assistance and Humanitarian Mine Action Program the amounts planned for each combatant command, country, and program area as well as a comparison to funding provided in the previous two fiscal years. The Committee also directs the Secretary of Defense to inform the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees of any planned foreign disaster relief not later than 72 hours following a disaster.

COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

The Committee recommends the following appropriation for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Account:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BIOLOGICAL THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM	66,524	66,524	0
CHEMICAL SECURITY PROGRAM	23,435	23,435	0
PROLIFERATION PREVENTION PROGRAM	52,052	52,052	0
GLOBAL NUCLEAR SECURITY	29,950	29,950	0
OTHER ASSESSMENTS/ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS	22,957	22,957	0
TRANSPORTATION ELIMINATION DISPOSITION	26,414	26,414	0
TOTAL, COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT	221,332	221,332	0

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNT

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

O–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	RECRUITING AND HIRING	2,868	2,868	0
2	TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT	34,210	34,210	0
3	RECOGNITION AND RETENTION	400	400	0
	TOTAL, DOD ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND	37,478	37,478	0

TITLE III

PROCUREMENT

The fiscal year 2027 Department of Defense procurement budget request and the Committee recommendation are summarized in the table below:

PROCUREMENT

[Dollars in thousands]

SUMMARY	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
ARMY			
AIRCRAFT	1,933,769	2,837,225	+903,456
MISSILES	12,130,430	11,421,617	−708,813
WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES	3,728,159	3,707,509	−20,650
AMMUNITION	5,469,588	5,376,381	−93,207
OTHER	12,667,053	11,836,525	−830,528
TOTAL, ARMY	35,928,999	35,179,257	−749,742
NAVY			
AIRCRAFT	26,947,023	24,777,133	−2,169,890
WEAPONS	11,769,111	11,424,510	−344,601
AMMUNITION	1,958,597	1,768,663	−189,934
SHIPS	60,176,117	56,673,695	−3,502,422
OTHER	18,866,679	17,486,518	−1,380,161
MARINE CORPS	6,288,905	5,356,462	−932,443
TOTAL, NAVY	126,006,432	117,486,981	−8,519,451
AIR FORCE			
AIRCRAFT	26,985,236	27,449,748	+464,512
MISSILES	6,811,174	6,762,886	−48,288
AMMUNITION	910,116	878,565	−31,551
OTHER	39,199,963	37,277,255	−1,922,708
TOTAL, AIR FORCE	73,906,489	72,368,454	−1,538,035
SPACE FORCE			
SPACE PROGRAMS	9,645,353	9,619,753	−25,600
TOTAL, SPACE FORCE	9,645,353	9,619,753	−25,600
DEFENSE-WIDE	10,354,868	11,129,485	+774,617
DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES	477,287	552,787	+75,500
DEFENSE STRATEGIC CAPITAL CREDIT PROGRAM	216,000	216,000	
NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT	1,000,000	1,000,000	
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT	257,535,428	247,552,717	−9,982,711

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR PROCUREMENT ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2008 (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall be $15,000,000 for procurement.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with the guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogramming actions are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P–1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

FUNDING INCREASES

The funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

PROCUREMENT SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been recommended or items for which funding is specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables detailing recommended adjustments or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in this report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount, as specifically addressed elsewhere in this report.

JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE

In May 2025, the Secretary of the Army announced the cancellation of future Army procurement of the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV), for which the Army serves as the joint program acquisition lead. The Marine Corps retains a requirement for 12,500 JLTVs and continues to depend on the program to meet its light tactical mobility needs. The Army's decision also affects the Air Force's procurement of JLTVs, which relies on the JLTV in notably smaller quantities.

The Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 directed the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment), in coordination with the Service Secretaries, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees detailing each Service's JLTV ac-

quisition strategy with the submission of the President's Budget request for fiscal year 2027. The Committee acknowledges that the Department of Defense's interim response has indicated that additional time is needed to coordinate the report, and directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment), to submit the report, including all elements specified in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 not later than September 30, 2026.

ADVANCE PROCUREMENT

The Committee has found instances where the Department of Defense's request for advance procurement (AP) long-lead items justifications has not been clearly articulated. The Department's AP for long-lead items should be limited to major procurement appropriations and for items such as components, materials, parts, or efforts where lead times exceed the life of the appropriation or are necessary to maintain a planned production schedule. There are several instances where AP has been requested for items that are well within the life of the appropriation, and the necessity to maintain a planned production schedule is not fully explained or justified. Therefore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and Assistant Secretaries (Financial Management and Comptroller) for the Army, Navy, and Air Force to review instances where AP was granted in fiscal year 2026 or has been requested for fiscal year 2027 and submit individual reports, by Service, to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, detailing instances where AP has not met the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation guidance, and proposals on how the Services can more clearly articulate justification for AP in budget request documentation for fiscal year 2028.

BUDGET REQUEST EXHIBITS FOR PROCUREMENT ACCOUNTS

The Committee notes inconsistencies in the Department of Defense's congressional budget justification materials for procurement accounts. There are numerous examples in the Services' budget request documents where quantities, unit costs, and narratives explaining changes are omitted, inconsistent, or inadequate, and cases where full exhibits are not provided though required by the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation. Procurement and production exhibits are necessary for the Committee's review and oversight, and this documentation, such as the P–5a procurement history and planning exhibit, help reduce the number of requests for additional information from the Committee in evaluating the Department's annual budget request. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and Assistant Secretaries (Financial Management and Comptroller) for the Army, Navy, and Air Force to ensure that budget exhibits provide complete and accurate justification materials, in accordance with the Financial Management Regulation.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Aircraft Procurement, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**MQ–1 UAV**	**0**	**240,000**	**240,000**
	Program increase—MQ–1C Gray Eagle 25M aircraft for the Army National Guard		240,000	
3	**SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS**	**291,472**	**143,979**	**−147,493**
	Program decrease—excess to need		−77,000	
	Program decrease—Sky Foundry		−75,493	
	Program increase—fiber optic drones		5,000	
4	**UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS [UAS]**	**52,398**	**52,398**	**0**
5	**HADES PLATFORM, PAYLOADS/PED, AND INTEGRATION**	**227,569**	**221,895**	**−5,674**
	Program decrease—excess support costs		−5,674	
7	**AH–64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN**	**1,552**	**1,552**	**0**
9	**FUTURE VERTICAL LIFT FAMILY OF SYSTEMS**	**127,217**	**0**	**−127,217**
	Program decrease—ahead of need		−127,217	
10	**UH–60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL [MYP]**	**39,257**	**532,257**	**493,000**
	Program increase—additional UH–60M aircraft		493,000	
12	**CH–47 HELICOPTER**	**210,645**	**666,645**	**456,000**
	Program increase—additional CH–47F Block II aircraft		456,000	
15	**MQ–1 PAYLOAD**	**53,190**	**53,190**	**0**
16	**GRAY EAGLE MODS2**	**2,556**	**2,556**	**0**
17	**AH–64 MODS**	**251,645**	**251,645**	**0**
18	**SCALABLE CONTROL INTERFACE (SCI)**	**2,061**	**2,061**	**0**
19	**CH–47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS**	**108,408**	**93,248**	**−15,160**
	Carryover		−15,160	
20	**UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS**	**120,013**	**130,013**	**10,000**
	Program increase—UH–60 modular medical system upgrades		10,000	
21	**NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN**	**29,235**	**29,235**	**0**
22	**COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE**	**14,384**	**14,384**	**0**
24	**AVIATION ASSURED PNT**	**55,055**	**55,055**	**0**
27	**AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT**	**125,050**	**125,050**	**0**
28	**CMWS**	**21,511**	**21,511**	**0**
29	**COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES [CIRCM]**	**140,636**	**140,636**	**0**
30	**COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT**	**29,778**	**29,778**	**0**
31	**AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS**	**15,229**	**15,229**	**0**
32	**AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL**	**11,044**	**11,044**	**0**
33	**LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET**	**3,864**	**3,864**	**0**
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY	**1,933,769**	**2,837,225**	**903,456**

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Missile Procurement, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE [AMD] SENSOR**	**2,036,358**	**1,522,495**	**−513,863**
	Program decrease—initial spares and repair parts		−89,248	
	Program decrease—non-recurring costs excess to need		−55,885	
	Program decrease—quantity of 4		−368,730	
2	**TERMINAL HIGH ALTITUDE AREA DEFENSE (THAAD)**	**907,162**	**733,424**	**−173,738**
	Army requested transfer to OM,A SAG 113 for THAAD realignment		−173,738	
3	**M–SHORAD—PROCUREMENT**	**712,690**	**571,121**	**−141,569**
	Program decrease—contract award delay		−110,719	
	Program decrease—excess to need		−30,850	
4	**MSE MISSILE**	**1,297,528**	**1,297,528**	**0**
5	**PRECISION STRIKE MISSILE [PRSM]**	**1,226,526**	**1,200,024**	**−26,502**
	Program decrease—PrSM Increment 2 rate tooling		−26,502	
6	**INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INC 2–I**	**1,626,004**	**1,686,004**	**60,000**
	Program increase—magazine production augmentation		60,000	
7	**MID-RANGE CAPABILITY (MRC)**	**370,351**	**354,256**	**−16,095**
	Program decrease—SM–6 unit cost savings		−16,095	
9	**JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MSLS [JAGM]**	**104,059**	**71,571**	**−32,488**
	Program decrease—contract award delay		−32,488	
11	**LONG-RANGE HYPERSONIC WEAPON**	**301,777**	**119,628**	**−182,149**
	Program decrease—testing delays		−182,149	
12	**JAVELIN [AAWS–M] SYSTEM SUMMARY**	**417,031**	**417,031**	**0**
14	**GUIDED MLRS ROCKET [GMLRS]**	**1,014,937**	**1,014,937**	**0**
15	**GUIDED MLRS ROCKET [GMLRS] [AP–CY]**	**49,106**	**49,106**	**0**
16	**MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS [RRPR]**	**7,412**	**7,412**	**0**
17	**HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM [HIMARS]**	**745,682**	**745,682**	**0**
20	**FAMILY OF LOW ALTITUDE UNMANNED SYSTEMS**	**139,191**	**131,782**	**−7,409**
	Program decrease—LRPM–Enduring ahead of need		−7,409	
20A	**LOW-COST HYPERSONIC STRIKE SYSTEMS**	**0**	**325,000**	**325,000**
	Program increase—quantity of 500		325,000	
21	**PATRIOT MODS**	**796,837**	**796,837**	**0**
22	**STINGER MODS**	**10,786**	**10,786**	**0**
23	**AVENGER MODS**	**6,823**	**6,823**	**0**
24	**MLRS MODS**	**289,496**	**289,496**	**0**
25	**HIMARS MODIFICATIONS**	**54,363**	**54,363**	**0**
26	**SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS**	**6,698**	**6,698**	**0**
27	**AIR DEFENSE TARGETS**	**9,613**	**9,613**	**0**
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	**12,130,430**	**11,421,617**	**−708,813**

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	ARMORED MULTI PURPOSE VEHICLE [AMPV] Carryover	1,150,759	1,108,664 −42,095	−42,095
3	ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE [ABV]	17,807	17,807	0
5	XM30 MECHANIZED INFANTRY COMBAT VEHICLE (MICV) Program decrease—early to need	546,990	33,067 −513,923	−513,923
7	STRYKER UPGRADE	45,725	45,725	0
8	BRADLEY FIRE SUPPORT TEAM (BFIST) VEHICLE	4,863	4,863	0
9	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)	161,979	161,979	0
10	M109 FOV MODIFICATIONS	74,070	74,070	0
11	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT [PIM] Program increase	84,193	661,193 577,000	577,000
12	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88 HERCULES)	106,036	106,036	0
13	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	163,354	163,354	0
14	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	654,969	654,969	0
15	VEHICLE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	16,134	16,134	0
19	MORTAR SYSTEMS	3,575	3,575	0
20	LOCATION & AZIMUTH DETERMINATION SYSTEM	10,420	10,420	0
24	NEXT GENERATION SQUAD WEAPON Program decrease—NGSW–Fire Control excess to need	372,641	331,009 −41,632	−41,632
28	M777 MODS	1,569	1,569	0
30	M119 MODIFICATIONS	4,453	4,453	0
31	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M [WOCV–WTCV]	512	512	0
32	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT [WOCV–WTCV]	308,110	308,110	0
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY	3,728,159	3,707,509	−20,650

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Procurement of Ammunition, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES**	**114,418**	**114,418**	**0**
2	**CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES**	**41,356**	**23,406**	**−17,950**
	Program decrease—excess to need		−17,950	
3	**NEXT GENERATION SQUAD WEAPON AMMUNITION**	**536,695**	**505,578**	**−31,117**
	Program decrease—3.8MM General Purpose Combat Round excess to need		−10,289	
	Program decrease—6.8MM XM1192 Blank Cartridges excess to need		−2,335	
	Program decrease—Next Generation Reduced Range Round excess to need		−18,493	
4	**CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES**	**6,719**	**6,719**	**0**
5	**CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES**	**50,861**	**50,861**	**0**
6	**CTG, 20MM, ALL TYPES**	**9,427**	**9,427**	**0**
7	**CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES**	**34,038**	**34,038**	**0**
8	**CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES**	**164,116**	**140,399**	**−23,717**
	Program decrease—30MM HEP XM1211 excess to need		−10,002	
	Program decrease—30MM XM950 TP cartridge excess to need		−13,715	
9	**CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES**	**146,105**	**146,105**	**0**
10	**CTG, 50MM, ALL TYPES**	**58,221**	**49,556**	**−8,665**
	Program decrease—50MM HEAB–T ahead of need		−8,665	
11	**60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES**	**67,727**	**67,727**	**0**
12	**81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES**	**123,745**	**123,745**	**0**
13	**120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES**	**192,826**	**184,230**	**−8,596**
	Program decrease—120 MM M931 Series ahead of need		−8,596	
14	**CARTRIDGES, TANK, 105MM AND 120MM, ALL TYPES**	**421,735**	**421,735**	**0**
15	**ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES**	**48,841**	**48,841**	**0**
16	**ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES**	**120,703**	**117,541**	**−3,162**
	Program decrease—excess support costs		−3,162	
18	**ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL**	**431,328**	**431,328**	**0**
19	**MINES & CLEARING CHARGES, ALL TYPES**	**47,012**	**47,012**	**0**
21	**MINE, AT, VOLCANO, ALL TYPES**	**4,026**	**4,026**	**0**
22	**SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES**	**50,235**	**50,235**	**0**
23	**ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES**	**92,772**	**92,772**	**0**
24	**CAD/PAD, ALL TYPES**	**11,615**	**11,615**	**0**
25	**DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES**	**21,691**	**21,691**	**0**
26	**GRENADES, ALL TYPES**	**66,845**	**66,845**	**0**
27	**SIGNALS, ALL TYPES**	**44,927**	**44,927**	**0**
28	**SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES**	**11,508**	**11,508**	**0**
30	**AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES**	**4,109**	**4,109**	**0**
31	**ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION (AMMO)**	**16,290**	**16,290**	**0**
32	**AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT**	**14,007**	**14,007**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
33	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)	18,712	18,712	0
34	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES	101	101	0
35	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	2,331,763	2,331,763	0
36	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION	161,179	161,179	0
37	ARMS INITIATIVE	3,935	3,935	0
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	5,469,588	5,376,381	−93,207

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Other Procurement, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**FAMILY OF SEMITRAILERS**	**129,602**	**129,602**	**0**
3	**GROUND MOBILITY VEHICLES [GMV]**	**526,796**	**505,804**	**−20,992**
	Program decrease—ISV–H production early to need		−20,992	
4	**ARNG HMMWV MODERNIZATION PROGRAM**	**0**	**100,000**	**100,000**
	Program increase		100,000	
6	**TRUCK, DUMP, 20T (CCE)**	**17,030**	**35,030**	**18,000**
	Program increase		18,000	
7	**FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH [FMTV]**	**283,344**	**283,344**	**0**
8	**FAMILY OF COLD WEATHER ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLE (CATV)**	**38,294**	**38,294**	**0**
9	**FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIP**	**40,203**	**40,203**	**0**
10	**FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES [FHTV]**	**169,404**	**169,404**	**0**
11	**FAMILY OF COMMON TACTICAL TRUCKS**	**80,187**	**80,187**	**0**
13	**MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP**	**28,583**	**28,583**	**0**
14	**NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER**	**12,029**	**12,029**	**0**
16	**C2 INFRASTRUCTURE**	**1,293,203**	**0**	**−1,293,203**
	Transfer to line 16A for C2 Compute Infrastructure proper budget execution		−1,094,320	
	Transfer to line 16B for Mounted C2 Infrastructure proper budget execution		−151,568	
	Transfer to line 16C for C2 Infrastructure Components proper budget execution		−47,315	
16A	**C2 COMPUTE INFRASTRUCTURE**	**0**	**745,929**	**745,929**
	Transfer from line 16 for C2 Compute Infrastructure proper budget execution		1,094,320	
	Program decrease—C2NOW TEC Hardware early to need		−199,063	
	Program decrease—C2NOW TEC Hardware integration excess to need		−104,070	
	Program decrease—excess program management costs		−45,258	
16B	**MOUNTED C2 INFRASTRUCTURE**	**0**	**147,343**	**147,343**
	Transfer from line 16 for Mounted C2 Infrastructure proper budget execution		151,568	
	Program decrease—excess program management costs		−4,225	
16C	**C2 INFRASTRUCTURE COMPONENTS**	**0**	**40,520**	**40,520**
	Transfer from line 16 for C2 Infrastructure Components proper budget execution		47,315	
	Program decrease—RAP–TR hardware excess growth		−6,795	
17	**C2 TRANSPORT**	**1,581,863**	**0**	**−1,581,863**
	Transfer to line 17A for Tactical Networks proper budget execution		−1,293,376	
	Transfer to line 17B for Multi-Mission Radios proper budget execution		−183,005	
	Transfer to line 17C for Satellite Terminals proper budget execution		−105,482	
17A	**TACTICAL NETWORKS**	**0**	**1,159,522**	**1,159,522**
	Transfer from line 17 for Tactical Networks proper budget execution		1,293,376	
	Program decrease—C2NOW Transport excess to need		−133,854	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
17B	**MULTI-MISSION RADIOS**	**0**	**183,005**	**183,005**
	Transfer from line 17 for Multi-Mission Radios proper budget execution		183,005	
17C	**SATELLITE TERMINALS**	**0**	**88,504**	**88,504**
	Transfer from line 17 for Satellite Terminals proper budget execution		105,482	
	Program decrease—protected SATCOM early to need		−16,978	
18	**JCSE EQUIPMENT (USRDECOM)**	**39**	**39**	**0**
21	**DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS**	**73,959**	**73,959**	**0**
22	**ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING**	**243,303**	**235,303**	**−8,000**
	Program decrease—DAPS GEN II Systems ahead of need		−8,000	
25	**HANDHELD MANPACK SMALL FORM FIT [HMS]**	**516,045**	**466,540**	**−49,505**
	Program decrease—SBU–E Radio unit cost savings		−49,505	
26	**ARMY LINK 16 SYSTEMS**	**33,711**	**33,711**	**0**
27	**UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE**	**20,187**	**20,187**	**0**
28	**COTS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT**	**5,188**	**5,188**	**0**
30	**ARMY COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONICS**	**54,428**	**54,428**	**0**
31	**CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE—INTEL**	**16,382**	**16,382**	**0**
32	**MULTI-DOMAIN INTELLIGENCE**	**243,732**	**111,995**	**−131,737**
	Army requested transfer to OM,A 999 for software enabled automation		−131,737	
33	**INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM—ISSP**	**853**	**853**	**0**
34	**COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY [COMSEC]**	**145,507**	**145,507**	**0**
35	**BIOMETRIC ENABLING CAPABILITY [BEC]**	**65**	**65**	**0**
36	**INFORMATION SYSTEMS**	**133,046**	**133,046**	**0**
37	**BASE EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION**	**50,644**	**50,644**	**0**
38	**INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM**	**335,050**	**335,050**	**0**
42	**TITAN**	**368,672**	**287,162**	**−81,510**
	Army requested transfer to RDT&E,A line 147 for TITAN EMD		−81,510	
43	**TERRESTRIAL LAYER SYSTEMS [TLS]**	**172,558**	**202,554**	**29,996**
	Army requested transfer from RDT&E,A line 178 for TLS Manpack BOIP		29,996	
44	**COLLECTION CAPABILITY**	**5,914**	**5,914**	**0**
46	**DCGS–A—INTEL**	**1,075**	**1,075**	**0**
47	**TROJAN**	**48,885**	**48,885**	**0**
48	**MOD OF IN–SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT)**	**12,441**	**12,441**	**0**
50	**AIR VIGILANCE [AV]**	**106,497**	**106,497**	**0**
52	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE PLANNING & MGMT TOOLS (EWP)**	**46,570**	**18,285**	**−28,285**
	Program decrease—3GEN FLIR early to need		−28,285	
53	**FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAP**	**163**	**163**	**0**
54	**COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES**	**8,427**	**8,427**	**0**
56	**SENTINEL MODS**	**485,840**	**485,840**	**0**
59	**BASE EXPEDITIONARY TARGETING AND SURV SYS**	**1,818**	**1,818**	**0**
60	**INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS**	**18,000**	**18,000**	**0**
61	**FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS [FWS]**	**15,340**	**15,340**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
62	ENHANCED PORTABLE INDUCTIVE ARTILLERY FUZE SETTER	13,228	13,228	0
63	SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS	9,848	9,848	0
64	FORWARD LOOKING INFRARED [IFLIR]	84,134	84,134	0
65	COUNTER SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM [C–SUAS] Program decrease—C–sUAS Kinetic Interceptor excess to need Program increase—C–sUAS secure communications insertion and fielding	994,127	998,222 −15,905 20,000	4,095
67	JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYSTEM [JETS]	7,663	7,663	0
68	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC XM32	6,382	6,382	0
69	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	2,391	2,391	0
70	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEMS MODIFICATIONS	7,139	7,139	0
71	COUNTERFIRE RADARS Program decrease—previously funded	196,522	176,555 −19,967	−19,967
72	ADVANCED SITUATIONAL AWARENESS SYSTEMS Program decrease—excess to need	397,286	371,817 −25,469	−25,469
74	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	3,559	3,559	0
75	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS	61,127	61,127	0
76	IAMD BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM Program decrease—initial spares excess to need	1,052,868	1,039,063 −13,805	−13,805
77	AIAMD FAMILY OF SYSTEMS (FOS) COMPONENTS	16,446	16,446	0
78	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT [LCSS]	5,265	5,265	0
82	MOD OF IN–SVC EQUIPMENT [ENFIRE]	16,673	16,673	0
83	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	4,303	4,303	0
84	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	99,039	99,039	0
86	HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PGM (HPCMP)	75,004	75,004	0
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,577	1,577	0
88	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS [BDS]	143	143	0
89	CBRN DEFENSE	65,020	65,020	0
90	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT–RIBBON	35,806	35,806	0
92	ROBOTICS AND APPLIQUE SYSTEMS Program decrease—unmanned and autonomous ground systems	84,303	63,978 −20,325	−20,325
93	RENDER SAFE SETS KITS OUTFITS	12,461	12,461	0
94	FAMILY OF BOATS AND MOTORS	8,028	8,028	0
95	HEATERS AND ECU'S	15,021	15,021	0
97	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM Program decrease—program management excess cost growth	193,491	184,302 −9,189	−9,189
101	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM	39,505	39,505	0
103	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (ENG SPT)	4,129	4,129	0
104	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER Program increase—potable water distribution replacement	133,881	145,881 12,000	12,000
105	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	93,705	93,705	0
106	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	58,997	58,997	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
107	**CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT**	72,582	72,582	0
108	**ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP**	75,717	75,717	0
109	**MANEUVER SUPPORT VESSEL [MSV]** Program decrease—MSV lack of acquisition strategy Program increase—autonomous resupply vessel	104,705	43,863 −65,842 5,000	−60,842
110	**ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)**	22,021	22,021	0
111	**GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP**	79,456	79,456	0
112	**FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS**	6,238	6,238	0
113	**COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT** Program increase—modular instrumented multi-domain operations integrated capability	141,848	181,848 40,000	40,000
114	**TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM** Program increase—position trackers for maneuver soldier monitoring systems	140,860	145,860 5,000	5,000
115	**SYNTHETIC TRAINING ENVIRONMENT [STE]**	115,535	115,535	0
116	**GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING**	4,180	4,180	0
117	**INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT [IFTE]**	18,570	18,570	0
118	**TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION [TEMOD]**	53,597	53,597	0
119	**PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)**	137,271	137,271	0
120	**BASE LEVEL COMMON EQUIPMENT**	19,889	19,889	0
121	**MODIFICATION OF IN–SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA–3)** Program increase—field containerized kitchen service life extension	41,358	51,358 10,000	10,000
122	**PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)** Program decrease—insufficient justification	362,356	292,606 −69,750	−69,750
123	**BUILDING, PRE-FAB, RELOCATABLE**	10,878	10,878	0
124	**SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR TEST AND EVALUATION**	88,721	88,721	0
126	**INITIAL SPARES—C&E**	7,323	7,323	0
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	12,667,053	11,836,525	−830,528

PERSISTENT ELEVATED SENSING AND AEROSTAT SYSTEMS

The Committee recognizes the value of tethered aerostat platforms as a cost-effective means of providing persistent intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capability, as well as force protection, for deployed forces and critical installations. Such systems are a low-cost solution to achieve extended dwell times for continuous wide-area coverage that are complementary of space, air and ground-based sensing capabilities.

The Committee notes aerostat platforms provide the Army with mature, operationally proven sensing capabilities that may contribute to the Department of Defense's Joint All-Domain Command and Control architecture and counter-unmanned aerial defense systems. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to sustain and support the modernization of aerostat capabilities to maintain persistent elevated sensing capabilities for the Army and Joint Force operations.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Aircraft Procurement, Navy:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**F/A–18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET**	**49,088**	**49,088**	**0**
2	**JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV**	**995,707**	**976,375**	**−19,332**
	Excess support growth		−19,332	
3	**JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV [AP–CY]**	**651,081**	**651,081**	**0**
4	**JSF STOVL**	**62,363**	**62,363**	**0**
5	**JSF STOVL [AP–CY]**	**77,963**	**77,963**	**0**
6	**CH–53K (HEAVY LIFT)**	**3,044,450**	**3,044,450**	**0**
7	**CH–53K (HEAVY LIFT) [AP–CY]**	**429,295**	**429,295**	**0**
9	**H–1 UPGRADES (UH–1Y/AH–1Z)**	**5,974**	**5,974**	**0**
10	**P–8A POSEIDON**	**4,227,350**	**2,061,877**	**−2,165,473**
	Excess to need		−1,399,432	
	NRE excess growth		−225,000	
	ECOs excess to need		−541,041	
11	**E–2D ADV HAWKEYE**	**2,075,025**	**2,075,025**	**0**
	Department requested transfer to RD,AF line 40		−650,549	
	Program increase		650,549	
12	**E–2D ADV HAWKEYE [AP–CY]**	**550,000**	**550,000**	**0**
15	**KC–130J**	**1,601,906**	**1,601,906**	**0**
16	**MQ–4 TRITON**	**104,326**	**104,326**	**0**
17	**MQ–25**	**771,177**	**771,177**	**0**
18	**MQ–25 [AP–CY]**	**80,965**	**80,965**	**0**
19	**MARINE GROUP 5 UAS**	**118,600**	**118,600**	**0**
20	**OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT**	**204,476**	**200,882**	**−3,594**
	Unit cost growth		−3,594	
21	**F–18 A–D UNIQUE**	**36,951**	**36,951**	**0**
22	**F–18E/F AND EA–18G MODERNIZATION AND SUSTAINMENT**	**563,832**	**563,832**	**0**
23	**MARINE GROUP 5 UAS SERIES**	**179,603**	**179,603**	**0**
24	**AEA SYSTEMS**	**37,125**	**44,125**	**7,000**
	Program increase—AEA Systems for ALQ–99 low band consolidation		7,000	
26	**INFRARED SEARCH AND TRACK [IRST]**	**171,345**	**171,345**	**0**
27	**ADVERSARY**	**24,732**	**24,732**	**0**
28	**F–18 SERIES**	**858,716**	**830,819**	**−27,897**
	F/A–18E ACS (ECP–6516) BLK III cost growth		−23,273	
	Support costs excess growth		−4,624	
29	**H–53 SERIES**	**91,903**	**72,203**	**−19,700**
	Carryover		−16,644	
	Support costs excess growth		−3,056	
30	**MH–60 SERIES**	**236,555**	**246,555**	**10,000**
	Program increase—MH–60 modernization and cyber electronic warfare hardening		10,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
31	**H–1 SERIES**	**304,267**	**280,947**	**−23,320**
	Carryover		−20,000	
	Support costs excess growth		−3,320	
32	**E–2 SERIES**	**96,428**	**96,428**	**0**
33	**TRAINER A/C SERIES**	**12,359**	**12,359**	**0**
34	**C–130 SERIES**	**185,266**	**185,266**	**0**
36	**CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES**	**20,100**	**20,100**	**0**
37	**E–6 SERIES**	**270,832**	**267,765**	**−3,067**
	Kapton forward lobe 1B A kits cost growth		−1,796	
	HPTS A kits cost growth		−1,271	
38	**EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES**	**57,319**	**57,319**	**0**
39	**T–45 SERIES**	**191,139**	**185,720**	**−5,419**
	Installation ahead of need		−5,419	
40	**POWER PLANT CHANGES**	**23,765**	**23,765**	**0**
41	**JPATS SERIES**	**28,059**	**28,059**	**0**
43	**COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT**	**365,990**	**296,630**	**−69,360**
	Unjustified growth		−69,360	
44	**COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES**	**246,728**	**240,738**	**−5,990**
	Digital Interoperability MAGTF installation kit cost growth		−5,990	
45	**COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM**	**11,028**	**11,028**	**0**
46	**ID SYSTEMS**	**3,199**	**3,199**	**0**
47	**P–8 SERIES**	**381,014**	**391,014**	**10,000**
	Program increase—P–8 mission computer upgrade acceleration		10,000	
48	**MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION**	**20,686**	**20,686**	**0**
49	**V–22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY**	**641,715**	**641,715**	**0**
50	**NEXT GENERATION JAMMER [NGJ]**	**458,658**	**458,658**	**0**
51	**F–35 STOVL SERIES**	**428,881**	**428,881**	**0**
52	**F–35 CV SERIES**	**135,612**	**135,612**	**0**
53	**QRC**	**27,686**	**27,686**	**0**
54	**MQ–4 SERIES**	**157,849**	**157,849**	**0**
58	**SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS**	**4,511,417**	**4,661,417**	**150,000**
	Program increase		150,000	
59	**COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT**	**666,140**	**604,105**	**−62,035**
	Contract award delays		−11,159	
	General skills training lack of justification		−50,876	
60	**AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES**	**113,513**	**213,513**	**100,000**
	Program increase		100,000	
61	**WAR CONSUMABLES**	**56,577**	**56,577**	**0**
62	**OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES**	**61,970**	**20,267**	**−41,703**
	Contract award delays		−41,703	
63	**SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	**218,318**	**218,318**	**0**
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	**26,947,023**	**24,777,133**	**−2,169,890**

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Weapons Procurement, Navy:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**CONVENTIONAL PROMPT STRIKE**	**750,387**	**510,844**	**−239,543**
	Production delays		−206,969	
	Program support excess growth		−32,574	
2	**TRIDENT II MODS**	**3,912,267**	**3,866,457**	**−45,810**
	Production support growth		−28,943	
	System evaluation support growth		−16,867	
4	**TOMAHAWK**	**1,015,106**	**1,015,106**	**0**
5	**AMRAAM**	**167,439**	**167,439**	**0**
6	**SIDEWINDER**	**174,750**	**174,750**	**0**
7	**JOINT ADVANCE TACTICAL MISSILE (JATM)**	**557,806**	**557,806**	**0**
8	**STANDARD MISSILE**	**733,159**	**726,799**	**−6,360**
	Canister unit cost growth		−6,360	
10	**SMALL DIAMETER BOMB II**	**166,688**	**166,688**	**0**
11	**RAM**	**119,166**	**119,166**	**0**
12	**MSE MISSILE**	**97,835**	**97,835**	**0**
13	**JOINT AIR GROUND MISSILE [JAGM]**	**73,226**	**40,241**	**−32,985**
	Contract award delay		−32,985	
15	**AERIAL TARGETS**	**179,931**	**179,931**	**0**
16	**OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT**	**3,877**	**3,877**	**0**
17	**LRASM**	**670,456**	**670,456**	**0**
18	**NAVAL STRIKE MISSILE [NSM]**	**75,972**	**75,972**	**0**
19	**NAVAL STRIKE MISSILE [NSM] [AP–CY]**	**2,103**	**2,103**	**0**
20	**MULTI-MISSION AFFORDABLE CAPACITY EFFECTOR (MACE)**	**0**	**156,000**	**156,000**
	Program increase—Lot 1 All-Up Rounds		156,000	
21	**PRECISION ATTACK STRIKE MUNITION (PASM)**	**4,019**	**0**	**−4,019**
	Ahead of need		−4,019	
22	**TOMAHAWK MODS**	**799,139**	**799,139**	**0**
23	**ESSM**	**521,006**	**314,978**	**−206,028**
	Contract award delay		−206,028	
24	**AARGM–ER**	**20**	**0**	**−20**
	Program decrease		−20	
25	**AARGM–ER [AP–CY]**	**5,210**	**5,210**	**0**
26	**STANDARD MISSILES MODS**	**69,579**	**69,579**	**0**
27	**WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES**	**62,403**	**187,403**	**125,000**
	Program increase		125,000	
28	**INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS**	**640**	**640**	**0**
29	**ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	**43,261**	**40,160**	**−3,101**
	Underexecution		−3,101	
30	**SSTD**	**3,969**	**3,969**	**0**
31	**MK–48 TORPEDO**	**571,274**	**492,692**	**−78,582**
	Carryover		−38,333	
	Contract award delay		−40,249	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
32	**ASW TARGETS** Production delays	47,277	43,455 −3,822	−3,822
33	**MK–54 TORPEDO MODS** Program increase—low cost underwater effector	112,126	142,126 30,000	30,000
34	**MK–48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS** Carryover	67,279	62,492 −4,787	−4,787
35	**MARITIME MINES**	251,724	251,724	0
36	**TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** Carryover	160,445	137,901 −22,544	−22,544
37	**ASW RANGE SUPPORT**	4,438	4,438	0
38	**FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION**	6,011	6,011	0
39	**SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS**	13,946	13,946	0
40	**CIWS MODS**	7,939	7,939	0
41	**COAST GUARD WEAPONS**	49,537	49,537	0
42	**GUN MOUNT MODS** Program increase—ballistic shields for CSWS on DDG	84,004	96,004 12,000	12,000
43	**LCS MODULE WEAPONS**	2,190	2,190	0
44	**AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS**	14,265	14,265	0
46	**SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS** Historical underexecution	167,242	147,242 −20,000	−20,000
	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	11,769,111	11,424,510	−344,601

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS**	**45,607**	**45,607**	**0**
2	**JDAM**	**96,935**	**96,935**	**0**
3	**AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES**	**92,145**	**105,325**	**13,180**
	Contract award delay		−6,820	
	Program increase—APKWS II dual safe proximity fuze		20,000	
4	**MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION**	**14,832**	**14,832**	**0**
5	**PRACTICE BOMBS**	**46,782**	**46,782**	**0**
6	**CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES**	**63,064**	**63,064**	**0**
7	**AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES**	**121,059**	**110,798**	**−10,261**
	MJU–32 and MJU–38 previously funded		−1,240	
	MJU–76 contract award delays		−9,021	
8	**JATOS**	**8,179**	**8,179**	**0**
9	**5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION**	**44,136**	**28,627**	**−15,509**
	Unjustified growth		−15,509	
10	**INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION**	**40,095**	**14,063**	**−26,032**
	Contract award delays		−20,000	
	Cartridge delivery delays		−6,032	
11	**OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION**	**43,106**	**38,606**	**−4,500**
	Cartridge delivery delays		−4,500	
12	**SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO**	**48,801**	**48,801**	**0**
13	**PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION**	**9,510**	**9,510**	**0**
15	**AMMUNITION LESS THAN $5 MILLION**	**1,692**	**1,692**	**0**
16	**EXPEDITIONARY LOITERING MUNITIONS**	**165,662**	**165,662**	**0**
17	**MORTARS**	**181,464**	**170,480**	**−10,984**
	Unit cost growth		−10,984	
18	**DIRECT SUPPORT MUNITIONS**	**29,715**	**26,729**	**−2,986**
	Carryover		−2,986	
19	**INFANTRY WEAPONS AMMUNITION**	**142,179**	**138,044**	**−4,135**
	AXX contract award delays		−4,135	
20	**COMBAT SUPPORT MUNITIONS**	**12,510**	**11,510**	**−1,000**
	Contract award delays		−1,000	
21	**AMMO MODERNIZATION**	**19,009**	**19,009**	**0**
22	**ARTILLERY MUNITIONS**	**723,278**	**595,571**	**−127,707**
	DA78 unit cost growth		−9,200	
	Unjustified growth		−93,312	
	D529 unit cost growth		−18,218	
	D529 production support unjustified growth		−6,977	
23	**ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION**	**8,837**	**8,837**	**0**
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	**1,958,597**	**1,768,663**	**−189,934**

SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**COLUMBIA CLASS SUBMARINE**	**10,233,832**	**10,233,832**	**0**
2	**COLUMBIA CLASS SUBMARINE [AP–CY]**	**4,763,342**	**4,838,342**	**75,000**
	SSBN 830 AP (FF FY28)	[955,301]	[955,301]	
	SSBN 831 AP (FF FY29)	[1,455,979]	[1,455,979]	
	SSBN 832 AP (FF FY30)	[1,202,901]	[1,202,901]	
	SSBN 833 AP (FF FY31)	[112,759]	[112,759]	
	SSBN 834 AP (FF FY32)	[55,890]	[55,890]	
	SSBN 835 AP (FF FY33)	[8,099]	[8,099]	
	SSBN 836 AP (FF FY34)	[11,199]	[11,199]	
	SSBN 837 AP (FF FY35)	[961,214]	[961,214]	
	Program increase—integrated PM HIP manufacturing		22,500	
	Program increase—wire production, additive manufacturing, and machining capacity		30,000	
	Program increase—workforce development		15,000	
	Program increase—scaling of low-cost titanium manufacturing		7,500	
3	**CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM**	**641,907**	**641,907**	**0**
4	**CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM [AP–CY]**	**1,940,566**	**1,916,346**	**−24,220**
	Excess growth		−24,220	
5	**CVN–81**	**1,447,882**	**1,202,824**	**−245,058**
	Ahead of need		−245,058	
6	**VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE**	**8,402,316**	**8,402,316**	**0**
7	**VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE [AP–CY]**	**4,143,618**	**4,143,618**	**0**
9	**BBG(X) [AP–CY]**	**1,000,000**	**1,000,000**	**0**
10	**CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS**	**4,418,902**	**4,046,374**	**−372,528**
	Unjustified request		−250,000	
	Excess growth		−122,528	
11	**CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS [AP–CY]**	**53,070**	**53,070**	**0**
12	**DDG 1000**	**66,516**	**66,516**	**0**
13	**DDG–51**	**2,954,238**	**2,954,238**	**0**
17	**FF(X)**	**1,429,000**	**1,334,917**	**−94,083**
	Lack of justification details		−119,083	
	Program increase—automated assembly for hull construction		25,000	
18	**LPD FLIGHT II**	**2,188,700**	**2,116,215**	**−72,485**
	Ahead of need		−72,485	
19	**LPD FLIGHT II [AP–CY]**	**355,950**	**355,950**	**0**
21	**LHA REPLACEMENT**	**3,850,319**	**3,662,914**	**−187,405**
	Ahead of need		−187,405	
26	**AS SUBMARINE TENDER**	**4,444,000**	**2,222,000**	**−2,222,000**
	Program adjustment		−2,222,000	
28	**TAO FLEET OILER**	**1,946,063**	**1,946,063**	**0**
31	**TAGOS SURTASS SHIPS**	**610,664**	**377,021**	**−233,643**
	Carryover		−71,396	
	Ahead of need		−162,247	
35	**STRATEGIC SEALIFT**	**450,000**	**350,000**	**−100,000**
	Lack of justification details		−100,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
36	**OUTFITTING**	**741,270**	**741,270**	**0**
37	**SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR**	**733,895**	**733,895**	**0**
38	**SERVICE CRAFT**	**177,079**	**251,079**	**74,000**
	Program increase—additional YRBMs		74,000	
39	**AUXILIARY PERSONNEL LIGHTER**	**83,000**	**83,000**	**0**
40	**BULK FUEL VESSEL**	**450,000**	**350,000**	**−100,000**
	Undefined acquisition strategy		−100,000	
43	**LCAC SLEP**	**37,998**	**37,998**	**0**
45	**COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS**	**2,611,990**	**2,611,990**	**0**
	TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY	**60,176,117**	**56,673,695**	**−3,502,422**

SHIPBUILDING ACCOUNTABILITY

The President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 makes a substantial investment toward growing the fleet through the Golden Fleet Initiative, including over $60,000,000,000 in discretionary funding for shipbuilding. The Committee supports the scale of this investment as necessary to reinvigorate domestic shipbuilding and restore the fleet capacity required for power projection. However, the Committee remains firm in its conviction that funding alone does not guarantee on-time delivery and is no substitute for sound program management and rigorous oversight. The Committee is concerned that an accelerated pace of investment, absent commensurate accountability, risks repeating the cost growth and schedule slips that have plagued nearly every major shipbuilding program in recent years.

For the last several years, the Navy's cost-to-complete bills for shipbuilding have increased steadily. The Committee views this growth as one indication of program management shortcomings that have contributed to cost increases and schedule delays across the shipbuilding portfolio. The Committee is particularly troubled that the Navy's cost-to-complete request for shipbuilding totals $2,611,990,000 in fiscal year 2027. The cumulative cost of these delays and overruns now rivals the price of the ships themselves, eroding the buying power of every dollar appropriated for new procurement. The Committee believes that the Navy has not consistently demonstrated the ability to identify, report, and correct adverse cost and schedule trends in a timely manner.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, and quarterly thereafter, that identifies for each major shipbuilding program: the current delivery schedule by hull compared to the schedule of record at contract award; the cumulative cost-to-complete by program and hull, with the principal drivers of any growth; the management actions the Navy has taken or intends to take to recover schedule and contain cost growth; and the metrics by which the Navy will measure the effectiveness of those actions. Further, the Committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than one year after the enactment of this Act assessing the root causes of recurring cost growth and schedule delay across major Navy shipbuilding programs and the adequacy of the Navy's program management and oversight structures to identify and arrest such trends early.

SUBMARINE CONSTRUCTION

The Committee continues to regard the *Columbia*-class submarine as the Department of the Navy's highest priority shipbuilding program and as fundamental to the sea-based leg of the nuclear triad. The Committee is concerned that the lead *Columbia*-class submarine is delayed by as much as 18 months and that the *Virginia*-class program is delayed by as much as 42 months. The Committee notes that these figures represent further slippage beyond the delays first identified in the Navy's 45-day Shipbuilding

Review and that delays of this magnitude present significant risk to strategic deterrence, erode undersea superiority, and degrade long-term operational availability and readiness.

The Committee reaffirms its position that full funding is critical to the stability of the submarine industrial base (SIB) and supports delivery of on-time, on-budget submarines to the fleet. Incremental funding in a constrained industrial environment serves only to introduce further risk. Accordingly, the Committee recommendation includes full funding for one *Columbia*-class submarine and two *Virginia*-class submarines, consistent with the "1+2" production objective.

The Committee notes that delays in the *Columbia*-class program place additional strain on *Virginia*-class submarine construction, which has yet to recover to its required build rate. *Virginia*-class production remains near 1.1 boats per year, well below the two-per-year cadence necessary to meet operational requirements and commitments under the trilateral Australia, United Kingdom, United States (AUKUS) security partnership. The Committee emphasizes that the "1+2" rate will be achieved only when the SIB can physically deliver boats at that pace. Funding additional hulls does not by itself increase production; without commensurate gains in shipyard capacity, workforce, and supplier throughput, additional authorizations will not yield additional submarines.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, and quarterly thereafter, that provides for the *Columbia*- and *Virginia*-class programs: a delivery schedule by hull; the realized construction cadence against the cadence of record; the workforce required by trade and fiscal year, including associated recruitment and retention data; and an assessment of any at-risk supplier together with the Navy's mitigation plan. The Committee remains troubled that the Navy has, in the past, learned of material schedule delays to programs of this significance only well after those delays materialized, and expects this reporting to provide the Committee with timely and candid insight into program health.

SUBMARINE INDUSTRIAL BASE

The Committee reiterates that the "1+2" production rate will be achieved only when the submarine industrial base (SIB) can physically deliver boats at that pace, and that funding submarines without a corresponding investment in the yards, workforce, and suppliers that build them will not yield additional boats. The Committee recommendation includes $828,000,000 for the SIB to invest in supplier capacity and capability, strategic outsourcing, workforce training, and technology and infrastructure; $1,257,000,000 for productivity enhancements to improve capability, capacity, and efficiency at the private nuclear shipyards; and $471,000,000 for wage enhancements at those shipyards.

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a spend plan for funding provided for the SIB in this and prior Acts not later than 45 days after the enactment of this Act, identifying the projects to be funded, the basis for their selection, and the metrics by which the Navy will measure the return on that invest-

ment. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary to submit a spend plan for the wage and productivity enhancement funding provided in this and prior Acts on the same timeline, and to brief the congressional defense committees not less than 45 days prior to obligating funds that would deviate from either plan.

DOMESTIC SHIPBUILDING INDUSTRIAL BASE

The Committee recognizes that the health of the domestic shipbuilding industrial base is fundamental to delivering upon the Department of the Navy's Golden Fleet Initiative and to achieving the production cadences required across every shipbuilding program. The Committee notes that the shipyards that will be essential to delivering ships to meet the Navy's Shipbuilding Plan are plagued by workforce challenges and high attrition rates, and stresses that targeted investment in supplier capacity and capability, strategic outsourcing, workforce training, and technology and infrastructure is critical on the surface ship side of shipbuilding as well, where the carrier, surface combatant, and amphibious programs face the same supplier fragility, workforce shortfalls, and capacity constraints. The Committee believes that sustained and strategically targeted investment across the entire domestic shipbuilding industrial base is essential to long-term, sustainable production.

The Committee is concerned that the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 resources the vast majority of investment into the shipbuilding industrial base through mandatory funding rather than the discretionary appropriations process. The Committee believes that the foundational, recurring investments necessary to grow shipbuilding capacity and the workforce are most appropriately resourced through discretionary appropriations, where they are subject to annual review, justification, and oversight. Reliance on mandatory funding diminishes the transparency and accountability the Committee considers indispensable to investments of this magnitude, obscures year-to-year execution, and risks decoupling industrial base investment from the annual program and budget review on which responsible oversight depends.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a spend plan for all domestic shipbuilding industrial base funding requested on the mandatory side in the fiscal year 2027 request not later than 45 days after the enactment of this Act, identifying the projects to be funded, the basis for their selection, planned obligations and expenditures, and the metrics by which the Navy will measure the return on that investment. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, and semiannually thereafter, that sets forth the Navy's overall strategy for the domestic shipbuilding industrial base in support of the Golden Fleet Initiative, including the identification of capacity and supplier gaps across both the submarine and surface shipbuilding sectors, the criteria for selecting and prioritizing investments, the oversight of funding execution regardless of appropriations category, and the metrics by which the Navy will measure return on investment.

LARGE SURFACE COMBATANT (BBG(X))

The Committee recognizes that the requirement for a large surface combatant is longstanding and well documented. The Navy's surface force lacks a combatant with the space, weight, power, and cooling margins necessary to host the next generation of combat systems, including hypersonic strike weapons and directed-energy and high-energy laser systems, at the magazine depth and growth capacity that the future operating environment will demand. The Committee notes that this capability gap was identified through successive Navy force structure assessments and through the analyses that preceded the next-generation guided missile destroyer, DDG(X), and is not unique to the current budget request.

The Committee's support for the requirement, however, is distinct from its assessment of the maturity and affordability of the BBG(X) program as currently structured. The Committee notes that the program has not finalized ship design, completed a formal analysis of alternatives, or established a stable set of requirements, and that the Congressional Budget Office has estimated the lead ship could cost in excess of $20,000,000,000. The Committee has repeatedly cautioned, most recently with respect to the *Constellation*-class frigate and the Medium Landing Ship, that committing funding to construction before achieving design stability and solidifying requirements is a principal cause of the cost growth, schedule delay, and industrial base instability that afflict Navy shipbuilding.

The Committee emphasizes that BBG(X), as a nuclear-powered surface vessel, will draw on the same finite pool of nuclear-capable shipyard capacity, skilled workforce, reactor components, and supplier base on which the *Columbia*-class submarine, *Virginia*-class submarine, and *Ford*-class aircraft carrier programs depend. The Committee notes that the *Ford*-class program is already experiencing significant delays attributable in part to supply chain and workforce constraints, and that introducing a new nuclear surface combatant without careful planning could compound those constraints and place at risk the delivery of programs the Committee considers higher priorities for the nuclear-capable industrial base.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act that addresses: the validated requirements and key performance parameters for the large surface combatant; the status of the analysis of alternatives and ship design, including a design maturity assessment and the criteria the Navy will use to certify design stability prior to any commitment to lead-ship construction; the planned acquisition strategy and procurement profile; an independent cost estimate for the lead ship and class, reconciled against the program office estimate, with the principal drivers of any difference; the novel systems required to field the ship and their projected development and procurement costs; and the anticipated effect of BBG(X) and other Golden Fleet vessels on the cost and schedule of legacy shipbuilding programs.

Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act that details the Navy's strategy to design and construct BBG(X) without interfering with existing nuclear-powered shipbuilding pro-

grams, specifically the *Columbia*-class, *Virginia*-class, and *Ford*-class programs. The report shall identify the nuclear-capable shipyard capacity, workforce by trade, and reactor and component supplier capacity required for BBG(X); assess the degree to which those requirements overlap with the requirements of existing nuclear shipbuilding programs; and describe how the Navy will sequence and resource BBG(X) so as not to jeopardize the delivery schedules of those programs.

SHIPBUILDING WORKFORCE

The Committee notes that the shipyards essential to delivering the Golden Fleet are plagued by persistent workforce recruitment challenges and high rates of attrition, and that workforce instability is among the principal drivers of schedule delay across the shipbuilding portfolio. The Committee believes that it is not enough to add ships to the budget; the Navy must appropriately invest in growing the workforce, increasing capacity, and supporting innovation across the industrial base to support production at the required rate.

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, that sets forth a Navy-wide shipbuilding workforce strategy. The report shall include, by shipyard and by trade: current and projected workforce levels measured against the levels required to meet the Navy's shipbuilding plan; hiring, training, and attrition data, including the principal causes of attrition; the Navy's investments in workforce development, recruitment, and retention, delineated by fiscal year and funding source; and an assessment of the return on those investments measured against gains in production throughput. The Committee further directs that the workforce and productivity enhancement funding provided for the private nuclear shipyards be governed by the spend plan and deviation-notification requirements directed in the Submarine Industrial Base section of this report.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Other Procurement, Navy:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**SURFACE POWER EQUIPMENT** Lack of justification	22,668	21,668 – 1,000	– 1,000
2	**SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E**	103,871	103,871	0
3	**OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT**	83,510	83,510	0
4	**SUB PERISCOPE, IMAGING AND SUPT EQUIP PROGRAM**	344,885	344,885	0
5	**DDG MOD** Ahead of need/carryover Program increase—advanced damage control system improvement	1,000,278	882,469 – 127,809 10,000	– 117,809
6	**FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT**	177,708	177,708	0
7	**COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD**	2,259	2,259	0
8	**LHA/LHD MIDLIFE** Underexecution	131,315	120,372 – 10,943	– 10,943
9	**LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE PROGRAM**	766	766	0
10	**POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT**	22,506	22,506	0
11	**SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	330,951	330,951	0
12	**VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	83,297	83,297	0
13	**LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** Lack of justification	15,815	14,815 – 1,000	– 1,000
14	**SUBMARINE BATTERIES**	26,211	26,211	0
15	**LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	213,723	213,723	0
16	**DDG 1000 CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	58,284	58,284	0
17	**STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	72,163	72,163	0
18	**DSSP EQUIPMENT**	7,440	7,440	0
19	**SMALL UNMANNED SURFACE VEHICLES**	585	585	0
20	**LCAC** Underexecution	22,515	16,886 – 5,629	– 5,629
21	**UNDERWATER EOD EQUIPMENT**	20,575	20,575	0
22	**ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION**	69,425	69,425	0
23	**CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS**	2,425	2,425	0
24	**SHIP MAINTENANCE, REPAIR AND MODERNIZATION** Ahead of need	2,737,140	2,277,087 – 460,053	– 460,053
25	**REACTOR COMPONENTS**	519,821	519,821	0
26	**DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT**	33,013	33,013	0
27	**MEDIUM UNMANNED SURFACE VEHICLE [MUSVS]** Undefined acquisition strategy	121,130	0 – 121,130	– 121,130
28	**STANDARD BOATS**	97,746	97,746	0
29	**OPERATING FORCES IPE** Carryover Lack of justification Program increase	492,100	409,075 – 98,555 – 24,470 40,000	– 83,025
30	**LCS COMMON MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT**	30,565	30,565	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
31	LCS MCM MISSION MODULES	65,113	65,113	0
32	LCS SUW MISSION MODULES	1,719	1,719	0
33	LCS IN-SERVICE MODERNIZATION	315,272	315,272	0
34	SMALL & MEDIUM UUV Carryover	110,955	83,206 −27,749	−27,749
35	LARGE UUV	222,998	222,998	0
36	EXTRA LARGE UUV Overestimation of engineering support	135,802	129,644 −6,158	−6,158
37	LSD MIDLIFE & MODERNIZATION	240	240	0
39	AN/SQQ–89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM	142,355	142,355	0
40	SSN ACOUSTIC EQUIPMENT	454,161	454,161	0
42	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	68,881	68,881	0
43	SSTD	14,857	14,857	0
44	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	490,548	490,548	0
45	SURTASS	72,190	72,190	0
46	AN/SLQ–32 Contract award delays	653,483	631,627 −21,856	−21,856
47	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT Lack of justification	701,667	650,268 −51,399	−51,399
48	MARITIME BATTLESPACE AWARENESS	8,652	8,652	0
49	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY	33,932	33,932	0
50	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM [NTCSS]	10,108	10,108	0
51	ATDLS	52,758	52,758	0
52	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM [NCCS]	16,167	16,167	0
53	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	14,879	14,879	0
54	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	43,097	43,097	0
55	AMERICAN FORCES RADIO AND TV SERVICE	289	289	0
56	ASHORE ATC EQUIPMENT	83,057	83,057	0
57	AFLOAT ATC EQUIPMENT	62,581	62,581	0
58	ID SYSTEMS	35,620	35,620	0
59	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEM (JPALS)	2,976	2,976	0
60	NAVAL MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	54,487	54,487	0
62	TACTICAL/MOBILE C4I SYSTEMS	48,262	48,262	0
63	INTELLIGENCE SURVEILLANCE AND RECONNAISSANCE (ISR)	11,824	11,824	0
64	CANES Program increase—maritime containerized secure units	493,046	543,046 50,000	50,000
65	RADIAC Contract award delays	38,000	23,048 −14,952	−14,952
66	CANES–INTELL	43,028	43,028	0
67	GPETE	31,462	31,462	0
68	MASF	5,822	5,822	0
69	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	6,454	6,454	0
70	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION	3,435	3,435	0
71	IN-SERVICE RADARS AND SENSORS	338,946	338,946	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
72	BATTLE FORCE TACTICAL NETWORK	125,661	125,661	0
73	SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS	50,350	50,350	0
74	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION	156,605	156,605	0
75	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER $5M	15,097	15,097	0
76	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT	173,069	173,069	0
77	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	88,071	88,071	0
78	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	57,961	57,961	0
79	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL [NMT]	57,768	57,768	0
80	MOBILE ADVANCED EHF TERMINAL (MAT)	202,305	202,305	0
82	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM [ISSP]	349,099	349,099	0
83	MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM	1,063	1,063	0
84	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	7,419	7,419	0
91	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	67,106	67,106	0
92	OTHER DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT	57,568	57,568	0
93	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES	300,151	300,151	0
94	MINOTAUR	4,831	4,831	0
95	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	119,900	119,900	0
96	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	69,065	69,065	0
97	ADVANCED ARRESTING GEAR [AAG]	23,551	23,551	0
98	ELECTROMAGNETIC AIRCRAFT LAUNCH SYSTEM (EMALS)	36,908	36,908	0
99	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT	7,477	7,477	0
100	AIRBORNE MCM	9,507	9,507	0
101	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	116,873	116,873	0
102	UMCS–UNMAN CARRIER AVIATION [UCA] MISSION CNTRL	211,216	211,216	0
103	SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT	6,962	6,962	0
104	HARPOON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	195	195	0
105	SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	431,069	431,069	0
106	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	116,208	116,208	0
107	CPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT Contract award delay	188,430	125,620 −62,810	−62,810
108	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT	327,941	327,941	0
109	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	165,416	165,416	0
110	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	25,105	25,105	0
111	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIPMENT	48,252	48,252	0
113	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	2,592	2,592	0
114	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM Lack of justification	606,241	480,914 −125,327	−125,327
115	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	73,681	73,681	0
116	SURFACE TRAINING EQUIPMENT	218,181	218,181	0
117	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	3,567	3,567	0
118	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	4,801	4,801	0
120	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT	91,269	91,269	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
121	**FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT**	17,107	17,107	0
122	**TACTICAL VEHICLES**	46,796	46,796	0
123	**AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT**	53,916	53,916	0
124	**POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT**	3,586	3,586	0
125	**ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION**	131,449	131,449	0
126	**PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES**	998	998	0
127	**SUPPLY EQUIPMENT** Lack of justification	67,828	62,828 −5,000	−5,000
128	**FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION**	4,732	4,732	0
129	**SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS**	323,644	323,644	0
130	**TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	10,608	10,608	0
131	**TRAINING AND EDUCATION EQUIPMENT** Lack of justification	331,016	213,420 −117,596	−117,596
132	**COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	55,202	55,202	0
133	**MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	54,862	54,862	0
135	**NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	5,213	5,213	0
136	**OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	15,107	15,107	0
137	**C4ISR EQUIPMENT**	33,975	33,975	0
138	**ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	60,467	60,467	0
139	**PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT**	218,037	218,037	0
140	**ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**	40,490	40,490	0
142	**NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE**	223,647	223,647	0
143	**CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES**	7,131	7,131	0
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	42,813	42,813	0
144	**SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS** Excess to need Program increase—light-emitting diode retrofit kits for onboard vessels Program increase—press connect fitting technology for ship battle forces and regional maintenance centers	765,711	568,986 −231,425 10,000 24,700	−196,725
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	18,866,679	17,486,518	−1,380,161

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Procurement, Marine Corps:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
2	**AMPHIBIOUS COMBAT VEHICLE FAMILY OF VEHICLES**	**237,336**	**237,336**	**0**
3	**LAV PIP**	**68,825**	**68,825**	**0**
4	**155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER**	**5,709**	**5,709**	**0**
5	**ARTILLERY WEAPONS SYSTEM**	**341,085**	**324,140**	**−16,945**
	Cost growth MLU CFCS		−2,990	
	Cost growth WCS		−1,619	
	Training excess growth		−1,365	
	NMESIS EM–T previously funded		−10,971	
6	**WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER $5 MILLION**	**50,299**	**30,299**	**−20,000**
	Unjustified growth		−20,000	
8	**NAVAL STRIKE MISSILE [NSM]**	**204,639**	**204,639**	**0**
9	**NAVAL STRIKE MISSILE [NSM] [AP–CY]**	**14,391**	**14,391**	**0**
10	**GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE**	**1,274,446**	**1,073,497**	**−200,949**
	Unjustified non recurring cost		−111,163	
	Excess growth		−139,786	
	Program increase—autonomous transport systems for C–UAS		50,000	
11	**ANTI-ARMOR MISSILE—JAVELIN**	**63,020**	**63,020**	**0**
12	**FAMILY ANTI-ARMOR WEAPON SYSTEMS (FOAAWS)**	**808**	**808**	**0**
13	**ANTI-ARMOR MISSILE—TOW**	**1,265**	**1,265**	**0**
14	**GUIDED MLRS ROCKET [GMLRS]**	**61,355**	**61,355**	**0**
16	**REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT**	**65,665**	**65,665**	**0**
17	**MODIFICATION KITS**	**1,047**	**1,047**	**0**
18	**ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)**	**140,929**	**140,929**	**0**
20	**GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR)**	**55,622**	**55,622**	**0**
21	**ELECTRO MAGNETIC SPECTRUM OPERATIONS (EMSO)**	**79,269**	**46,550**	**−32,719**
	Unjustified request		−32,719	
22	**GCSS–MC**	**3,435**	**3,435**	**0**
23	**FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM**	**136,070**	**136,070**	**0**
24	**INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	**395,854**	**322,035**	**−73,819**
	Unjustified request		−38,869	
	Unjustified growth		−34,950	
26	**UNMANNED AIR SYSTEMS (INTEL)**	**262,815**	**209,760**	**−53,055**
	Unjustified growth		−53,055	
28	**UAS PAYLOADS**	**14,834**	**14,834**	**0**
29	**MARINE CORPS ENTERPRISE NETWORK (MCEN)**	**190,282**	**190,282**	**0**
30	**COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES**	**15,950**	**15,950**	**0**
31	**COMMAND POST SYSTEMS**	**442,308**	**290,030**	**−152,278**
	Unjustified growth		−152,278	
32	**RADIO SYSTEMS**	**764,001**	**594,149**	**−169,852**
	Excess growth		−184,852	
	Program increase—mesh attritable LoS/BLoS communications systems		15,000	
34	**COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT**	**37,640**	**37,640**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
35	**CYBERSPACE ACTIVITIES**	**19,854**	**19,854**	**0**
36	**UNMANNED EXPEDITIONARY SYSTEMS**	**1,001**	**1,001**	**0**
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	**2,029**	**2,029**	**0**
40	**COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES**	**27,582**	**27,582**	**0**
41	**MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS**	**22,460**	**22,460**	**0**
42	**JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE** Program decrease Program increase—nondevelopmental JLTVs and trailers	**244,941**	**244,941** −133,085 133,085	**0**
43	**TRAILERS** Unit cost growth	**136,438**	**53,806** −82,632	**−82,632**
44	**TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS** Unjustified growth	**112,197**	**75,047** −37,150	**−37,150**
45	**POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED** IPMS ESU unjustified growth Lightweight DC generator unit cost growth	**34,219**	**24,454** −7,926 −1,839	**−9,765**
46	**AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT** Unjustified request	**29,042**	**20,659** −8,383	**−8,383**
47	**EOD SYSTEMS**	**24,297**	**24,297**	**0**
48	**PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT** Unjustified request	**151,740**	**136,340** −15,400	**−15,400**
49	**FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT** Unjustified growth	**227,761**	**142,538** −85,223	**−85,223**
50	**TRAINING DEVICES** Unjustified request	**136,639**	**116,866** −19,773	**−19,773**
51	**FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT** Program increase—GPS and grade control systems construction equipment	**115,681**	**125,681** 10,000	**10,000**
52	**ULTRA-LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (ULTV)** Program increase	**972**	**26,472** 25,500	**25,500**
53	**ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION** Program increase—ultralightweight camouflage netting system	**54,228**	**64,228** 10,000	**10,000**
54	**SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS**	**18,925**	**18,925**	**0**
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT MARINE CORPS	**6,288,905**	**5,356,462**	**−932,443**

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**B–21 RAIDER** Classified adjustment	**2,230,615**	**2,194,537** – 36,078	**– 36,078**
2	**B–21 RAIDER [AP–CY]**	**1,005,667**	**1,005,667**	**0**
3	**F–35**	**2,393,723**	**2,393,723**	**0**
4	**F–35 [AP–CY]**	**738,103**	**738,103**	**0**
5	**COLLABORATIVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT** Classified adjustment	**996,528**	**976,598** – 19,930	**– 19,930**
6	**COLLABORATIVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT [AP–CY]**	**150,500**	**150,500**	**0**
7	**F–15EX**	**2,656,716**	**2,656,716**	**0**
9	**JOINT SIMULATION ENVIRONMENT**	**52,695**	**52,695**	**0**
10	**KC–46A MDAP** Excess support costs	**3,520,530**	**3,469,146** – 51,384	**– 51,384**
11	**C–130J** Program increase—additional aircraft for the Air National Guard	**636,680**	**936,680** 300,000	**300,000**
12	**ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING T–7A**	**529,464**	**529,464**	**0**
13	**ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING T–7A [AP–CY]**	**69,690**	**69,690**	**0**
14	**MH–139A**	**252,949**	**252,949**	**0**
15	**COMBAT RESCUE HELICOPTER** Air Force requested transfer from line 61 Program increase—additional aircraft	**69,395**	**284,395** 35,000 180,000	**215,000**
16	**C–37A** Air Force requested transfer to RDTE,AF line 279A	**208,000**	**0** – 208,000	**– 208,000**
18	**CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C** Program increase	**3,219**	**21,500** 18,281	**18,281**
20	**TARGET DRONES**	**31,912**	**31,912**	**0**
21	**COMPASS CALL**	**660,000**	**660,000**	**0**
24	**RQ–20B PUMA**	**15,513**	**15,513**	**0**
25	**B–2A**	**178,668**	**178,668**	**0**
26	**B–1B**	**146,862**	**146,862**	**0**
27	**B–52**	**422,399**	**422,399**	**0**
28	**LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES**	**66,638**	**66,638**	**0**
29	**COLLABORATIVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT MODS**	**822**	**822**	**0**
31	**F–15** Legion Pods excess growth Carryover	**140,204**	**125,049** – 11,778 – 3,377	**– 15,155**
32	**F–15EX**	**214,176**	**214,176**	**0**
33	**F–16 MODIFICATIONS** Early to need	**946,747**	**943,185** – 3,562	**– 3,562**
34	**F–22A**	**1,052,088**	**1,052,088**	**0**
35	**F–35 MODIFICATIONS**	**335,973**	**335,973**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
36	**F–15 EPAW**	141,233	115,595	−25,638
	Other contractor costs unjustified request		−5,638	
	Carryover		−20,000	
37	**KC–46A MDAP**	85,515	85,515	0
38	**C–5**	10,308	10,308	0
39	**C–17A**	59,867	59,867	0
42	**OSA–EA MODIFICATIONS**	136,786	128,094	−8,692
	VC–25B block upgrade support costs excess to need		−8,692	
43	**GLIDER MODS**	164	164	0
44	**T–6**	131,747	131,747	0
46	**T–38**	84,452	84,452	0
47	**U–2 Mods**	297	297	0
50	**C–130**	23,546	23,546	0
51	**C–130J MODS**	315,308	315,308	0
52	**C–135**	158,715	158,715	0
53	**COMPASS CALL**	506,265	506,265	0
54	**CVR (CONNON ULF RECEIVER) INC 2**	18	18	0
55	**RC–135**	252,846	252,846	0
56	**E–3**	841	841	0
57	**E–4**	30,779	30,779	0
58	**H–1**	17,872	17,872	0
59	**MH–139A Mod**	5,021	5,021	0
61	**HH60W MODIFICATIONS**	46,662	4,662	−42,000
	Air Force requested transfer to line 15		−35,000	
	Air Force requested transfer to line 68 for AFDW spares		−7,000	
62	**HC/MC–130 MODIFICATIONS**	257,742	257,742	0
63	**OTHER AIRCRAFT**	102,052	136,152	34,100
	Program increase—Pale Ale cockpit and avionics upgrades		34,100	
64	**MQ–9 MODS**	105,966	105,966	0
65	**SOFTWARE DEFINED USER EQUIPMENT**	25,847	25,847	0
66	**SENIOR LEADER C3 SYSTEM—AIRCRAFT**	32,654	32,654	0
67	**CV–22 MODS**	168,042	168,042	0
68	**INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS**	1,441,052	1,802,394	361,342
	Air Force requested transfer from line 61 for AFDW spares		7,000	
	MIDS JTRS carryover		−7,146	
	F–15 squadrons lack of justification		−10,575	
	EPAWSS carryover		−2,937	
	Program increase		375,000	
71	**AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	252,808	233,393	−19,415
	Unjustified growth		−29,415	
	Program increase—flightline air conditioning units		10,000	
73	**B–2B**	95,457	95,457	0
74	**B–52**	114	114	0
75	**C–17A**	3,027	3,027	0
75	**CV–22 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT**	5,088	5,088	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
79	F–15EX	16,930	16,930	0
80	F–16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	57,781	57,781	0
81	HC/MC–130 MODIFICATIONS	20,415	20,415	0
83	MQ–9 POST PROD	15,365	15,365	0
84	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS	20,590	20,590	0
85	WAR CONSUMABLES	85,387	85,387	0
86	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES Classified adjustment	2,528,401	2,494,044 — 34,357	— 34,357
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	15,800	15,800	0
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	26,985,236	27,449,748	464,512

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Missile Procurement, Air Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC**	**27,006**	**27,006**	**0**
3	**GROUND BASED STRATEGIC DETERRENT**	**107,602**	**107,602**	**0**
5	**LONG RANGE STAND-OFF WEAPON** Carryover Support costs excess growth	**506,047**	**472,571** −8,059 −25,417	**−33,476**
6	**LONG RANGE STAND-OFF WEAPON [AP–CY]** Carryover	**456,810**	**446,310** −10,500	**−10,500**
7	**REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT & WAR CONSUMABLES**	**23,575**	**23,575**	**0**
9	**AGM–183A AIR-LAUNCHED RAPID RESPONSE WEAPON** Program support costs excess growth	**452,035**	**443,430** −8,605	**−8,605**
10	**FAMILY OF AFFORDABLE MASS MISSILE (FAMM)** Program increase	**55,000**	**355,000** 300,000	**300,000**
11	**HYPERSONIC ATTACK CRUISE MISSILE** Program support costs excess growth	**403,974**	**399,604** −4,370	**−4,370**
12	**JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE** Unjustified growth	**967,866**	**956,925** −10,941	**−10,941**
13	**JOINT ADVANCED TACTICAL MISSILE**	**608,743**	**608,743**	**0**
14	**JOINT STRIKE MISSILE**	**384,607**	**384,607**	**0**
15	**LRASMO**	**500,916**	**500,916**	**0**
16	**SIDEWINDER (AIM–9X)**	**419,238**	**419,238**	**0**
17	**AMRAAM** Carryover Justification incongruence	**115,856**	**103,422** −11,434 −1,000	**−12,434**
19	**SMALL DIAMETER BOMB** Contract award delays	**44,596**	**41,252** −3,344	**−3,344**
20	**SMALL DIAMETER BOMB II**	**194,509**	**194,509**	**0**
21	**STAND-IN ATTACK WEAPON (SIAW)** Program delays	**401,607**	**327,989** −73,618	**−73,618**
22	**INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/ POL PREVENTION**	**931**	**931**	**0**
23	**ICBM FUZE MOD**	**169,747**	**169,747**	**0**
25	**MINUTEMAN III MODIFICATIONS**	**9,865**	**9,865**	**0**
26	**AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE [ALCM]**	**30,407**	**30,407**	**0**
27	**MISSILE SPARES / REPAIR PARTS (INITIAL)**	**15,621**	**15,621**	**0**
28	**MISSILE SPARES / REPAIR PARTS (REPLEN)**	**123,224**	**123,224**	**0**
33	**SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS**	**168,578**	**168,578**	**0**
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** Classified adjustment	**622,814**	**431,814** −191,000	**−191,000**
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	**6,811,174**	**6,762,886**	**−48,288**

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**ROCKETS**	**102,059**	**102,059**	**0**
2	**CARTRIDGES**	**157,508**	**151,419**	**−6,089**
	20MM PGU–28 A/B unit cost adjustment		−1,967	
	30MM PGU–13 D/B unit cost adjustment		−4,122	
3	**GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS**	**168,469**	**151,034**	**−17,435**
	BLU–138 historical underexecution		−12,835	
	BLU–140 unjustified request		−4,600	
4	**MASSIVE ORDNANCE PENETRATOR**	**755**	**755**	**0**
5	**JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION**	**129,568**	**125,448**	**−4,120**
	Airborne test equipment unjustified request		−2,278	
	Carryover		−1,842	
6	**B61–12 TRAINER**	**24,226**	**20,319**	**−3,907**
	Poor justification		−3,907	
7	**CAD/PAD**	**45,448**	**45,448**	**0**
8	**EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL**	**7,168**	**7,168**	**0**
9	**SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS**	**626**	**626**	**0**
10	**FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION**	**2,873**	**2,873**	**0**
11	**ITEMS LESS THAN $5,000,000**	**5,468**	**5,468**	**0**
13	**EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES**	**99,180**	**99,180**	**0**
14	**FUZES**	**141,149**	**141,149**	**0**
15	**SMALL ARMS**	**25,619**	**25,619**	**0**
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE	**910,116**	**878,565**	**−31,551**

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Other Procurement, Air Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	**CAP VEHICLES**	**0**	**3,400**	**3,400**
	Transfer from line 12		1,229	
	Program increase		2,171	
5	**JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE**	**103,304**	**103,304**	**0**
	Program decrease		−103,304	
	Program increase		103,304	
9	**MATERIALS HANDLING VEHICLES**	**2,132**	**0**	**−2,132**
	Transfer to line 12		−2,132	
12	**MISSION SUPPORT VEHICLES**	**439,892**	**396,903**	**−42,989**
	Transfer to line 3		−1,229	
	Transfer from line 9 for materials handling vehicles		2,132	
	Program element consolidation documentation		−43,892	
14	**COMSEC EQUIPMENT**	**374,613**	**324,646**	**−49,967**
	In-line network encryptors excess growth		−49,967	
16	**INTERNATIONAL INTEL TECH & ARCHITECTURES**	**19,060**	**15,810**	**−3,250**
	Unjustified growth		−3,250	
17	**INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT**	**5,531**	**5,531**	**0**
18	**INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT**	**37,717**	**37,717**	**0**
19	**AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS**	**58,313**	**57,205**	**−1,108**
	Poor justification		−1,108	
21	**BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED**	**3,391**	**3,391**	**0**
22	**THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMENT**	**11,640**	**11,640**	**0**
23	**3D EXPEDITIONARY LONG-RANGE RADAR**	**430,607**	**346,359**	**−84,248**
	Production delays		−67,098	
	Program support costs unjustified growth		−17,150	
24	**WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST**	**33,111**	**33,111**	**0**
25	**STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL**	**89,931**	**89,931**	**0**
26	**CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX**	**7,434**	**7,434**	**0**
27	**MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS**	**23,927**	**23,927**	**0**
28	**STRATEGIC MISSION PLANNING & EXECUTION SYSTEM**	**9,435**	**9,435**	**0**
29	**GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**	**234,417**	**190,277**	**−44,140**
	US Northern Command communications poor justification		−4,371	
	Compass Call lack of justification		−1,250	
	Electromagnetic battle management lack of justification		−2,500	
	EWIR excess growth		−12,238	
	C2ISR tactical data link lack of justification		−2,255	
	Full combat mission training		−17,418	
	Air Force Studies and Analysis Agency unjustified request		−5,608	
	Program increase—land mobile radio network upgrades		1,500	
33	**AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM**	**1,770,698**	**1,759,274**	**−11,424**
	ABADS program support costs excess growth		−12,500	
	Lack of justification		−131,510	
	Unit cost growth		−7,414	
	Program increase—counter sUAS systems		140,000	
34	**SAMTEC COMMUNICATIONS**	**17,641**	**16,641**	**−1,000**
	Program element consolidation documentation		−1,000	
35	**COMBAT TRAINING RANGES**	**94,378**	**94,378**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
36	**MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM N**	**279,516**	**253,099**	**−26,417**
	CIP excess support costs		−4,450	
	GASNTi1 support costs excess growth		−10,667	
	HEMP concurrency		−11,300	
37	**WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE [WAS]**	**17,000**	**17,000**	**0**
38	**C3 COUNTERMEASURES**	**163,127**	**163,127**	**0**
40	**THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM**	**460**	**460**	**0**
41	**AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER [AOC]**	**23,778**	**23,778**	**0**
42	**BASE INFORMATION TRANSPT INFRAST [BITI] WIRED**	**125,702**	**82,277**	**−43,425**
	Program carryover		−22,166	
	Unit cost growth		−21,259	
43	**AFNET**	**325,839**	**305,717**	**−20,122**
	AFINC/CSCS excess cost growth		−20,122	
44	**JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT ELEMENT [JCSE]**	**16,267**	**16,267**	**0**
45	**USCENTCOM**	**15,328**	**15,328**	**0**
46	**USSTRATCOM**	**5,013**	**5,013**	**0**
47	**USSPACECOM**	**195,370**	**195,370**	**0**
48	**TACTICAL C–E EQUIPMENT**	**162,835**	**172,835**	**10,000**
	Program increase—360 degree cameras		10,000	
49	**NEXT GENERATION SURVIVAL RADIO (NGSR)**	**80,321**	**60,412**	**−19,909**
	Early to need		−19,909	
51	**RADIO EQUIPMENT**	**36,874**	**36,874**	**0**
52	**BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE**	**158,113**	**133,074**	**−25,039**
	Collateral Equipment—Tactical Air Forces excess growth		−23,106	
	Theater Nuclear Weapon Storage & Security System support cost growth		−1,933	
53	**COMM ELECT MODS**	**220,855**	**201,683**	**−19,172**
	Air Force requested transfer to RDTE, AF line 105		−10,000	
	OTHR adjustment		−9,172	
54	**PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIPMENT**	**87,994**	**87,994**	**0**
55	**POWER CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT**	**14,444**	**14,444**	**0**
56	**MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT**	**24,594**	**24,594**	**0**
57	**BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT**	**81,686**	**186,526**	**104,840**
	Program increase—area printing additive manufacturing		20,000	
	Program increase—B–21 operations		23,400	
	Program increase—fielding distributed mobile manufacturing		50,000	
	Program increase—modernized LiDAR laser equipment		6,440	
	Program increase—real-time foreign object detection		5,000	
58	**ENGINEERING AND EOD EQUIPMENT**	**247,989**	**247,989**	**0**
59	**MOBILITY EQUIPMENT**	**288,930**	**260,262**	**−28,668**
	Excess growth		−28,668	
60	**FUELS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT [FSE]**	**81,066**	**81,066**	**0**
61	**BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	**68,127**	**68,127**	**0**
63	**DARP RC135**	**31,496**	**31,496**	**0**
64	**DCGS–AF**	**316,157**	**267,120**	**−49,037**
	Program adjustment		−49,037	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
66	**SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM**	**5,099,420**	**3,397,155**	**−1,702,265**
	Classified adjustment		−803,716	
	Department requested transfer to RDTE,AF line 40		−898,549	
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	**27,241,704**	**27,375,068**	**133,364**
	Classified adjustment		133,364	
67	**SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (CYBER)**	**8,657**	**8,657**	**0**
81	**SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS**	**14,129**	**14,129**	**0**
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	**39,199,963**	**37,277,255**	**−1,922,708**

PROCUREMENT, SPACE FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Procurement, Space Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**AF SATELLITE COMM SYSTEM**	**54,391**	**54,391**	**0**
3	**AUXILIARY PAYLOADS**	**241,076**	**241,076**	**0**
5	**COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS**	**459,466**	**379,466**	**−80,000**
	Space Force requested transfer to RDTE,SF line 25		−80,000	
7	**EVOLVED STRATEGIC SATCOM [ESS]**	**139,700**	**139,700**	**0**
11	**GROUND MOVING TARGET INDICATOR (GMTI)**	**1,016,612**	**896,612**	**−120,000**
	Space Force requested transfer to P,SF line 12		−120,000	
12	**GROUND MOVING TARGET INDICATOR (GMTI)**	**0**	**120,000**	**120,000**
	Space Force requested transfer from P,SF line 11		120,000	
13	**GENERAL INFORMATION TECH—SPACE**	**14,895**	**14,895**	**0**
14	**GPSIII FOLLOW ON**	**680,875**	**680,875**	**0**
17	**SPACEBORNE EQUIP [COMSEC]**	**95,061**	**95,061**	**0**
18	**MILSATCOM**	**38,067**	**38,067**	**0**
20	**SPECIAL SPACE ACTIVITIES**	**2,021,299**	**2,327,699**	**306,400**
	Space Force requested transfer from RDTE,SF line 999		351,400	
	Excess to need		−45,000	
21	**MOBILE USER OBJECTIVE SYSTEM**	**50,640**	**50,640**	**0**
22	**NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE LAUNCH**	**3,370,958**	**2,932,958**	**−438,000**
	2 launches		−382,000	
	Cost savings carryover		−56,000	
23	**PROLIFERATED LOW EARTH ORBIT SATCOM**	**0**	**10,000**	**10,000**
	Program increase—hybrid boosted SATCOM		10,000	
24	**PTES HUB**	**12,046**	**12,046**	**0**
25	**SPACE DEVELOPMENT AGENCY LAUNCH**	**835,197**	**835,197**	**0**
26	**SPACE DIGITAL INTEGRATED NETWORK (SDIN)**	**5,119**	**5,119**	**0**
27	**SPACE MODS**	**448,674**	**624,674**	**176,000**
	Space Force requested transfer from OM,SF line 13C		78,000	
	Space Force requested transfer from RDTE,SF line 68		98,000	
28	**SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE**	**64,885**	**64,885**	**0**
29	**WIDEBAND SATCOM OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS**	**81,483**	**81,483**	**0**
30	**SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS**	**971**	**971**	**0**
31	**USSF VEHICLES**	**6,032**	**6,032**	**0**
33	**POWER CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT**	**7,906**	**7,906**	**0**
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, SPACE FORCE	**9,645,353**	**9,619,753**	**−25,600**

PORTFOLIO ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE STRUCTURE

The Committee commends the Department of the Air Force for the decision to reorganize Space Force acquisition around Portfolio Acquisition Executives (PAE). The Committee has for several years urged the Space Force to reduce the distance between program-level decision-making and the authority to act.

Beginning with the President's budget request for fiscal year 2028, the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide the congressional defense committees with a companion document to the budget exhibits that organizes all Space Force programs by PAE mission areas. The mission area budget display shall: (1) aggregate all research, development, test and evaluation and procurement funding in base discretionary, mandatory, and classified requests for each mission area; (2) provide year-over-year comparisons against previous fiscal year enacted levels; (3) include a plain-language narrative of no more than two pages per mission area; and (4) identify total investment broken out by program. The document shall be provided in both unclassified and classified form.

SPACE FORCE ACQUISITIONS

The Committee is concerned with the persistent challenges in Space Force acquisition programs, including significant cost growth, schedule delays, and execution difficulties across several major efforts. These issues have continued despite prior efforts to streamline processes and increase funding. The Committee observes that legacy programs, such as the Next-Generation Operational Control System (OCX) terminated in 2026 after costs exceeded $6,200,000,000, highlight the risks of extended development timelines and requirements creep. The Committee believes measurable progress in cost, schedule, and performance is essential as the Service executes its fiscal year 2027 budget request and multiple new programs. The Committee will continue to closely monitor these efforts and expects future budget submissions to reflect a more disciplined, executable, and results-oriented acquisition portfolio.

DYNAMIC SPACE OPERATIONS

The Committee is alarmed that the Commander of United States Space Command has repeatedly identified dynamic space operations as an essential warfighting requirement to achieve and maintain space superiority in a highly contested domain. However, the budget does not reflect the urgency of this need. Space is no longer a sanctuary. Adversaries, particularly the People's Republic of China, are rapidly fielding counterspace weapons that threaten critical joint force capabilities in communications, positioning-navigation-timing, missile warning, and intelligence. Without dynamic operations, commanders are forced to ration propellant, effectively removing maneuver from the decision space.

The Committee is concerned that despite clear requirements from the combatant commander, dynamic space operations remain chronically underfunded. Current Space Force efforts at the prototyping stage are valuable but insufficient.

The Committee therefore directs the Commander of United States Space Command, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, detailing required resources, timelines, risks of under-investment, capability gaps with the People's Republic of China, and needed programmatic, acquisition, doctrinal, and organizational adjustments for dynamic space operations.

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Procurement, Defense-Wide:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
2	**MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD**	213,031	683,031	470,000
	Program increase—Accelerate the Procurement and Fielding of Innovative Technologies		435,000	
	Program increase—Indian Incentive Program		35,000	
6	**MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS**	453	2,100	1,647
	Program increase		1,647	
7	**INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY**	27,652	27,652	0
8	**TELEPORT PROGRAM**	93,512	93,512	0
9	**ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION**	24,013	24,013	0
10	**DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK**	392,650	392,650	0
12	**WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY**	611,216	611,216	0
13	**SENIOR LEADERSHIP ENTERPRISE**	81,584	174,584	93,000
	Program increase—mission partner expansion support		93,000	
15	**JOINT SERVICE PROVIDER**	53,596	53,596	0
16	**FOURTH ESTATE NETWORK OPTIMIZATION [4ENO]**	60,808	60,808	0
22	**MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA**	19,707	19,707	0
24	**MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS**	11,689	11,689	0
25	**COUNTER-SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS**	800,000	800,000	0
29	**BMDS AN/TPY–2 RADARS**	17,840	17,840	0
30	**SM–3 IIAS**	778,964	778,964	0
31	**ARROW 3 UPPER TIER SYSTEMS**	150,000	150,000	0
32	**SHORT RANGE BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE [SRBMD]**	30,000	30,000	0
35	**IRON DOME**	20,000	20,000	0
36	**AEGIS BMD HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE**	39,256	39,256	0
37	**PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION**	82,438	82,438	0
40	**VEHICLES**	99	99	0
41	**OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTRA**	8,963	8,963	0
42	**DTRA CYBER ACTIVITIES**	900	900	0
44	**MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT**	6,854	6,854	0
45	**CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS**	103,855	101,740	−2,115
	Excess growth		−2,115	
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	3,587,405	3,584,630	−2,775
	Classified adjustment		−2,775	
50	**ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT**	185,930	269,180	83,250
	Program increase—AH–6 and MH–6 rotary wing aircraft		72,000	
	Program increase—enhanced field of view aviation imaging systems		11,250	
51	**SKYRAIDER II**	59,894	59,894	0
53	**NON-STANDARD AVIATION**	72,650	72,650	0
55	**MH–47 CHINOOK**	168,411	168,411	0
56	**CV–22 MODIFICATION**	9,479	9,479	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
57	**MQ–9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE**	**75,841**	**75,841**	**0**
59	**AC/MC–130J**	**366,857**	**412,898**	**46,041**
	Program increase—MC–130J aircraft		55,750	
	Line consolidation efficiencies		−7,337	
	Program decrease		−2,372	
60	**UNDERWATER SYSTEMS**	**76,879**	**83,879**	**7,000**
	Program increase—deep submergence collective propulsion		7,000	
61	**ORDNANCE ITEMS <$5M**	**237,153**	**247,153**	**10,000**
	Program increase—precision, high-volume air support capability		10,000	
62	**INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS**	**319,241**	**320,020**	**779**
	Program increase—quadruped unmanned ground vehicles		9,000	
	Line consolidation efficiencies		−6,384	
	Program decrease		−1,837	
64	**OTHER ITEMS <$5M**	**119,047**	**119,047**	**0**
65	**COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS**	**33,858**	**33,858**	**0**
66	**SPECIAL PROGRAMS**	**130,462**	**130,462**	**0**
67	**TACTICAL VEHICLES**	**36,983**	**36,983**	**0**
68	**WARRIOR SYSTEMS <$5M**	**511,016**	**536,806**	**25,790**
	Program increase—AR team awareness kit		15,000	
	Program increase—RF seeking payloads for cUAS		20,000	
	Program decrease		−9,210	
69	**COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS**	**4,988**	**4,988**	**0**
70	**OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE**	**28,074**	**28,074**	**0**
71	**OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS**	**360,595**	**402,595**	**42,000**
	Program increase—continuous wireless intrusion detection		12,000	
	Program increase—software defined and AI powered counter unmanned systems		30,000	
72	**CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS**	**223,166**	**223,166**	**0**
73	**CB PROTECTION & HAZARD MITIGATION**	**117,859**	**117,859**	**0**
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	**10,354,868**	**11,129,485**	**774,617**

DEFENSE SUPPLY CHAIN PACKAGING

The Committee recognizes the critical importance of protective packaging to ensure the safe transport and storage of military equipment and goods. Packaging materials and solutions are specifically tailored based on detailed calculations for each requirement across the Department's logistics supply chain. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to prioritize performance, efficiency, damage avoidance, domestic production, and cost-effective preferences for protective packaging. Additionally, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to avoid packaging preferences for alternative or substitute packaging that could result in increased damage, spoilage, or waste. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a combined list of packaging modernization focus areas to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees along with the submission of the President's budget for fiscal year 2028.

MEDICAL COUNTERMEASURES FOR OVERSEAS PERSONNEL

The Committee recognizes the urgent need to procure and preposition medical countermeasures for acute radiation syndrome, cutaneous radiation injury, and thermal burns sustained by members of the Armed Forces assigned to duty locations outside the United States. To ensure the health, safety, and readiness of these forces, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to prioritize, within available funds, the procurement, pre-positioning, and forward deployment of such medical countermeasures.

MEALS READY-TO-EAT

The Committee is aware of the importance of fully funding the Defense Logistics Agency's request to procure a minimum of 2,500,000 cases of Meals Ready-to-Eat (MREs) in fiscal year 2027. The Committee reaffirms its support for maintaining the War Reserve stock objective of 5,000,000 cases and sustaining the minimum procurement rate necessary to preserve the readiness and viability of the MRE industrial base.

DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Defense Production Act Purchases:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES**	**477,287**	**552,787**	**75,500**
	Program increase—domestic black powder stockpile		20,000	
	Program increase—domestic recycling and production of refractory metal powders		10,000	
	Program increase—Florida Gulf Coast critical minerals refining facility		8,000	
	Program increase—high purity niobium		10,000	
	Program increase—metallurgical tilting refining furnace for secondary copper production from domestic scrap		7,500	
	Program increase—solid propulsion for space based interceptors		20,000	
	TOTAL, DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES	**477,287**	**552,787**	**75,500**

DEFENSE STRATEGIC CAPITAL CREDIT PROGRAM

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for the Defense Strategic Capital Credit Program:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

P–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	OFFICE OF STRATEGIC CAPITAL LOAN PROGRAM	216,000	216,000	0
	TOTAL, DEFENSE STRATEGIC CAPITAL CREDIT PROGRAM	216,000	216,000	0

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	305,000	300,000	−5,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	305,000	300,000	−5,000
ARMY RESERVE	155,000	155,000	0
NAVY RESERVE	57,000	60,000	3,000
MARINE CORPS RESERVE	23,000	30,000	7,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE	155,000	155,000	0
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT	1,000,000	1,000,000	0

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT GUIDANCE

The Committee recommends $1,000,000,000 for the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account. Of that amount, $300,000,000 is for the Army National Guard; $300,000,000 is for the Air National Guard; $155,000,000 is for the Army Reserve; $60,000,000 is for the Navy Reserve; $30,000,000 is for the Marine Corps Reserve; and $155,000,000 is for the Air Force Reserve to meet urgent equipment needs in the coming fiscal year. This funding will allow the National Guard and reserve components to procure high priority equipment used by these components for both their military missions and missions in support of State governors. The funding within this account is not to be used to procure equipment designated as high-density critical equipment, major weapon systems, aircraft, and other equipment central to a unit's ability to perform its doctrinal mission. The funding within this account is not to be used to procure equipment purchased by the senior Service, to expand or accelerate current Service procurement plans, to purchase expendable items, or to purchase facilities or equipment for any requirement able to be satisfied elsewhere.

The Committee notes that the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account is a congressional special interest item for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). It is the Committee's expectation that the process in which funds are executed will not differ from prior years.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account is executed by the Chiefs of the National Guard and reserve components with priority consideration given to the following items: acoustic hailing devices; air domain awareness and c-UAS systems; aircraft survivability equipment and weapons training aids; aviation status dashboards; ballistic and multi-band laser eye protection; cloud defense solutions; construction equipment systems; controlled humidity preservation; crash-survivable UH–60 helicopter gunner seats; degraded visual environment systems; emergency response refuel equipment kits for C–130/135 aircraft; heavy dump trucks; high mobility multi-purpose wheeled vehicle modernization; human performance wearable technology; improved thermal acoustic blankets; infrared suppression hardware for C–130 aircraft; internal auxiliary crashworthy, ballistically tolerant auxiliary fuel systems for UH–60 helicopters; KC–135 aircrew ground cooling units; land surveying systems; lightweight, rapidly deployable, computer-based artillery call for fire training and simulation; litter stabilization systems; modular small arms ranges and small arms training simulators and tools; National Security Agency compliant, multiple network configurable, secure tactical voice bridge; passive acoustic surveillance systems; secure enterprise, emergency, and social communication; software defined radios; UH–72 Lakota mission equipment modernization; upgraded commercial off the shelf ground mapping for C–130 aircraft; vehicle-mounted, man-portable radiological nuclear detection systems; and virtual reality training systems for aircraft maintenance.

TITLE IV

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The fiscal year 2027 Department of Defense research, development, test and evaluation budget request and the Committee recommendation are summarized in the table below:

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

[Dollars in thousands]

RECAPITULATION	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY	18,708,826	21,026,854	+2,318,028
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY	32,386,619	34,570,694	+2,184,075
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE	73,075,614	74,820,382	+1,744,768
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, SPACE FORCE	38,373,489	35,319,886	−3,053,603
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	55,736,724	54,747,835	−988,889
OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE	112,365	127,365	+15,000
GOLDEN DOME FOR AMERICA FUND	397,971	397,971	
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION	218,791,608	221,010,987	+2,219,379

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2008 (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall be $15,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with the guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogramming actions are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P–1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

FUNDING INCREASES

The funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been recommended or items for which funding is specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables detailing recommended adjustments or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in this report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount, as specifically addressed elsewhere in this report.

8(a) BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

The Committee is concerned that the overall number of small businesses seeking federal procurement opportunities is declining precipitously. From 2005 to 2019, the number of small businesses entering into new contracts declined by approximately 79 percent. Moreover, recent actions undertaken by the Small Business Administration (SBA) appear to be exacerbating this decline, particularly in the 8(a) contracting program.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Administrator of the Small Business Adminis-

tration, to provide to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees, within 30 days of enactment of this Act, both the statutory and legal justification for initiating termination proceedings against the 628 small businesses removed from the 8(a) program on March 4, 2026. The Secretary is also directed to provide in the same report the statutory and legal justification for initiating SBA's June 2025 audit of the program. Such report should include the results of the June 2025 audit and any evidence of fraud and/or improper payments in the 8(a) program supporting the removal of these small business from the program.

ADVANCED MANUFACTURING FOR CRITICAL MUNITIONS PRODUCTION

Advanced manufacturing approaches, including highly automated and digitally enabled production processes, may enable parallel production capacity, reduce supply chain risk, and accelerate delivery timelines for key munitions systems. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider these capabilities as part of its broader efforts to strengthen the munitions industrial base and improve surge capacity for high-demand munitions.

HELIUM–3 SUPPLY CHAIN

The Committee recognizes the importance of helium-3 to national security applications, including nuclear detection, nonproliferation, quantum computing, advanced semiconductor manufacturing, and other critical defense systems. The Committee notes ongoing supply constraints and the absence of a reliable domestic production capability. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to evaluate domestic terrestrial helium-3 resource potential and development pathways, including an assessment of helium-3 separation technologies. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, to provide to the congressional defense committees a report on opportunities to advance a secure domestic supply of helium-3, including existing authorities that facilitate development in partnership with commercial entities and new authorities needed to enable such activities.

UNIVERSITY AFFILIATED RESEARCH CENTERS

The Committee recognizes the partnership between the Department of Defense and University Affiliated Research Centers (UARCs) in advancing critical technologies essential to national security and maintaining the United States' technological superiority. The Committee also recognizes the important role UARCs have in transitioning technology from laboratories to the commercial market.

The Committee supports continued funding for UARCs and encourages the Department to sustain investments in these institutions to ensure continuity of critical research and workforce development efforts essential to national security.

MODULAR OPEN SYSTEMS APPROACH AND COMPETITION

The Committee applauds the Department of Defense for underscoring the criticality of Modular Open Systems Approach to enable competition and supply chain resiliency. Committee notes that sec-

tion 8097 supports the Department's vision and further ensures competition.

DEFENSE INNOVATION ONRAMP HUBS

The Committee supports the Defense Innovation Unit's (DIU) OnRamp Hubs as a critical mechanism to expand engagement with the defense innovation base and accelerate the identification, maturation, transition, and fielding of commercial and dual-use technologies for Department of Defense missions. The Committee recognizes the OnRamp Hubs as accessible entry points for strengthening regional defense innovation ecosystems and reducing barriers to entry for companies seeking to support the warfighter. The Committee expects OnRamp Hubs to maintain active collaboration with DIU technical leads, combatant commands, military departments, defense agencies, along with other relevant Department of Defense and government innovation organizations to align technology efforts with mission needs and accelerate capability delivery to the warfighter. Furthermore, the Committee recognizes the importance of facilitating rigorous test and evaluation, as well as independent verification and validation efforts, to ensure that technologies transitioned through the OnRamp Hubs meet operational requirements, perform as intended in relevant environments, and deliver reliable capabilities to the warfighter. The Committee views the OnRamp Hubs as an essential component of the Department's innovation infrastructure and expects continued progress and measurable outcomes in delivering mission-relevant capabilities to the warfighter.

SKILLED TRADES

The Committee recognizes the vital role of skilled trades, including welders and electricians, in supporting national security through the production, maintenance, and repair of defense materials and systems. The Committee is concerned about the capacity of these critical trades to fully support the readiness and resilience of the defense industrial base. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to prioritize efforts to expand the pipeline of qualified welders and other skilled trades that support defense production and repair. The Committee further directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Industrial Base Policy), to track and provide a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act, measures of industrial base readiness, including: (1) number of welders qualified to defense-relevant standards; (2) the availability of instructors and training capacity; and (3) the operational status of repair and production lines that depend on skilled welding capacity, in support of the National Defense Industrial Strategy.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES**	**215,322**	**241,322**	**26,000**
	Program increase—advanced AI materials discovery platform		5,000	
	Program increase—advanced critical metals production		10,000	
	Program increase—lightweight armor explosion cladded materials research		1,000	
	Program increase—ultra high temperature shape morphing materials for hypersonic vehicle overmatch		10,000	
2	**UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES**	**63,102**	**76,333**	**13,231**
	Program increase—UARC restoral funding		13,231	
3	**UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS**	**53,598**	**53,598**	**0**
5	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE BASIC RESEARCH**	**64,031**	**64,031**	**0**
9	**COUNTER SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS [C–SUAS] APPLIED RESEARCH**	**26,523**	**26,523**	**0**
10	**LETHALITY TECHNOLOGY**	**232,046**	**277,046**	**45,000**
	Program increase—close combat innovation center		5,000	
	Program increase—gunner protection and performance augmentation		5,000	
	Program increase—high-temperature alloys for directed energy weapons		5,000	
	Program increase—high-temperature carbon composites for hypersonic weapons		20,000	
	Program increase—high-density munition component manufacturing		10,000	
11	**SOLDIER LETHALITY TECHNOLOGY**	**68,018**	**232,218**	**164,200**
	Program increase—advanced ceramic technologies		10,000	
	Program increase—advanced medical simulation science and technologies for warfighters		15,000	
	Program increase—advanced transparent, opaque armor protection		10,000	
	Program increase—domestic manufacturing of liquified gas electrolyte cold weather batteries		10,000	
	Program increase—drone weaponization for counter-UAS operations		3,000	
	Program increase—FORCE UAS and counter-UAS expeditionary platform		7,500	
	Program increase—geospatial modernization for mission command		7,500	
	Program increase—Pathfinder airborne		8,000	
	Program Increase—Pathfinder emergency readiness		20,000	
	Program increase—Pathfinder force protection		8,200	
	Program increase—Pathfinder human-machine teaming		5,000	
	Program increase—Pathfinder multidomain operations		10,000	
	Program increase—Pathfinder Redbull		5,000	
	Program increase—phage discovery and applications research		20,000	
	Program increase—rapid low-cost silicon battery production		10,000	
	Program increase—SiC device modeling for HPM		5,000	
	Program increase—VANGUARD tactical innovation		10,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
12	**GROUND TECHNOLOGY**	**44,146**	**115,746**	**71,600**
	Program increase—2D polymer scalable manufacturing		5,000	
	Program increase—3D-printed energetics		5,000	
	Program increase—advanced next generation concrete technology		2,600	
	Program increase—domestic production of microreactor components		5,000	
	Program increase—integrated mesonet and decision-support network		5,000	
	Program increase—integrity of transparent armor		4,000	
	Program increase—isostatic pressure advanced armor		10,000	
	Program increase—rapid advanced deposition		30,000	
	Program increase—soil-structure interaction of buildings under hypersonic blast		5,000	
13	**NEXT GENERATION COMBAT VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY**	**70,540**	**178,790**	**108,250**
	Program increase—APS enhancements with multipurpose remote weapon station		20,000	
	Program increase—DEVCOM ground vehicle systems center partnerships		15,000	
	Program increase—digital engineering for autonomous vehicle systems		12,000	
	Program increase—digital manufacturing research for advanced power devices		12,500	
	Program increase—digital twin-enabled robot teams for autonomous sensing and networked ops		9,750	
	Program increase—reverse engineering & digital engineering for sustainment		10,000	
	Program increase—standardized battery		10,000	
	Program increase—systems engineering for autonomous ground vehicle research		9,000	
	Program increase—university digital design program		5,000	
	Program increase—vehicle signature concealment		5,000	
14	**NETWORK C3I TECHNOLOGY**	**53,373**	**96,873**	**43,500**
	Program increase—AI-enabled mission planning		10,000	
	Program increase—emerging threat systems lab		5,000	
	Program increase—group 3 autonomous operations in RF contested environments		8,000	
	Program increase—MOSA compliant command and control		3,000	
	Program increase—spectrum sharing and management		7,500	
	Program increase—RF photonics for electronic protection		10,000	
15	**LONG RANGE PRECISION FIRES TECHNOLOGY**	**24,086**	**96,086**	**72,000**
	Program increase—advanced manufacturing of energetic materials		10,000	
	Program increase—aerostructure development		10,000	
	Program increase—domestic black powder supply chain resiliency		10,000	
	Program increase—hypersonic additive manufacturing		20,000	
	Program increase—low-cost missile technology phase 3		10,000	
	Program increase—next-generation extended range loitering projectiles		12,000	
16	**FUTURE VERTICAL LIFT TECHNOLOGY**	**17,727**	**60,727**	**43,000**
	Program increase—digital engineering for enhanced readiness		10,000	
	Program increase—high density eVTOL power source		15,000	
	Program increase—low-cost group 3 kinetic interceptor		10,000	
	Program increase—quantum nanowire micro-LED microdisplays		8,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
17	**AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY**	**36,113**	**109,613**	**73,500**
	Program increase—accelerating COTS technology for operational needs		10,000	
	Program increase—advanced, scalable, long range, low cost AESA radars		5,000	
	Program increase—auger shield C–UAS		24,500	
	Program increase—C–UAS center of excellence		10,000	
	Program increase—command orchestration for real-time execution testbed		5,000	
	Program increase—counter-UAS center of excellence		10,000	
	Program increase—high power density battery for directed energy		5,000	
	Program increase—solid state laser testbed		4,000	
20	**C3I APPLIED RESEARCH**	**0**	**12,000**	**12,000**
	Program increase—imaging cold environments using multispectral airborne networks		12,000	
21	**AIR PLATFORM APPLIED RESEARCH**	**43,700**	**61,700**	**18,000**
	Program increase—air vehicle structures and dynamics technologies		8,000	
	Program increase—remote air vehicle extraction technologies		10,000	
22	**SOLDIER APPLIED RESEARCH**	**2,429**	**2,429**	**0**
23	**C3I APPLIED CYBER**	**63**	**63**	**0**
24	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH**	**51,184**	**51,184**	**0**
25	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE CYBER APPLIED RESEARCH**	**9,857**	**9,857**	**0**
26	**UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS LAUNCHED EFFECTS APPLIED RESEARCH**	**22,871**	**22,871**	**0**
27	**BIOTECHNOLOGY FOR MATERIALS—APPLIED RESEARCH**	**14,979**	**14,979**	**0**
29	**MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY**	**14,275**	**14,275**	**0**
30	**MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY**	**149,221**	**211,221**	**62,000**
	Program increase—advancing battlefield care with predictive AI		8,000	
	Program increase—AI-enabled trauma recovery		7,000	
	Program increase—degradable metal alloy orthopedic implants		10,000	
	Program increase—human performance optimization		24,000	
	Program increase—technology for pathogen freeze-dried cryoprecipitate		7,000	
	Program increase—warfighter musculoskeletal health optimization		6,000	
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	**32,883**	**32,883**	**0**
31	**MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**17,876**	**59,876**	**42,000**
	Program increase—AI-based exposure model development		20,000	
	Program increase—blast and blunt force sensor system		7,000	
	Program increase—prophylactic medical countermeasure for acute radiation syndrome		10,000	
	Program increase—pulmonary medical countermeasure for chemical and biological warfare agents		5,000	
32	**MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**11,113**	**11,113**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
33	**ARMY AGILE INNOVATION AND DEMONSTRATION**	**3,325**	**95,825**	**92,500**
	Program increase—accelerated innovation and technology transition initiative		30,000	
	Program increase—base bleed scalable production		15,000	
	Program increase—mini missile C-sUAS interceptor		30,000	
	Program increase—thermoplastic-based continuous production for artillery propulsion		7,500	
	Program increase—warhead production modernization		10,000	
35	**ALL DOMAIN CONVERGENCE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**3,575**	**3,575**	**0**
36	**C3I ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**1,211**	**12,751**	**11,540**
	Program increase—category 3 subterranean training facility		11,540	
37	**AIR PLATFORM ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**23,471**	**51,471**	**28,000**
	Program increase—AI data foundry for applications		8,000	
	Program increase—hybrid VTOL logistics demonstration		20,000	
38	**SOLDIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**3,951**	**3,951**	**0**
39	**LETHALITY ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**31,812**	**66,712**	**34,900**
	Program increase—asset optimization for UAS and C–UAS capabilities		9,900	
	Program increase—close combat innovation center		5,000	
	Program increase—high strength ordnance packaging, handling, storage and transportation		10,000	
	Program increase—secure hypersonic prototype manufacturing		10,000	
40	**SOLDIER LETHALITY ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**125,121**	**192,821**	**67,700**
	Program increase—arctic sleep optimization and human performance research		10,000	
	Program increase—auto-capture hook technology		3,000	
	Program increase—combat casualty care simulation training technology		5,000	
	Program increase—CUAS mission planning module		10,000	
	Program increase—electromagnetic linear motor weapons training systems		8,000	
	Program increase—group 4 hybrid VTOL rapid autonomous logistics extraction		9,200	
	Program increase—long range attritable uas for contested environments		10,000	
	Program increase—long range precision aerial delivery systems		5,000	
	Program increase—respiration integration for combat helmets		7,500	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
41	**GROUND ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**25,043**	**168,043**	**143,000**
	Program increase—2K x 2K OLED microdisplay commercialization		4,000	
	Program increase—advanced expeditionary infrastructure		10,000	
	Program increase—contested logistics for adaptive resilience in infrastructure and transportation engineering		6,000	
	Program increase—defense power partnerships for extreme temperatures		5,000	
	Program increase—expeditionary robotic construction-scale 3D printing		10,000	
	Program increase—extreme weather deployable power		8,000	
	Program increase—graphene-based materials innovation		5,000	
	Program increase—hybrid power systems		10,000	
	Program increase—hypersonic heat recovery initiative		7,000	
	Program increase—in-theater fabrication and repair technologies		10,000	
	Program increase—integrated modeling and simulation		3,500	
	Program increase—kilowatt-class hydrokinetic power		7,000	
	Program increase—methane capture and conversion		10,000	
	Program increase—microgrid reliability and resilience research		10,000	
	Program increase—novel recovery of rare earth element reserves		7,500	
	Program increase—power for autonomous systems		12,000	
	Program increase—remote detonation robotic systems		3,000	
	Program increase—tactical, ruggedized, mobile power generator		15,000	
43	**COUNTER SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS [C–SUAS] ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**156,520**	**156,520**	**0**
44	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**156,326**	**173,326**	**17,000**
	Program increase—coherent distributed network powered non-kinetic effects		10,000	
	Program increase—ruggedized, modular form factor RF and compute payload electronics		7,000	
45	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE CYBER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**15,278**	**15,278**	**0**
46	**UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS LAUNCHED EFFECTS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**33,129**	**33,129**	**0**
47	**BIOTECHNOLOGY FOR MATERIALS—ADVANCED RESEARCH**	**22,402**	**28,402**	**6,000**
	Program increase—biotechnology for defense materials		6,000	
48	**C3I CYBER ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT**	**8,509**	**8,509**	**0**
49	**HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM**	**215,090**	**215,090**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
50	**NEXT GENERATION COMBAT VEHICLE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**118,207**	**299,807**	**181,600**
	Program increase—additive manufacturing and new platform technology		15,000	
	Program increase—advanced composite components for medium caliber armament systems		10,000	
	Program increase—affordable ultra lightweight composite brakes		10,000	
	Program increase—autonomous casualty evacuation platform		7,500	
	Program increase—autonomous robotic breaching		18,000	
	Program increase—commercial vehicle integration lab		10,000	
	Program increase—contested logistics		5,000	
	Program increase—dark drone countermeasures		3,000	
	Program increase—discontinuous thermoplastics demonstration		10,000	
	Program increase—dynamic concealment technologies		7,000	
	Program increase—ground vehicle enterprise lifecycle system integration lab capability		12,000	
	Program increase—integration and operational demonstration of AI capabilities		10,000	
	Program increase—low-cost UAS modular, containerized deployment architecture		10,000	
	Program increase—mesophase pitch-based synthetic graphite battery technology		20,000	
	Program increase—next generation stabilized optics		6,900	
	Program increase—remote weapons stations modernization		20,000	
	Program increase—silent watch auxiliary power unit		7,200	
51	**NETWORK C3I ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**48,490**	**109,990**	**61,500**
	Program increase—autonomous defensive networks		12,000	
	Program increase—modular radio frequency (RF) communications technology		7,000	
	Program increase—OCONUS installation WiFi accessibility		7,500	
	Program increase—resilient emergency communications		10,000	
	Program increase—tactical quantum-resistant encryption		5,000	
	Program increase—unified distributed computing capability		20,000	
52	**LONG RANGE PRECISION FIRES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**422,590**	**474,090**	**51,500**
	Program increase—advanced powder metallurgy for armament components		7,500	
	Program increase—composite material development		4,000	
	Program increase—digital engineering tools for extended range artillery		15,000	
	Program increase—fires autonomy and soldier-centric testbed		10,000	
	Program increase—missile delivered launched effects		15,000	
53	**FUTURE VERTICAL LIFT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**14,984**	**108,984**	**94,000**
	Program increase—accelerated autonomous dronehub development		3,000	
	Program increase—advanced helicopter seating system		15,000	
	Program increase—AI digital twin environment		15,000	
	Program increase—Army aviation helmet mounted display		20,000	
	Program increase—autonomous charging for unmanned systems		10,000	
	Program increase—future vertical lift research		10,000	
	Program increase—hybrid-electric contested logistics VTOL maturation		11,000	
	Program increase—wide field-of-view helmet mounted display		10,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
54	**AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**63,924**	**113,924**	**50,000**
	Program increase—advanced sensor precision evaluation and characterization toolset		10,000	
	Program increase—AI integration for operational fires		10,000	
	Program increase—airborne multi-system pod D6		4,000	
	Program increase—directed energy and sensor technology development		5,000	
	Program increase—low SWaP–C next generation HEL system		15,000	
	Program increase—passive C-sUAS target detection and identification		6,000	
56	**HUMANITARIAN DEMINING**	**7,619**	**36,349**	**28,730**
	Program increase		28,730	
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	**80,717**	**80,717**	**0**
58	**ARMY MISSLE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION**	**8,367**	**33,367**	**25,000**
	Program increase—integration and testing of gun-launched interceptors		25,000	
59	**ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION**	**59,573**	**99,073**	**39,500**
	Program increase—cooperative multi-domain payload		9,500	
	Program increase—gliding offensive lightweight unmanned munition		30,000	
60	**AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING**	**0**	**100,000**	**100,000**
	Program increase—deep CEMA		50,000	
	Program increase—fires technology accelerator program		50,000	
61	**LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV**	**31,374**	**31,374**	**0**
62	**SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS—ADV DEV**	**5,596**	**5,596**	**0**
63	**TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION**	**277,248**	**256,885**	**−20,363**
	Program decrease—ERAM contract award delay		−25,363	
	Program increase—air drop munition fuzing development		5,000	
64	**ARMORED SYSTEM MODERNIZATION—ADV DEV**	**23,594**	**23,594**	**0**
65	**SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY**	**4,109**	**4,109**	**0**
66	**TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV**	**98,331**	**178,331**	**80,000**
	Program increase—autonomous recovery from cyber-attacks		10,000	
	Program increase—fortified logic for ASIC reconnaissance and exploitation		10,000	
	Program increase—harsh environment microelectronics innovation		30,000	
	Program increase—personal RF attack detection and alert		10,000	
	Program increase—secure cognitive AI cyber system		20,000	
67	**NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT**	**5,310**	**5,310**	**0**
68	**ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—DEM/VAL**	**19,499**	**24,499**	**5,000**
	Program increase—hard chrome alternative coating qualification		5,000	
69	**NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**	**5,145**	**5,145**	**0**
71	**LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV**	**12,822**	**22,822**	**10,000**
	Program increase—hybrid tactical microgrid control node		10,000	
72	**MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV**	**1,017**	**1,017**	**0**
73	**SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT**	**56,122**	**56,122**	**0**
74	**ROBOTICS DEVELOPMENT**	**20,290**	**25,290**	**5,000**
	Program increase—AI-enabled multi-domain autonomous systems command and control		5,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
75	**EXPANDED MISSION AREA MISSILE [EMAM]**	235,593	0	−235,593
	Program decrease—MDACS		−235,593	
77	**LOW EARTH ORBIT [LEO] SATELLITE CAPABILITY**	319	319	0
78	**MULTI-DOMAIN SENSING SYSTEM [MDSS] ADV DEV**	99,471	119,471	20,000
	Program increase—solar-powered stratospheric drone development and testing		20,000	
79	**TACTICAL INTEL TARGETING ACCESS NODE [TITAN] ADV DEV**	4,123	4,123	0
80	**ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES**	10,077	10,077	0
84	**LOWER TIER AIR MISSILE DEFENSE [LTAMD] SENSOR**	162,074	162,074	0
85	**TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES**	314,671	437,971	123,300
	Program increase—additive manufacturing and robotic assembling for sustainment and affordable mass		47,300	
	Program increase—long range affordable mass weapon		17,500	
	Program increase—next generation battery separator and membrane technology		15,000	
	Program increase—tactical throwable camera operational evaluation		5,000	
	Program increase—squad omnidirectional imager		2,500	
	Program increase—subsonic long-range cruise missile capability		30,000	
	Program increase—tactical edge cyber and spectrum readiness initiative		6,000	
86	**MANEUVER—SHORT RANGE AIR DEFENSE [M–SHORAD]**	460,980	433,957	−27,023
	Program decrease—M–SHORAD Inc. 4 early to need		−27,023	
87	**ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING [PNT]**	18,993	18,993	0
88	**SYNTHETIC TRAINING ENVIRONMENT REFINEMENT & PROTOTYPING**	219,137	219,137	0
89	**ADVANCED POWER APPLICATIONS**	48,000	63,000	15,000
	Program increase—existing infrastructure upgrades		15,000	
91	**STRATEGIC MID–RANGE FIRES**	211,848	211,848	0
92	**HYPERSONICS**	82,939	82,939	0
99	**CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS FORCES AND FORCE SUPPORT**	22,889	22,889	0
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	261,466	261,466	0
100	**AIRCRAFT AVIONICS**	30,658	61,387	30,729
	Program decrease—Network and Mission Planning ahead of need		−9,271	
	Program increase—BE–CDL Mode 300 series protected waveforms		15,000	
	Program increase—DVEPS technology upgrades		25,000	
101	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT**	2,807	2,807	0
102	**INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS**	55,296	70,296	15,000
	Program increase—advanced military textiles for arctic environments		8,000	
	Program increase—blast and noise gauge for gunner protection		3,000	
	Program increase—personnel recovery device		4,000	
103	**MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES**	23,763	23,763	0
104	**JAVELIN**	10,217	10,217	0
105	**FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES**	43,003	43,003	0
108	**LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES**	6,142	6,142	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
110	**NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—ENG DEV**	418,427	411,681	−6,746
	Program decrease—FALCONS excess to need		−6,746	
111	**COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT**	6,701	6,701	0
112	**NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—ENG DEV**	29,685	29,685	0
113	**AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—ENG DEV**	14,276	14,276	0
114	**CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	5,618	5,618	0
115	**AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT**	9,625	9,625	0
116	**DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS [DIS]—ENG DEV**	7,883	7,883	0
117	**BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION**	26,602	26,602	0
118	**WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV**	124,881	124,881	0
119	**LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV**	65,238	75,238	10,000
	Program increase—small tactical electric power hybrid augmentation system		10,000	
120	**COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG DEV**	5,541	20,541	15,000
	Program increase—advanced C5ISR Modular Open Suite of Standards (CMOSS) A–PNT technology		5,000	
	Program increase—warrior systems multi-net waveform development for resilient systems		10,000	
121	**MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV**	9,744	9,744	0
122	**LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG DEV**	17,586	108,616	91,030
	Program increase—U.S. CENTCOM FY26 UPL #4		91,030	
123	**ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE**	42,584	62,584	20,000
	Program increase—tactical edge command and control		10,000	
	Program increase—tactical predictive logistics C2		10,000	
124	**RADAR DEVELOPMENT**	58,260	58,260	0
126	**SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL**	5,663	5,663	0
127	**SUITE OF SURVIVABILITY ENHANCEMENT SYSTEMS—EMD**	78,331	78,331	0
128	**ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD**	709,192	593,820	−115,372
	Program decrease—excess to need		−115,372	
129	**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	121,525	121,525	0
130	**INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM—ARMY**	102,694	102,694	0
131	**JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER [JTNC]**	21,561	21,561	0
132	**JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK [JTN]**	50,390	50,390	0
133	**COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES [CIRCM]**	11,573	11,573	0
134	**COMBATING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION (CWMD)**	5,605	5,605	0
135	**EVIDENCE COLLECTION AND DETAINEE PROCESSING**	5,513	5,513	0
136	**NUCLEAR BIOLOGICAL CHEMICAL RECONNAISSANCE VEHICLE [NBCRV] SENSOR SUITE**	13,864	13,864	0
137	**DEFENSIVE CYBER TOOL DEVELOPMENT**	3,519	3,519	0
138	**TACTICAL NETWORK RADIO SYSTEMS (LOW-TIER)**	3,804	3,804	0
139	**CONTRACT WRITING SYSTEM**	4,777	4,777	0
141	**AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY DEVELOPMENT**	106,621	106,621	0
142	**INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INC 2—BLOCK 1**	175,352	175,352	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
143	**GROUND ROBOTICS**	192,185	169,460	−22,725
	Program decrease—ahead of need		−38,725	
	Program increase—ducted UAS training		8,000	
	Program increase—UxS systems integration		8,000	
144	**EMERGING TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVES**	147,881	170,881	23,000
	Program increase—counter-UAS and C–CM HEL atmospheric study and prototype systems		15,000	
	Program increase—modular HEL power system development		8,000	
145	**TERMINAL HIGH ALTITUDE AREA DEFENSE (THAAD) RDTE**	1,053,983	1,053,983	0
146	**NEXT GENERATION LOAD DEVICE—MEDIUM**	2,380	2,380	0
147	**TACTICAL INTEL TARGETING ACCESS NODE [TITAN] EMD**	35,769	117,279	81,510
	Army requested transfer from OP,A line 42 for TITAN EMD		81,510	
151	**MULTI-DOMAIN INTELLIGENCE**	49,594	85,094	35,500
	Program increase—Army geospatial center		5,500	
	Program increase—frontline perception		15,000	
	Program increase—multi-domain intelligence integration		15,000	
152	**PRECISION STRIKE MISSILE [PRSM]**	288,304	288,304	0
153	**HYPERSONICS EMD**	446,616	446,616	0
154	**ACCESSIONS INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT [AIE]**	33,770	33,770	0
155	**STRATEGIC MID-RANGE CAPABILITY**	82,550	82,550	0
157	**FUTURE LONG RANGE ASSAULT AIRCRAFT DEVELOPMENT**	2,140,569	2,140,569	0
159	**JOINT REDUCED RANGE ROCKET (JR3)**	16,014	16,014	0
161	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	99,691	90,023	−9,668
	Program decrease—CSR insufficient justification		−9,668	
162	**C2 TRANSPORT**	45,370	45,370	0
163	**C2 APPLICATIONS**	488,401	400,314	−88,087
	Program decrease—Warfighting Applications excess growth		−88,087	
164	**C2 DATA**	306,019	306,019	0
165	**C2 INFRASTRUCTURE**	64,849	64,849	0
166	**UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS LAUNCHED EFFECTS SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	816,433	728,368	−88,065
	Program decrease—excess to need		−54,792	
	Program decrease—Group 4+ S/VTOL prototype excess to need		−60,673	
	Program increase—git-based digital engineering for launched effects		5,000	
	Program increase—next generation rotary wing logistics UAS exercise support		7,400	
	Program increase—secure packaging enclave to advance resiliency		15,000	
167	**COUNTER UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS [UAS] DEVELOPMENT**	359,182	379,182	20,000
	Program increase—next generation C–UAS missile		20,000	
169	**ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE [AIAMD]**	126,623	138,623	12,000
	Program increase—AMD kill chain automation		12,000	
170	**COUNTER–SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS SYS DEV & DEMONSTRATION**	695	695	0
172	**MANNED GROUND VEHICLE**	290,069	290,069	0
173	**NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION [MIP]**	17,211	17,211	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
174	**JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE [JLTV] ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PHASE (EMD)**	2,749	2,749	0
175	**AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	951	11,151	10,200
	Program increase—automated detection and determination of electrical wiring systems		10,200	
176	**TROJAN—RH12**	3,946	3,946	0
178	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT**	125,301	95,305	−29,996
	Army requested transfer to OP,A line 43 for TLS Manpack BOIP		−29,996	
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	89,121	89,121	0
179	**THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT**	60,233	60,233	0
180	**TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	16,488	28,488	12,000
	Program increase—UAS incursion response		12,000	
181	**MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT**	106,140	158,840	52,700
	Program increase—electromagnetic proving ground		12,700	
	Program increase—expanded Reagan Test Range operations and capabilities		10,000	
	Program increase—joint all-domain operational test and training environment		30,000	
182	**RAND ARROYO CENTER**	10,737	10,737	0
183	**ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL**	7,051	7,051	0
184	**CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM**	55,596	55,596	0
186	**ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES**	469,723	509,223	39,500
	Program increase—cyber critical infrastructure vulnerability environment		10,000	
	Program increase—cyber-physical infrastructure defense research		10,000	
	Program increase—rifle accessory control unit operational testing		7,500	
	Program increase—space layer representation to enhance near-peer readiness		12,000	
187	**ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS**	62,303	70,003	7,700
	Program increase—future vertical lift AI/ML high performance compute		7,700	
188	**SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS**	31,283	31,283	0
189	**AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION**	1,983	1,983	0
190	**MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS**	19,013	19,013	0
191	**EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS**	10,481	10,481	0
192	**SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING**	60,733	60,733	0
193	**ARMY EVALUATION CENTER**	65,565	65,565	0
194	**ARMY MODELING & SIM X–CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG**	15,608	15,608	0
195	**PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES**	52,978	52,978	0
196	**TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES**	27,004	42,004	15,000
	Program increase—geospatial software engineering kits		15,000	
197	**MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY**	44,851	57,351	12,500
	Program increase—demilitarization process for white phosphorus ammunition items		5,000	
	Program increase—flexible manufacturing of critical chemicals		7,500	
198	**ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT**	3,256	3,256	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
199	**ARMY DIRECT REPORT HEADQUARTERS—R&D—MHA**	54,276	54,276	0
200	**RONALD REAGAN BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST SITE**	118,585	118,585	0
201	**COUNTERINTEL AND HUMAN INTEL MODERNIZATION**	5,802	5,802	0
202	**AIAMD SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT & INTEGRATION** Program decrease—AI and Lab infrastructure excess to need	653,653	571,599 −82,054	−82,054
203	**ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS CYBER VULNERABILITIES**	6,468	6,468	0
205	**MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**	17,780	17,780	0
206	**ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT** Program increase—advanced anti-tamper technologies Program increase—SMART anti-tamper microelectronics	6,613	56,613 20,000 30,000	50,000
207	**COMBATING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION (CWMD) PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT**	488	488	0
208	**WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS** Program increase—scramble area defense Program increase—stibnite and antimony	21,553	41,553 7,500 12,500	20,000
209	**BLACKHAWK PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM** Program increase—Blackhawk modernization Program increase—blade improvement erosion protection Program increase—optionally piloted flight demonstration	35,147	155,147 100,000 7,500 12,500	120,000
210	**CHINOOK PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM** Program increase—carbon composite lightweighting for wheels and brakes	7,277	13,277 6,000	6,000
211	**IMPROVED TURBINE ENGINE PROGRAM** Program increase	0	155,000 155,000	155,000
213	**APACHE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT**	30,759	30,759	0
214	**AN/TPQ–53 COUNTERFIRE TARGET ACQUISITION RADAR SYSTEM**	90,981	90,981	0
215	**INTEL CYBER DEVELOPMENT**	13,694	13,694	0
216	**TENCAP ENHANCEMENTS**	20,982	20,982	0
219	**FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS**	1,640	1,640	0
220	**PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT**	219,046	219,046	0
221	**JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTEM [JADOCS]**	11,255	11,255	0
222	**COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS** Program increase—formed metallic armor for combat vehicles Program increase—M1 Abrams counter-sUAS integration Program increase—next generation auxiliary power unit	492,364	542,164 20,000 20,000 9,800	49,800
224	**AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**	239	239	0
225	**DIGITIZATION**	1,615	1,615	0
226	**MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**	2,054	2,054	0
229	**GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM [GMLRS]** Program increase—GMLRS enhanced alternative warhead	73,639	88,639 15,000	15,000
232	**INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM**	15,932	15,932	0
234	**SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)**	4,870	4,870	0
237	**INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE [IBS]**	6,870	6,870	0
238	**MQ–1 GRAY EAGLE UAV**	2,590	2,590	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
239	**END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES**	**68,097**	**107,597**	**39,500**
	Program increase—deployable advanced manufacturing for contested logistics environments		10,000	
	Program increase—flexible on-demand rapid innovation generation of engine systems		10,000	
	Program increase—hypersonic advanced optical tooling		2,000	
	Program increase—non-destructive testing and advanced manufacturing of munitions		7,500	
	Program increase—scaling of domestic manufacturing of UAS propulsion components		10,000	
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	**47,342**	**47,342**	**0**
240	**DEFENSIVE CYBER-SOFTWARE PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT**	**94,095**	**94,095**	**0**
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY	**18,708,826**	**21,026,854**	**2,318,028**

XTECH OVERWATCH

The Committee notes the importance of maintaining a global competitive advantage of autonomous unmanned systems and commends the Department of the Army on its xTech Overwatch program, harnessing the power of small business and non-traditional defense companies to advance critical capabilities of advanced autonomous unmanned systems. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to continue to utilize all available funding provided to the program and explore efforts that focus on platform-agnostic autonomy capabilities for rapid adoption and fielding across various programs.

HARD CHROME ALTERNATIVE COATING QUALIFICATION

The Committee recognizes the Department of Defense's efforts to identify viable substitutes for the use of hexavalent chromium plating, a carcinogenic coating utilized across military aviation and ground vehicle components for corrosion resistance and durability. The Committee notes that the Army has established a goal of eliminating such use by September 2030, but to date no fully qualified replacement of hexavalent chromium plating currently exists for ground vehicle and aviation platforms. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to continue testing, evaluation, and qualification of commercially available coating technologies that demonstrate comparable performance, durability, and safety requirements for the replacement of hexavalent chromium plating.

COUNTER–UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS SOFTWARE UPGRADES FOR TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS

The Committee recognizes the growing threat posed by small unmanned aircraft systems (sUAS) and encourages the Secretary of the Army to develop and field software-defined capabilities for tactical communications systems that can provide sensing and electronic effects against sUAS threats through software upgrades. The Committee further encourages the Secretary of the Army to explore counter-unmanned aerial system (C–UAS) software upgrades for fielded dismounted, handheld, and wearable tactical communications systems capable of hosting software-defined waveform enhancements, leveraging existing investments to improve force protection, situational awareness, and integration with broader C–UAS and electronic warfare capabilities.

HUMAN PERFORMANCE OPTIMIZATION

The Committee recognizes the importance of human performance optimization to enhance readiness, resilience, and mission effectiveness across military and federal law enforcement personnel. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to prioritize collaboration with interagency partners to pilot and transition human performance optimization capabilities for a whole-of-government program.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES**	**0**	**65,000**	**65,000**
	Program increase—artificial intelligence maritime maneuvering		10,000	
	Program increase—radar center of excellence		55,000	
2	**DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES**	**525,399**	**560,399**	**35,000**
	Program increase—domestic biomanufacturing for defense applications		10,000	
	Program increase—infrared EO semiconductor photonic element research		5,000	
	Program increase—quantum algorithms for chemistry and computational fluid dynamics		5,000	
	Program increase—ultrashort pulse lasers, advanced optical coatings		15,000	
3	**POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH**	**38,838**	**43,838**	**5,000**
	Program increase—hypersonic test ventilation		5,000	
4	**FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH**	**137,779**	**188,279**	**50,500**
	Program increase—additive manufacturing of solid rocket fuel		8,000	
	Program increase—arctic unmanned resilient offshore reconnaissance asset USV		5,000	
	Program increase—cyberphysical resilience for unmanned platforms		20,000	
	Program increase—precision enabled naval supply chain logistics		7,500	
	Program increase—underwater electromagnetic theory and ocean hydrodynamics		10,000	
5	**MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY**	**57,567**	**57,567**	**0**
6	**COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH**	**40,433**	**57,933**	**17,500**
	Program increase—AI-enabled military logistics for contested environments		5,000	
	Program increase—embedded systems cyber for critical naval infrastructure		12,500	
7	**WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH**	**60,350**	**89,350**	**29,000**
	Program increase—AI augmented metal manufacturing factory hub		9,000	
	Program increase—hypersonic asset heat shielding		10,000	
	Program increase—paint center of excellence		5,000	
	Program increase—project sentinel		5,000	
8	**ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH**	**74,603**	**77,603**	**3,000**
	Program increase—advanced antenna technology for E–2D		3,000	
9	**OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH**	**64,693**	**372,693**	**308,000**
	Program increase—atmospheric river reconnaissance and research		5,000	
	Program Increase—auxiliary general oceanographic research vessels		299,000	
	Program increase—onboard AI weather forecasting for afloat naval forces		4,000	
10	**JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH**	**4,105**	**9,605**	**5,500**
	Program increase—swappable thermal magazine for non-lethal weapons		5,500	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
11	**UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH**	**52,515**	**145,015**	**92,500**
	Program increase—AI AUV undersea warfare research		10,000	
	Program increase—development of acoustic sources, sensors and systems for geothermal energy and navy applications		10,000	
	Program increase—distributed energy provided throughout the seas		10,000	
	Program increase—intelligent distributed protection of critical maritime infrastructure		12,500	
	Program increase—persistent maritime surveillance		15,000	
	Program increase—research for undersea vehicle technology capabilities		25,000	
	Program increase—SAPF/SCIF university facility upgrades		10,000	
12	**FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH**	**305,357**	**335,357**	**30,000**
	Program increase—AI-enabled autonomous vessel research		10,000	
	Program increase—quantum communications corridor		10,000	
	Program increase—strategic small buoy		10,000	
13	**MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH**	**24,634**	**24,634**	**0**
14	**INNOVATIVE NAVAL PROTOTYPES [INP] APPLIED RESEARCH**	**0**	**75,000**	**75,000**
	Program increase—advanced ATRT enterprise capabilities		25,000	
	Program increase—composable warfighting alliance		50,000	
15	**SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT—ONR FIELD ACITIVITIES**	**74,378**	**74,378**	**0**
16	**FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**50,869**	**85,369**	**34,500**
	Program increase—high performance lithium ion batteries		9,000	
	Program increase—next generation electrification for unmanned vessels		17,500	
	Program increase—laser peening for improved reliability and fatigue strength of V–22 propulsion gearbox		5,000	
	Program increase—zero trust architectures for naval power systems		3,000	
17	**ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**8,635**	**8,635**	**0**
18	**SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY FOR NUCLEAR RE-ENTRY SYSTEMS**	**114,767**	**129,767**	**15,000**
	Program increase—re-entry systems test bed		15,000	
19	**USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION [ATD]**	**287,897**	**324,397**	**36,500**
	Program increase—concepts to scale a commercial logistics fleet for defense		2,500	
	Program increase—joint assured positioning navigation and timing		9,000	
	Program increase—maritime advanced intelligent gateway demonstration		15,000	
	Program increase—MCWL SIGINT pod for unmanned aircraft		10,000	
20	**JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**8,727**	**8,727**	**0**
21	**FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**445,977**	**474,977**	**29,000**
	Program increase—combined laser technologies for layered air defense		14,000	
	Program increase—field demonstration of carbon nanotube technology		5,000	
	Program increase—long-range small unmanned aerial system		10,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
22	**MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM**	**79,132**	**160,502**	**81,370**
	Program increase—AI-powered autonomous robotic welding systems for operation in tight and confined spaces		5,000	
	Program increase—continuous real-time small and medium-sized manufacturing capability and capacity awareness for resilient supply chains		9,370	
	Program increase—energetics innovation hub		8,000	
	Program increase—energetics workforce pipeline and innovation initiative		1,500	
	Program increase—high throughput vibration-dampening tile production		7,200	
	Program increase—rapid adaptive manufacturing for sustainment and affordable mass		47,300	
	Program increase—scaled development of commercial metrology and automation technology		3,000	
23	**WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**0**	**36,000**	**36,000**
	Program increase—bone marrow registry program		12,000	
	Program increase—integrative and combinatorial treatment to combat complex mental illness		4,000	
	Program increase—physics-based neutralization of threats to human tissue and organs		5,000	
	Program increase—warfighter protection solutions against directed energy threats		15,000	
24	**NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS**	**66,395**	**154,195**	**87,800**
	Program increase—deployable data centers		10,000	
	Program increase—harpoon navy modernization and readiness initiative		5,000	
	Program increase—inland empire tech bridge		15,000	
	Program increase—integrated electromagnetic dominance for next-generation defense		21,000	
	Program increase—passive sonar sensor AI testbed		22,500	
	Program increase—technology innovation acceleration and demonstration		7,300	
	Program increase—warfighter generative AI mixed reality immersive simulation training		7,000	
27	**UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM**	**35,706**	**70,706**	**35,000**
	Program increase—autonomous maritime patrol aircraft		35,000	
29	**AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS**	**76,141**	**76,141**	**0**
30	**AVIATION SURVIVABILITY**	**20,010**	**20,010**	**0**
31	**NAVAL CONSTRUCTION FORCES**	**7,726**	**17,726**	**10,000**
	Program increase—autonomy kits for port and airfield damage repair		10,000	
32	**ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	**20,070**	**20,070**	**0**
33	**TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE**	**3,239**	**3,239**	**0**
34	**ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY**	**32,263**	**41,903**	**9,640**
	Project 0304 excess growth		−6,360	
	Program increase—C–C embedded hypersonics alternative Positioning, Navigation,and Timing		6,000	
	Program increase—Navy data aggregation enterprise		10,000	
35	**SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES**	**32,451**	**32,451**	**0**
36	**SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE**	**9,920**	**9,920**	**0**
37	**CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	**8,806**	**8,806**	**0**
38	**PILOT FISH**	**1,339,052**	**1,339,052**	**0**
39	**RETRACT JUNIPER**	**275,300**	**275,300**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
40	**RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL**	**704**	**704**	**0**
42	**UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT**	**132,885**	**132,885**	**0**
44	**SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN** Project 3505 ahead of need	**353,893**	**340,763** −13,130	**−13,130**
45	**SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES** Project 3262 excess to need	**666,640**	**655,390** −11,250	**−11,250**
46	**ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS**	**392,426**	**392,426**	**0**
47	**ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS** Program increase—high-power silicon carbide devices for megawatt capable power modules Program increase—integrated silicon carbide bi-directional power converter	**269,961**	**292,461** 8,000 14,500	**22,500**
48	**CHALK EAGLE**	**149,351**	**149,351**	**0**
49	**LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP [LCS]**	**12,576**	**12,576**	**0**
50	**COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION**	**18,819**	**18,819**	**0**
51	**OHIO REPLACEMENT** Program increase—large-scale cooperative processing for submarine manufacturing	**331,577**	**339,077** 7,500	**7,500**
52	**LCS MISSION MODULES**	**46,239**	**46,239**	**0**
53	**AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TEST (ATRT)** Program increase—automated test and retest	**18,070**	**33,070** 15,000	**15,000**
54	**ATRT ENTERPRISE RAPID CAPABILITY** Program increase—ATRT enterprise rapid capability	**87,585**	**187,585** 100,000	**100,000**
55	**FRIGATE DEVELOPMENT**	**212,041**	**212,041**	**0**
56	**CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS** Program increase—70mm rocket motor second-source qualification	**10,216**	**25,216** 15,000	**15,000**
57	**MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM** Project 1558 excess growth	**521,995**	**361,174** −160,821	**−160,821**
58	**JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT**	**43,568**	**43,568**	**0**
59	**OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**15,903**	**15,903**	**0**
60	**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**	**19,347**	**19,347**	**0**
61	**NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM** Program increase—at-sea systems for microgrids and sensors	**59,591**	**66,591** 7,000	**7,000**
62	**FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT**	**13,738**	**13,738**	**0**
63	**CHALK CORAL**	**995,658**	**995,658**	**0**
64	**NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY**	**929**	**929**	**0**
65	**RETRACT MAPLE**	**655,551**	**655,551**	**0**
66	**LINK PLUMERIA**	**498,853**	**498,853**	**0**
67	**RETRACT ELM**	**87,999**	**87,999**	**0**
68	**LINK EVERGREEN**	**593,835**	**593,835**	**0**
69	**NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**	**5,513**	**5,513**	**0**
70	**LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY**	**985**	**985**	**0**
71	**JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TESTING**	**14,152**	**14,152**	**0**
72	**JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS—DEM/VAL**	**73,813**	**73,813**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
73	**COUNTERDRUG RDT&E PROJECTS**	**6,500**	**6,500**	**0**
74	**DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS**	**94,825**	**94,825**	**0**
76	**DIGITAL WARFARE OFFICE** Project 3255 excess to need Program increase—composable surface combatant autonomy	**182,205**	**182,743** −14,462 15,000	**538**
77	**SMALL AND MEDIUM UNMANNED UNDERSEA VEHICLES** Program increase—UUV hybrid-electric prototyping	**24,598**	**43,898** 19,300	**19,300**
78	**UNMANNED UNDERSEA VEHICLE CORE TECHNOLOGIES** Program increase—modular UUV rapid prototyping and experimentation Program increase—strategic mobile virtual network operators	**62,460**	**112,460** 10,000 40,000	**50,000**
79	**RAPID PROTOTYPING, EXPERIMENTATION AND DEMONSTRATION** Program increase—recapitalization and modernization of long range search and rescue capability	**0**	**20,000** 20,000	**20,000**
81	**GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER (CVN 78–80)**	**111,241**	**111,241**	**0**
82	**SURFACE MINE COUNTERMEASURES**	**17,762**	**17,762**	**0**
83	**TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (TADIRCM)**	**14,974**	**14,974**	**0**
84	**NAVY ADVANCED MANUFACTURING**	**10,016**	**10,016**	**0**
85	**NEXT GENERATION LOGISTICS**	**24**	**24**	**0**
86	**FUTURE VERTICAL LIFT (MARITIME STRIKE)**	**5,314**	**5,314**	**0**
87	**MARINE AVIATION DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION**	**47,152**	**47,152**	**0**
88	**RAPID TECHNOLOGY CAPABILITY PROTOTYPE** Excess growth Program increase—rapid fielding, testing, and training of first person view drone capability	**125,999**	**109,438** −26,561 10,000	**−16,561**
89	**LX (R)**	**18,574**	**18,574**	**0**
90	**ADVANCED UNDERSEA PROTOTYPING** Previously funded Program increase—homeland defense undersea awareness program	**164,512**	**155,512** −19,000 10,000	**−9,000**
92	**COUNTER UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS [C–UAS]**	**20,833**	**20,833**	**0**
93	**PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM**	**206,873**	**206,873**	**0**
94	**SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] ARCHITECTURE/ ENGINEERING SUPPORT**	**8,657**	**8,657**	**0**
95	**OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT**	**104,527**	**104,527**	**0**
97	**UNMANNED SURFACE VEHICLE ENABLING CAPABILITIES** Insufficient justification Program increase—expeditionary maritime sensing system	**255,135**	**275,883** −19,252 40,000	**20,748**
98	**GROUND BASED ANTI-SHIP MISSILE**	**16,307**	**16,307**	**0**
100	**CONVENTIONAL PROMPT STRIKE [CPS]** Delays to test and evaluation	**1,341,416**	**1,319,920** −21,496	**−21,496**
102	**COLLABORATIVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT**	**213,934**	**213,934**	**0**
104	**ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP**	**3,206**	**3,206**	**0**
105	**ADVANCED TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM**	**1,979**	**1,979**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
107	**UNDERSEA ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE/MACHINE LEARNING (AI/ML)**	13,563	13,563	0
108	**TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT**	80,617	87,766	7,149
	Overestimation of program support		−2,351	
	Program increase—airborne augmented reality for advanced naval aviator training		9,500	
109	**MARITIME TARGETING CELL**	216,514	199,336	−17,178
	Lack of justification		−17,178	
110	**OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT**	976	976	0
112	**STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT**	4,399	4,399	0
113	**MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT**	88,855	88,855	0
114	**WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM**	73,080	78,080	5,000
	Program increase—autonomous compact coaxial ISR		5,000	
115	**COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS**	73,534	74,025	491
	Project 3260 underexecution		−8,509	
	Program increase—next generation navy integrated combat system roll-out optimization		9,000	
116	**ADVANCED HAWKEYE**	390,260	390,260	0
117	**H–1 UPGRADES**	66,446	66,446	0
118	**ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS**	48,875	48,875	0
119	**V–22**	252,335	252,335	0
120	**AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	21,582	21,582	0
121	**EA–18**	131,683	131,683	0
122	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT**	168,985	168,985	0
123	**EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT**	69,438	69,438	0
124	**NEXT GENERATION JAMMER [NGJ]**	50,332	50,332	0
125	**JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY [JTRS–Navy]**	409,318	386,421	−22,897
	Unjustified growth		−25,897	
	Program increase—Link-16 radio frequency amplifier modernization		3,000	
126	**NEXT GENERATION JAMMER [NGJ] INCREMENT II**	497,011	497,011	0
127	**SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING**	425,060	425,060	0
128	**SMALL DIAMETER BOMB [SDB]**	64,428	54,280	−10,148
	Overestimation of integration costs		−10,148	
129	**STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS**	539,279	516,529	−22,750
	Project 4055 excess to need		−97,750	
	Program increase—accelerate qualification of second source solid rocket motor		65,000	
	Program increase—development and qualification of solid rocket motors		10,000	
130	**AIRBORNE MCM**	8,567	8,567	0
131	**NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING**	42,177	42,177	0
132	**ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS**	67,900	67,900	0
133	**SUBMARINE SWFTS MODERNIZATION**	195,361	195,361	0
134	**AIR CONTROL**	41,610	41,610	0
135	**SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS**	30,970	30,970	0
136	**SHIP SURVIVABILITY**	7,861	7,861	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
138	**AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR [AMDR] SYSTEM**	**96,642**	**96,642**	**0**
139	**ADVANCED ARRESTING GEAR [AAG]**	**60,518**	**60,518**	**0**
140	**NEW DESIGN SSN** Test and evaluation excess growth	**237,103**	**232,553** −4,550	**−4,550**
142	**SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/ LIVE FIRE T&E**	**19,429**	**19,429**	**0**
143	**NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES**	**3,415**	**3,415**	**0**
144	**MINE DEVELOPMENT** Program increase—qualification of low-cost pyro flare for turbine engines	**158,666**	**163,566** 4,900	**4,900**
145	**LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT** Program delays Program increase—low cost, one-way attritable undersea vehicle for scalable, precision strike and swarming	**102,159**	**126,459** −5,700 30,000	**24,300**
146	**JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT**	**8,509**	**8,509**	**0**
147	**USMC GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS—ENG DEV**	**69,478**	**69,478**	**0**
148	**PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS**	**8,316**	**8,316**	**0**
149	**JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS**	**1,447**	**1,447**	**0**
150	**SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)**	**156,167**	**156,167**	**0**
151	**SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)**	**296,261**	**296,261**	**0**
152	**SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)**	**196,761**	**196,761**	**0**
153	**INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING**	**6,426**	**6,426**	**0**
154	**MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT**	**6,900**	**6,900**	**0**
155	**NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM**	**3,388**	**3,388**	**0**
156	**SSN(X)**	**315,914**	**315,914**	**0**
157	**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**14,380**	**14,380**	**0**
158	**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT** Program increase—additive manufacturing for NAVAIR readiness Program increase—critical protection technology for cybersecurity engineering Program increase—cyber supply chain risk management enterprise tool Program increase—digital maintenance and workforce enablement for USN shipyards Program increase—maritime supplier digital uplift Program increase—navy logistic digital acceleration Program increase—operation cattle drive Program increase—shipyard modernization interactive instructions	**149,089**	**237,389** 4,000 15,300 27,000 10,000 7,000 10,000 10,000 5,000	**88,300**
159	**ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT**	**3,459**	**3,459**	**0**
160	**TACAMO MODERNIZATION**	**1,662,723**	**1,662,723**	**0**
161	**CH–53K RDTE** Carryover	**139,273**	**111,441** −27,832	**−27,832**
162	**MISSION PLANNING**	**82,618**	**82,618**	**0**
163	**COMMON AVIONICS** Excess growth	**133,855**	**128,183** −5,672	**−5,672**
164	**SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR [SSC]**	**4,519**	**4,519**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
165	**NEXT GENERATION FIGHTER**	**68,498**	**915,098**	**846,600**
	Program increase		846,600	
167	**JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE [JAGM]**	**189,866**	**158,719**	**−31,147**
	Overestimation of MCL development costs		−11,650	
	Product development excess growth		−19,497	
168	**MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT [MMA]**	**87,173**	**87,173**	**0**
169	**MULTI-MISSION MARITIME [MMA] INCREMENT III**	**52,951**	**52,951**	**0**
170	**LONG RANGE FIRES**	**186,735**	**174,651**	**−12,084**
	Unjustified growth		−12,084	
171	**MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION**	**12,461**	**17,461**	**5,000**
	Program increase—single connection quick oil change system for amphibious combat vehicles		5,000	
172	**JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE [JLTV] SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION**	**2,413**	**2,413**	**0**
173	**DESTROYERS GUIDED MISSILE (DDG–1000)**	**50,166**	**50,166**	**0**
174	**COUNTERING ADVANCED CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS (CACW)**	**15,563**	**15,563**	**0**
175	**NON-KINETIC COUNTERMEASURE SUPPORT**	**23,146**	**23,146**	**0**
181	**ISR & INFO OPERATIONS**	**274,478**	**254,013**	**−20,465**
	Unjustified growth		−20,465	
183	**CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**8,962**	**8,962**	**0**
184	**THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT**	**16,453**	**16,453**	**0**
185	**TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	**22,653**	**22,653**	**0**
186	**MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT**	**112,458**	**117,958**	**5,500**
	Program increase—phased-aligned simulated environment		5,500	
187	**STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY**	**4,336**	**4,336**	**0**
188	**CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES**	**28,310**	**28,310**	**0**
191	**MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT**	**124,898**	**157,600**	**32,702**
	Program increase—point-of-need additive manufacturing pilot		9,500	
	Program increase—project 3330 naval research laboratory facilities		23,202	
192	**STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT**	**4,260**	**4,260**	**0**
193	**RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT**	**170,699**	**170,699**	**0**
194	**TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT**	**470,492**	**470,492**	**0**
195	**OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY**	**31,902**	**31,902**	**0**
196	**NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE [SEW] SUPPORT**	**21,498**	**21,498**	**0**
197	**SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT**	**25,144**	**25,144**	**0**
198	**MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT**	**70,013**	**45,751**	**−24,262**
	Project 3783 excess growth		−24,262	
199	**MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D**	**33,533**	**33,533**	**0**
200	**MARINE AVIATION DEVELOPMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT**	**19,165**	**14,245**	**−4,920**
	Project 3754 excess to need		−4,920	
201	**WARFARE INNOVATION MANAGEMENT**	**35,931**	**35,931**	**0**
202	**ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS CYBER VULNERABILITIES**	**11,282**	**11,282**	**0**
203	**INSIDER THREAT**	**2,214**	**2,214**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
204	**MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (DEPARTMENTAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES)**	2,054	2,054	0
208	**F–35 C2D2**	469,779	516,779	47,000
	Program increase—F135 Engine Core Upgrade (F–35B)		47,000	
209	**F–35 C2D2**	428,545	476,545	48,000
	Program increase—F135 Engine Core Upgrade (F–35C)		48,000	
210	**MARINE CORPS AIR DEFENSE WEAPONS SYSTEMS**	133,041	113,564	−19,477
	Overestimation of test and evaluation costs		−19,477	
211	**COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY [CEC]**	120,782	120,782	0
212	**STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT**	1,051,736	1,061,536	9,800
	Program increase—trusted photonics supply chain		9,800	
213	**SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM**	65,856	65,856	0
214	**SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT**	114,279	121,679	7,400
	Program increase—compact torpedo electrification		7,400	
215	**NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS**	88,572	88,572	0
216	**F/A–18 SQUADRONS**	271,429	284,429	13,000
	Program increase—light off detector sensor		6,000	
	Program increase—spiking neuromorphic advanced processing		7,000	
218	**TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER [TMPC]**	76,653	76,653	0
219	**INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM**	71,901	71,901	0
220	**SHIP-TOWED ARRAY SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS**	954	954	0
221	**AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT)**	1,526	1,526	0
222	**GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR)**	66,255	58,215	−8,040
	DREX excess to need		−8,040	
223	**CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	115,839	115,839	0
224	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE [EW] READINESS SUPPORT**	198,560	198,560	0
225	**ANTI-RADIATION MISSILE IMPROVEMENT**	80,491	72,891	−7,600
	Overestimation of test and evaluation costs		−7,600	
227	**MK–48 ADCAP**	123,011	113,217	−9,794
	Carryover		−9,794	
228	**AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS**	108,505	126,005	17,500
	Program increase—military digital airfield solutions		15,000	
	Program increase—real-time FOD detection		2,500	
229	**OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS**	252,893	252,893	0
230	**MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS**	268,983	265,419	−3,564
	Project 2270 excess growth		−22,564	
	Program increase—AI-enabled target recognition and sensor-fusion capabilities integration		10,000	
	Program increase—Pacific Edge acceleration initiative		9,000	
232	**MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS**	79,031	79,031	0
233	**MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT**	19,915	19,915	0
234	**USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS**	149,055	159,055	10,000
	Program increase—terrestrial collections		10,000	
235	**TACTICAL AIM MISSILES**	122,518	122,518	0
236	**ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE [AMRAAM]**	24,675	24,675	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
237	**MQ–25 STINGRAY**	**513,308**	**513,308**	**0**
238	**PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM [PDAS]**	**3,491**	**3,491**	**0**
242	**AFLOAT NETWORKS**	**68,369**	**68,369**	**0**
243	**INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM** Program increase—defensive cyber operations sensor modernization	**79,128**	**89,128** 10,000	**10,000**
244	**MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM [MIP] ACTIVITIES**	**5,187**	**5,187**	**0**
247	**MQ–4C TRITON**	**10,515**	**10,515**	**0**
248	**RQ–11 UAV** Overestimation of test and evaluation	**19,842**	**13,517** – 6,325	**– 6,325**
249	**MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT**	**49,898**	**49,898**	**0**
250	**UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS [UAS] PAYLOADS [MIP]**	**20,750**	**20,750**	**0**
251	**MQ–4C TRITON MODERNIZATION**	**344,890**	**344,890**	**0**
252	**INTELLIGENCE MISSION DATA [IMD]**	**787**	**787**	**0**
253	**MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT** Program increase—decentralized, cross network engineering and certification infrastructure	**16,401**	**41,401** 25,000	**25,000**
254	**DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)**	**8,097**	**8,097**	**0**
255	**MARITIME TECHNOLOGY [MARITECH]**	**1,710**	**1,710**	**0**
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS** Classified adjustment	**2,755,838**	**2,854,838** 99,000	**99,000**
256	**RISK MANAGEMENT INFORMATION—SOFTWARE PILOT PROGRAM**	**13,017**	**13,017**	**0**
257	**MARITIME TACTICAL COMMAND AND CONTROL [MTC2]—SOFTWARE PILOT PROGRAM**	**25,299**	**25,299**	**0**
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY	**32,386,619**	**34,570,694**	**2,184,075**

COASTAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH

The Committee understands the importance of the littoral region to Navy operations worldwide and believes that testing and training must take place at secured facilities that can replicate the operational and threat environments that Navy assets are likely to encounter. Research is needed to study seabed phenomenology and develop technologies for continuous monitoring and detection of encroachment threats to reduce seabed vulnerabilities at or near critical Navy test and evaluation facilities. The Committee believes that additional research on underwater signatures and ocean hydrodynamics in the littoral regions, along with the development of predictive techniques to ensure stealth superiority would be beneficial for naval operations. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to conduct additional research in this area.

UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT

The Committee recognizes the importance of oil tankers in ensuring the continued presence of the forward-deployed Joint Force. The Committee is aware of alternate underway refueling options that may benefit the Department of the Navy in conducting refueling for both vessels and land-based forces. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Navy to consider additional underway refueling options in an effort to expand operational range and enable sustained presence in critical theaters.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES**	**296,535**	**296,535**	**0**
2	**UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES**	**91,394**	**96,394**	**5,000**
	Program increase—intelligent 2D sensors		5,000	
3	**FUTURE AF CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH**	**44,029**	**44,029**	**0**
5	**MATERIALS**	**139,872**	**223,272**	**83,400**
	Program increase—advanced composites in hypersonics and attritable aircraft research		10,000	
	Program increase—silicon carbide for national security		6,400	
	Program increase—fabrication of ceramic matrix composites		10,000	
	Program increase—alternate fuel sources in contested environments		17,000	
	Program increase—materials solution networks		20,000	
	Program increase—next generation small satellite technology		20,000	
7	**HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH**	**103,170**	**103,170**	**0**
8	**AEROSPACE SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGIES**	**397,809**	**452,809**	**55,000**
	Program increase—advanced fuel development for hypersonic propulsion		45,000	
	Program increase—high mach turbine engine (HMTE)		10,000	
9	**AEROSPACE SENSORS**	**164,962**	**180,462**	**15,500**
	Program increase—aerospace sensors for c-UAS		7,500	
	Program increase—sodium-ion battery cathode development		8,000	
11	**SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT—MAJOR HEADQUARTERS ACTIVITIES**	**10,285**	**10,285**	**0**
12	**NUCLEAR DELIVERY SYSTEMS TECH EXPLORATION**	**27,031**	**27,031**	**0**
13	**CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS**	**130,146**	**130,146**	**0**
14	**DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY**	**91,798**	**91,798**	**0**
15	**DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS**	**149,174**	**269,174**	**120,000**
	Program increase—AI command, control and communications		5,000	
	Program increase—future flag operational experimentation testbed		10,000	
	Program increase—c-UAS man-portable reactive jammer		7,500	
	Program increase—dependable AI for national security		15,000	
	Program increase—heterogeneously integrated photonics and electronic technologies		25,000	
	Program increase—medium range advanced detection system		15,000	
	Program increase—photonic quantum computing		10,000	
	Program increase—quantum classical integration		7,500	
	Program increase—quantum technology innovation center		15,000	
	Program increase—quantum entanglement research		10,000	
16	**FUTURE AF INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY DEMOS**	**282,004**	**290,504**	**8,500**
	Program increase—adversarial systems exploitation lab		8,500	
17	**ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS**	**32,808**	**32,808**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
18	**SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY [S&T]**	**13,277**	**24,277**	**11,000**
	Program increase—polymers and specialty materials advanced development team		11,000	
19	**ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS**	**72,149**	**85,149**	**13,000**
	Program increase—collaborative combat aircraft payload improvements		13,000	
20	**AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO**	**260,212**	**315,212**	**55,000**
	Program increase—additively enabled high mach demonstrator		10,000	
	Program increase—advanced technology solid rocket motor development		5,000	
	Program increase—advanced solid rocket motor propulsion propellant mixer technology demonstration		10,000	
	Program increase—collaborative combat aircraft propulsion technology integration		15,000	
	Program increase—hybrid integrated turret for extended-capability high-energy lasers		5,000	
	Program increase—towed hypersonic launch		10,000	
22	**SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY FOR NUCLEAR RE-ENTRY SYSTEMS**	**165,949**	**165,949**	**0**
23	**HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**20,338**	**31,338**	**11,000**
	Program increase—human effectiveness advanced technology development		3,000	
	Program increase—wearable neural biosensor		8,000	
24	**CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY**	**131,397**	**131,397**	**0**
25	**ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY**	**13,744**	**71,044**	**57,300**
	Program increase—airborne high power electro-magnetic directed energy for c-UAS		5,000	
	Program increase—Arctic resilient battery cells		20,000	
	Program increase—high power solid state laser technology		10,000	
	Program increase—special purpose power generation for novel effectors		22,300	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
26	**MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM**	**99,908**	**328,238**	**228,330**
	Program increase—advanced manufactured components research		5,000	
	Program increase—advanced manufacturing for contested logistics		37,000	
	Program increase—advanced manufacturing robotics and digital twin		10,000	
	Program increase—AFSC depot maintenance data science		6,400	
	Program increase—AI and augmented reality-enabled software		5,000	
	Program increase—automated continuous-fiber composite manufacturing		10,000	
	Program increase—agile composite aerostructures manufacturing		25,000	
	Program increase—agile and flexible manufacturing technology solutions for low-cost and attritable weapons systems		10,000	
	Program increase—classified additive manufacturing		20,000	
	Program increase—deployable rapid 3D printer		4,000	
	Program increase—digital twin innovation for scaled hypersonics		5,000	
	Program increase—integration of additive manufacturing technologies		14,700	
	Program increase—large-format metal additive manufacturing for hypersonics		8,500	
	Program increase—rapid adaptive manufacturing for sustainment		47,730	
	Program increase—rapid defense manufacturing center		15,000	
	Program increase—thermoplastic composite parts		5,000	
27	**BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION**	**31,938**	**69,938**	**38,000**
	Program increase—radiation-hardened microelectronics		30,000	
	Program increase—rapid AI deployment system for combat medicine		8,000	
28	**DEPLOYMENT & DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE R&D**	**29,109**	**29,109**	**0**
30	**MODULAR ADVANCED MISSILE**	**15,099**	**15,099**	**0**
31	**INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT**	**4,012**	**4,012**	**0**
33	**COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY**	**25,888**	**25,888**	**0**
34	**NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**	**2,320**	**2,320**	**0**
35	**INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—DEM/VAL**	**72,112**	**112,912**	**40,800**
	Program increase—additive manufacturing for casting replacement parts		6,000	
	Program increase—ICBM dem/val modernization		29,800	
	Program increase—reentry and hypersonic thermal protection systems		5,000	
36	**NC3 ADVANCED CONCEPTS**	**14,394**	**14,394**	**0**
37	**ADVANCED BATTLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM [ABMS]**	**1,040,945**	**940,174**	**−100,771**
	Common BMC2 interface unjustified growth		−42,582	
	Distribution battle management node unjustified growth		−58,189	
38	**ADVANCED ENGINE DEVELOPMENT**	**0**	**20,000**	**20,000**
	Program increase—small engines for CCA and loitering munitions		20,000	
39	**NC3 COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPING**	**61,355**	**61,355**	**0**
40	**E–7**	**0**	**1,549,098**	**1,549,098**
	Department requested transfer from OP,AF line 66		898,549	
	Department requested transfer from AP,N line 11		650,549	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
41	**AFWERX**	**3,589**	**61,089**	**57,500**
	Program increase—AI-enabled flight management system development		7,500	
	Program increase—organic sUAS manufacturing		40,000	
	Program increase—payload airlift for contested logistics		10,000	
42	**NEXT GENERATION ADAPTIVE PROPULSION**	**513,681**	**513,681**	**0**
43	**LONG RANGE STRIKE—BOMBER**	**2,862,677**	**2,844,638**	**−18,039**
	Classified adjustment		−18,039	
46	**HYPERSONICS PROTOTYPING**	**345,769**	**261,738**	**−84,031**
	Early to need		−74,031	
	Excess management cost growth		−10,000	
47	**FAMILY OF AFFORDABLE MASS MISSILES (FAMM)**	**525,223**	**525,223**	**0**
48	**NORAD & USNORTHCOM (N&NC) EXPERIMENTATION**	**39,257**	**39,257**	**0**
49	**HYPERSONICS PROTOTYPING—HYPERSONIC ATTACK CRUISE MISSILE [HACM]**	**806,142**	**741,636**	**−64,506**
	MCE excess growth		−64,506	
50	**ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY AND SENSORS**	**38,756**	**38,756**	**0**
52	**TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER**	**2,196**	**12,196**	**10,000**
	Program increase—generating rural innovation for national defense		10,000	
53	**HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM**	**186,385**	**186,385**	**0**
54	**NUCLEAR DELIVERY SYSTEMS PROTOTYPING**	**91,550**	**91,550**	**0**
55	**ADVANCED TANKER SYSTEMS**	**13,036**	**18,036**	**5,000**
	Program increase—autonomous air-to-air refueling		5,000	
56	**CYBER RESILIENCY OF WEAPON SYSTEMS—ACS**	**44,377**	**44,377**	**0**
57	**STRATEGIC CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION AND MATURATION**	**57,575**	**57,575**	**0**
58	**JOINT TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (JTMS)**	**57,802**	**57,802**	**0**
59	**DEPLOYMENT & DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE R&D**	**5,136**	**5,136**	**0**
60	**TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM**	**166,061**	**251,861**	**85,800**
	Program increase—advanced hydraulic power packs		6,000	
	Program increase—air to ground weapons integration for low-cost attritable aircraft		45,000	
	Program increase—tactical 3D printing capabilities		14,800	
	Program increase—ranged affordable UAS strike capability		20,000	
61	**OPERATIONAL ENERGY AND INSTALLATION RESILIENCE**	**61,000**	**81,000**	**20,000**
	Program increase—biometric installation access control demonstration		10,000	
	Program increase—low-profile energy for installation resilience		10,000	
64	**COMMERCIAL CAPABILITY INTEGRATION AND TRANSITION**	**1,105**	**195,605**	**194,500**
	Program increase—B–52 agile pod advanced sensor fusion		25,000	
	Program increase—B–52 modernization and sustainment technologies development		39,500	
	Program increase—advanced flush air data system		15,000	
	Program increase—advanced fuels development		45,000	
	Program increase—bomber generation tracking automation		10,000	
	Program increase—legacy software modernization		5,000	
	Program increase—resilient distributed infrastructure		50,000	
	Program increase—shared SCIF facility		5,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
65	**DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION OFFICE**	**183,398**	**221,698**	**38,300**
	Program increase—AI-driven digital engineering technology and workforce development		7,000	
	Program increase—cyber defense innovation, research, and workforce		11,000	
	Program increase—file rights management		5,000	
	Program increase—secure document generation		8,000	
	Program increase—system engineering and architecture automation		7,300	
67	**COLLABORATIVE COMBAT AIRCRAFT**	**1,373,740**	**1,357,865**	**−15,875**
	Classified adjustment		−15,875	
68	**AUTONOMOUS COLLABORATIVE PLATFORMS**	**57,217**	**57,217**	**0**
69	**COMBAT IDENTIFICATION**	**1,692**	**1,692**	**0**
71	**THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR (3DELRR)**	**22,335**	**22,335**	**0**
72	**AIRBASE AIR DEFENSE SYSTEMS [ABADS]**	**85,305**	**49,205**	**−36,100**
	Overestimation		−36,100	
73	**JOINT SIMULATION ENVIRONMENT (JSE)**	**302,801**	**278,246**	**−24,555**
	Unjustified growth		−24,555	
74	**WAR RESERVE MATERIEL—AMMUNITION**	**14,663**	**14,663**	**0**
75	**LOOKING GLASS NEXT**	**65,277**	**48,958**	**−16,319**
	Programmatic assumptions		−16,319	
76	**AIR FORCE ISR DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE**	**24,455**	**24,455**	**0**
77	**COMMON DATA LINK EXECUTIVE AGENT [CDL EA]**	**26,401**	**26,401**	**0**
79	**PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE**	**29,325**	**29,325**	**0**
80	**ENTERPRISE SELECT CLASS II**	**949**	**949**	**0**
81	**RAPID SUSTAINMENT MODERNIZATION [RSM]**	**27,475**	**61,575**	**34,100**
	Program increase—agile manufacturing robot		15,000	
	Program increase—collaborative logistics aircraft		9,100	
	Program increase—rapid tech integration of air logistics complexes		10,000	
82	**SPECIAL VICTIM ACCOUNTABILITY AND INVESTIGATION**	**1,652**	**1,652**	**0**
83	**INTEGRATED PRIMARY PREVENTION**	**4,271**	**4,271**	**0**
84	**CONTRACTING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM**	**25,815**	**25,815**	**0**
85	**U.S. SPACE COMMAND RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT**	**34,719**	**34,719**	**0**
86	**FUTURE ADVANCED WEAPON ANALYSIS & PROGRAMS**	**35,142**	**50,142**	**15,000**
	Program increase—Air Force modeling and simulation		15,000	
87	**PNT RESILIENCY, MODS, AND IMPROVEMENTS**	**710,780**	**599,400**	**−111,380**
	Early to need		−44,285	
	R–EGI excess growth		−25,833	
	PNT SDUE excess growth		−32,360	
	Management services excess growth		−8,902	
88	**NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT**	**86,921**	**86,921**	**0**
89	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT**	**260,233**	**200,223**	**−60,010**
	Early to need		−60,010	
90	**TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE**	**110,151**	**110,151**	**0**
91	**PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT**	**8,743**	**8,743**	**0**
92	**SURVIVABLE AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (SAOC)**	**2,218,921**	**2,184,370**	**−34,551**
	Carryover		−34,551	
93	**ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT**	**96,762**	**96,762**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
94	**SUBMUNITIONS**	**3,599**	**3,599**	**0**
95	**AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT**	**19,348**	**19,348**	**0**
96	**LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS**	**21,328**	**21,328**	**0**
97	**COMBAT TRAINING RANGES**	**132,783**	**141,763**	**8,980**
	Program increase—F–35 combat training		8,980	
98	**LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON**	**565,679**	**532,052**	**−33,627**
	Program growth		−18,495	
	P3I excess growth		−15,132	
100	**OPEN ARCHITECTURE MANAGEMENT**	**43,482**	**43,482**	**0**
101	**ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING**	**72,174**	**72,174**	**0**
102	**GROUND BASED STRATEGIC DETERRENT EMD**	**4,521,370**	**4,333,990**	**−187,380**
	Program adjustment		−187,380	
103	**MICROELECTRONICS SECURE ENCLAVE**	**224,664**	**224,664**	**0**
104	**NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION**	**23,066**	**23,066**	**0**
105	**OVER-THE-HORIZON BACKSCATTER RADAR**	**173,975**	**173,339**	**−636**
	Air Force requested transfer from OM,AF line 12A		9,200	
	Air Force requested transfer from OP,AF line 53		10,000	
	Program carryover		−9,026	
	Funding misalignment		−10,810	
106	**COGNITIVE ELECTROMAGNETIC WARFARE**	**50,496**	**50,496**	**0**
107	**F–47**	**5,037,904**	**5,037,904**	**0**
108	**ISOLATED PERSONNEL SURVIVABILITY AND RECOVERY**	**16,001**	**16,001**	**0**
109	**STAND IN ATTACK WEAPON**	**115,882**	**104,139**	**−11,743**
	Insufficient justification		−11,743	
110	**ELECTROMAGNETIC BATTLE MANAGEMENT (EMBM)**	**45,322**	**45,322**	**0**
111	**FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING**	**6,501**	**6,501**	**0**
112	**SATURN**	**4,771**	**4,771**	**0**
116	**JOINT FIRES NETWORK (JFN)**	**313,982**	**313,982**	**0**
117	**KC–46A TANKER SQUADRONS**	**543,788**	**287,662**	**−256,126**
	Program extension excess growth		−243,787	
	Schedule adjustments		−12,339	
118	**VC–25B**	**555,195**	**547,223**	**−7,972**
	Program adjustment		−7,972	
119	**LARGE AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY SYSTEMS (LASS)**	**17,996**	**51,079**	**33,083**
	Technical transfer from line 281		50,111	
	Unjustified growth		−17,028	
120	**AUTOMATED TEST SYSTEMS**	**15,900**	**15,900**	**0**
121	**TRAINING DEVELOPMENTS**	**4,947**	**4,947**	**0**
123	**THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT**	**44,526**	**44,526**	**0**
124	**MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT**	**235,405**	**212,324**	**−23,081**
	Unjustified growth		−23,081	
125	**RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE**	**13,312**	**13,312**	**0**
127	**INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION**	**13,562**	**13,562**	**0**
128	**TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT**	**1,802,502**	**1,817,502**	**15,000**
	Program increase—AFTC commercial test and evaluation data review and analysis software		10,000	
	Program increase—airgun hypersonic testing		5,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
129	**ACQ WORKFORCE- CYBER, NETWORK, & BUS SYS**	439,592	449,592	10,000
	Program increase—UAV detection, authentication and mobility		10,000	
132	**ACQ WORKFORCE- CAPABILITY INTEGRATION**	1,206,669	1,206,669	0
134	**ACQ WORKFORCE- NUCLEAR SYSTEMS**	342,650	342,650	0
135	**MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D**	6,209	6,209	0
136	**FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT**	367,369	367,369	0
137	**FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT**	298,924	298,924	0
138	**REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION**	21,678	65,678	44,000
	Program increase—Air Force Global Strike Command innovation and technology transition		44,000	
139	**MANAGEMENT HQ—T&E**	7,507	7,507	0
140	**OFFENSIVE SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS (SUAS)**	30,187	30,187	0
141	**COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATION, AND COMPUTERS (C4)—STRATCOM**	18,068	43,068	25,000
	Program increase— STRATCOM UARC utilization and mission operations		5,000	
	Program increase—NC3 REACH		20,000	
142	**ENTEPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES [EIS]**	80,342	89,842	9,500
	Program increase—mission critical secure collaboration solution		9,500	
143	**ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT**	12,132	12,132	0
144	**ADVANCED DISTRIBUTED LEARNING**	238	9,238	9,000
	Program increase		9,000	
145	**PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENTS**	4,017	4,017	0
147	**INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES**	4,514	4,514	0
148	**NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT**	10,029	10,029	0
149	**SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING**	22,071	22,071	0
150	**BATTLE MGMT COM & CTRL SENSOR DEVELOPMENT**	44,187	44,187	0
152	**F–35 C2D2**	1,128,748	1,233,748	105,000
	Program increase—F–35 advanced manufacturing transition for readiness		10,000	
	Program increase—F135 engine core upgrade		95,000	
153	**AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM [AF–IPPS]**	31,777	0	−31,777
	Air Force requested transfer to line RDTE,SF line 49		−31,777	
154	**ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY**	130,610	130,610	0
155	**FOREIGN MATERIEL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION**	4,676	4,676	0
156	**HH–60W**	87,881	87,881	0
157	**HC/MC–130 RECAP RDT&E**	34,932	34,932	0
158	**NC3 INTEGRATION**	36,521	36,521	0
159	**B–52 SQUADRONS**	1,478,648	1,529,248	50,600
	Program increase—Global Strike commercial capabilities innovation hub		20,000	
	Program increase—high fidelity simulator modernization		20,600	
	Program increase—B–52 self protection upgrade		10,000	
160	**AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE [ALCM]**	570	570	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
161	**B–1B SQUADRONS**	273,552	403,552	130,000
	Program increase—supplemental training		120,000	
	Program increase—TENTaCLE BLOC Kits for B–1B		10,000	
163	**B–2 SQUADRONS**	418,178	418,178	0
163	**MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS**	79,313	79,313	0
164	**WORLDWIDE JOINT STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS**	44,424	44,424	0
165	**SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—GLOBAL STRIKE**	56,203	56,203	0
166	**ICBM REENTRY VEHICLES**	733,182	657,759	−75,423
	Program carryover		−28,806	
	NGRV excess growth		−46,617	
168	**MH–139A**	7,046	7,046	0
169	**REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION PROGRAM**	709	30,709	30,000
	Program increase—NCR AI-enabled camera sustainment		30,000	
171	**AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT—GENERAL**	1,028	1,028	0
172	**OPERATIONS SECURITY [OPSEC]**	45,000	45,000	0
173	**MQ–9 UAV**	16,723	16,723	0
174	**JOINT COUNTER RCIED ELECTRONIC WARFARE**	2,816	2,816	0
175	**MULTI-PLATFORM ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT**	0	8,000	8,000
	Program increase—cognitive AI critical force protection		8,000	
176	**F–16 SQUADRONS**	527,739	461,778	−65,961
	Next generation mission computer early to need		−45,100	
	AESA early to need		−9,000	
	Program carryover		−11,861	
177	**F–15E SQUADRONS**	322,889	324,059	1,170
	M–CODE/REGI lack of justification		−13,630	
	Program increase—wire testing capability for aircraft readiness		14,800	
178	**MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION**	8,128	8,128	0
179	**F–22A SQUADRONS**	950,375	913,998	−36,377
	Excess growth		−26,939	
	Unjustified growth		−9,438	
180	**F–35 SQUADRONS**	47,388	47,388	0
181	**F–15EX**	133,274	281,095	147,821
	Carryover		−2,179	
	Program increase—F110 upgrades		150,000	
182	**TACTICAL AIM MISSILES**	98,861	98,861	0
183	**ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE [AMRAAM]**	53,277	53,277	0
184	**JOINT ADVANCED TACTICAL MISSILE (JATM)**	500,422	471,699	−28,723
	Classified adjustment		−28,723	
187	**SPECIAL PROGRAM APPLICATIONS**	35,149	17,574	−17,575
	Classified adjustment		−17,575	
188	**AF TENCAP**	50,913	50,913	0
189	**PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT**	14,602	14,602	0
190	**COMPASS CALL**	66,514	66,514	0
191	**AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**	205,938	205,938	0
192	**JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE [JASSM]**	133,092	133,092	0
193	**SMALL DIAMETER BOMB [SDB]**	25,497	25,497	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
194	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER [AOC]	158,871	147,496	−11,375
	Networking and cybersecurity unjustified growth		−4,725	
	Support costs excess growth		−6,650	
195	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER [CRC]	18,137	18,137	0
196	AFSPECWAR—TACP	5,206	5,206	0
198	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	33,700	26,069	−7,631
	Carryover		−7,631	
199	THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT [TBM] C4I	7,014	7,014	0
200	ELECTRONIC WARFARE INTEGRATED REPROGRAMMING [EWIR]	73,523	73,523	0
202	DCAPES	5,254	5,254	0
203	AIR FORCE SPECIAL WARFARE (SPECWAR)	24,423	24,423	0
204	FLIGHT OPERATIONS SYSTEMS	15,978	15,978	0
205	AIR FORCE CALIBRATION PROGRAMS	2,220	2,220	0
206	SEEK EAGLE	36,710	36,710	0
208	READINESS DECISION SUPPORT ENTERPRISE	6,823	6,823	0
209	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	83,659	63,853	−19,806
	Unjustified growth		−19,806	
210	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	6,644	6,644	0
211	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	122,175	122,175	0
212	TACTICAL DECEPTION	48,857	48,857	0
213	DISTRIBUTED CYBER WARFARE OPERATIONS	71,868	71,868	0
214	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	76,758	76,758	0
218	INTEL DATA APPLICATIONS	7,511	7,511	0
219	GEOBASE	403	403	0
226	COUNTERING ADVANCED CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS (CACW)	1,343	1,343	0
228	AF MULTI-DOMAIN NON-TRADITIONAL ISR BATTLESPACE AWARENESS	2,754	2,754	0
229	E–4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER [NAOC]	50,873	61,973	11,100
	Program increase—NAOC modernization initiative		11,100	
230	NON-KINETIC COUNTERMEASURE SUPPORT	4,024	4,024	0
233	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN)	254,837	176,693	−78,144
	Unjustified growth		−78,144	
234	HIGH FREQUENCY RADIO SYSTEMS	33,215	33,215	0
235	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	117,658	117,658	0
236	ALL DOMAIN COMMON PLATFORM	71,312	71,312	0
238	STRATEGIC MISSION PLANNING & EXECUTION SYSTEM (SMPES)	89,663	89,663	0
239	THRESHER	109	109	0
242	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	98,319	98,319	0
243	COMMERCIAL ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	1,713	1,713	0
246	LONG ENDURANCE—AIRBORNE ISR	16,570	16,570	0
249	ISR MODERNIZATION & AUTOMATION DVMT [IMAD]	16,188	16,188	0
250	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT [GATM]	4,210	4,210	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
251	**CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE**	318	318	0
252	**WEATHER SERVICE** Program increase—Air Force commercial weather data program	29,331	31,331 2,000	2,000
253	**AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCALS)**	61,895	61,895	0
254	**AERIAL TARGETS**	1,704	1,704	0
257	**SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES**	9,642	9,642	0
258	**DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES**	2,469	2,469	0
259	**THEATER NUCLEAR WEAPON STORAGE & SECURITY SYSTEM**	24,364	24,364	0
261	**INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE [IBS]**	18,266	18,266	0
262	**AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS**	34,273	34,273	0
263	**MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS**	17,114	17,114	0
264	**DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS**	85,365	85,365	0
266	**NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING**	9,146	9,146	0
268	**ISR TRANSPORT AND PROCESSING** Classified adjustment	312,037	242,129 −69,908	−69,908
269	**AF JWICS ENTERPRISE**	19,324	19,324	0
270	**INTERNATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY AND ARCHITECTURES** Unjustified growth	62,000	51,237 −10,763	−10,763
271	**C2IMERA**	11,393	11,393	0
272	**COCOM MOBILE COMMAND AND CONTROL CENTERS (MCCCS)**	2,013	2,013	0
273	**PERSONNEL RECOVERY COMMAND & CTRL [PRC2]**	1,783	1,783	0
274	**INTELLIGENCE MISSION DATA [IMD]** Program increase—model-based systems engineering intelligence solutions	3,151	23,151 20,000	20,000
275	**C–5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF)**	76,233	76,233	0
276	**C–17 AIRCRAFT (IF)**	178,130	178,130	0
277	**C–130J PROGRAM**	16,628	16,628	0
278	**LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES [LAIRCM]**	12,323	12,323	0
279	**KC–135S** Program increase—diminishing manufacturing sources and obsolescent parts	121,742	131,742 10,000	10,000
279A	**OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRCRAFT** Air Force requested transfer from AP,AF line 16	0	208,000 208,000	208,000
280	**CV–22**	45,699	45,699	0
281	**LARGE AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY SYSTEMS (LASS)** Technical transfer to line 119	50,111	0 −50,111	−50,111
282	**SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL** Program increase—rapidly deployable surveillance and precision landing system Program increase—upgraded surveillance system	0	43,200 28,200 15,000	43,200
283	**LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY [LOGIT]**	21,518	21,518	0
284	**AF LVC OPERATIONAL TRAINING (LVC–OT)**	23,472	23,472	0
285	**OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING**	1,950	1,950	0
286	**JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY**	2,035	2,035	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
287	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	4,248	4,248	0
288	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	2,678	2,678	0
289	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY Program increase—mapping property	81,252	91,252 10,000	10,000
291	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	3,316	3,316	0
292	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACNTNG AND MGT SYS [DEAMS]	38,301	38,301	0
293	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES	700	700	0
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	29,113,107	28,745,569 −367,538	−367,538
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE	73,075,614	74,820,382	1,744,768

AFWERX

As the innovation arm of the Department of the Air Force, AFWERX, has brought cutting edge technology from small businesses and start-ups to bridge gaps between the military and commercial sector to address pressing Air Force challenges. While Air Force research and development has not always been aligned as tightly with force design and designed with transition and sustainment in mind, AFWERX has served as an innovation ecosystem with opportunity to drive technology transition to operational capability. The President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 proposes only $3,589,000 to close out activities and prepare for termination of AFWERX. The program has enjoyed broad congressional support as a place for new entrants to work on meaningful efforts to benefit the warfighter, and the Committee recommendation includes an increase of $57,500,000 above the request to continue the program. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Air Force to brief the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, on the rational for terminating AFWERX, including metrics and data collected to support the decision; which organizations will continue the mission of AFWERX; and if none, provide a plan that details how the Air Force will continue its long tradition of remaining in the forefront of technological superiority.

AIR FORCE REQUIREMENTS AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION

The functions of the Integrated Capabilities Command (Provisional) were fully consolidated into the Strategy, Design and Requirements directorate (A5/7) in April 2026. The Committee directs the Deputy Chief of Staff for Strategy, Integration and Requirements, and the Director of the Integrated Development Office to jointly provide semi-annual briefings, starting not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on how their work is informing modernization plans, developing programming inputs to align with force design, and providing a cost-effective and realistic pipeline to deliver warfighting capabilities. The Committee further directs the Chief of Staff of the Air Force to brief the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, on the Air Force's force design, mission integration and mission threads, capability development and requirements, and modernization investment prioritization.

B–52 HIGH FIDELITY SIMULATOR MODERNIZATION

After years of underinvestment in modernizing B–52 flight simulators, the Committee notes that the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 request includes $73,300,000 in mandatory funding for the Air Force mission employment trainer. The high cost of live flight training and associated maintenance and sustainment activities would be offset by capabilities available by training in a high-fidelity simulator. The Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 included $26,200,000 for the Air Force to collect, analyze and prepare flight simulation and modeling for the B–52H flight data model, and the Committee's recommendation for

fiscal year 2027 includes $20,600,000 for the high-fidelity simulator modernization effort. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to brief the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees, not later than 180 days following enactment of this Act, on the status of the acquisition strategy, associated funding requirements, and estimated costs to develop and procure B–52 high fidelity simulators across the future years defense program.

ADVANCED THERMAL MANAGEMENT FOR NEXT GENERATION MANNED AND UNMANNED AIRCRAFT

The Committee is concerned that United States military innovation can be constrained by outdated enabling technologies, such as thermal management. To ensure American airborne military dominance, and achieve peace through deterrence, investment in advanced thermal management solutions that are compact, lightweight, scalable, and built for rapid deployment are important attributes for the next generation of manned and unmanned aerial warfare. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to prioritize the development and procurement of advanced, proven thermal management technologies and products.

LOOKING GLASS—NEXT

The Looking Glass—Next program is a pre-major defense acquisition program to recapitalize the airborne national command post program. As a new start, the Looking Glass—Next acquisition strategy continues to be developed. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics), to brief the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, on the acquisition strategy for the Looking Glass—Next capability, and any opportunities to accelerate development of the capability. The briefing shall include a proposed timeline for acquisition milestones, programmatic cost, schedule, threshold and objective requirements, recommendations on risk reduction activities and their associated costs and schedules, as well as supply chain vulnerabilities and mitigation plans.

AUTONOMOUS CARGO AIRCRAFT

The Committee understands that autonomous cargo aircraft technology, including blended-wing-body designs, may offer the opportunity to substantially increase payload capacity, reduce fuel consumption, and reduce risk to aircrews conducting contested logistics missions in high-threat environments. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to continue the development, demonstration, and evaluation of autonomous cargo aircraft capabilities to support future operational requirements.

SOFTWARE ACQUISITION PATHWAYS

The Committee is aware that programs across the Department of Defense are leveraging Software Acquisition Pathways (SWP) as the preferred pathway for all software development components of business and weapon system programs. Air Force programs are ad-

justing contracting strategies and using modernization and development of advanced software capabilities, utilizing agile development methodologies and a development pipeline to rapidly deliver new capabilities to the warfighter. While these efforts are supported, it is vital that transparency and the ability to conduct proper oversight into these efforts are not lost. The Committee notes the $54,051,000 growth in the Joint Simulation Environment corresponds to successful entry into the SWP with little explanation beyond. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act on the SWP road map, including timeline for programs to adopt SWP practices, projected cost-savings or cost-avoidance, and guidance to programs to account for SWP across programs, projects and activities.

DATA SHARING PILOT PROGRAM

The Committee believes that theft of United States national security data and proprietary technologies remains a persistent strategic threat, often attributed in large part to China, through cyber espionage, insider recruitment, and supply chain exploitation. Adversaries compress years of taxpayer-funded research and development into accelerated capability gains while United States government data remains vulnerable to spillage, intrusion, insider threats, and human error.

The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to begin a pilot program to develop a capability that keeps sensitive files encrypted and access-controlled wherever they go. The pilot should mature the existing prototype into a deployable capability by expanding cross-platform and mobile support, integrating into operational environments, and executing test and evaluation plus continuous security validation so mission teams can share information quickly without losing control, auditability, or protection.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, SPACE FORCE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Space Force:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES**	**20,833**	**20,833**	**0**
2	**UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES**	**14,426**	**14,426**	**0**
4	**SPACE TECHNOLOGY**	**234,190**	**274,590**	**40,400**
	Program increase—space-universal RPOD framework		12,000	
	Program increase—robotic capture and deorbit capability		7,400	
	Program increase—SPRINT via AFRL		3,000	
	Program increase—large space-based solar power arrays		8,000	
	Program increase—collaboration for applied multi-disciplinary research program for students		10,000	
5	**SPACE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**	**313,738**	**366,738**	**53,000**
	Program increase—transport layer software architecture		18,000	
	Program increase—low earth orbit VHF augmentation		35,000	
6	**SPACE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT/DEMO**	**126,427**	**189,627**	**63,200**
	Program increase—expeditionary space domain awareness		15,000	
	Program increase—QUAKE time synchronization		5,200	
	Program increase—enhancing United States Air Force Academy rocket propulsion curriculum		3,000	
	Program increase—small batch space systems		40,000	
7	**SPACE FORCE WEATHER SERVICES RESEARCH**	**3,581**	**3,581**	**0**
8	**SPACE FORCE IT, DATA ANALYTICS, DIGITAL SOLUTIONS**	**45,971**	**45,971**	**0**
10	**SPACE WARFIGHTING ANALYSIS**	**128,546**	**128,546**	**0**
11	**EO/IR WEATHER SYSTEMS**	**144,434**	**152,734**	**8,300**
	Program increase—commercial GNSS–RO data for world-wide combat operations		8,300	
12	**SPACE ACCESS, MOBILITY & LOGISTICS (SAML)**	**9,724**	**49,724**	**40,000**
	Program increase—building in-space logistics initial operating capability and commercial service		5,000	
	Program increase—demonstration for point-to-point cargo delivery from space		10,000	
	Program increase—space mobility and logistics		25,000	
13	**SPACE TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPING**	**1,246,316**	**1,256,316**	**10,000**
	Program increase—space edge compute kill chain automation		10,000	
14	**SPACE SYSTEMS PROTOTYPE TRANSITIONS [SSPT]**	**87,730**	**87,730**	**0**
15	**SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY**	**62,031**	**62,031**	**0**
16	**TECH TRANSITION (SPACE)**	**241,056**	**241,056**	**0**
17	**OPERATIONAL TEST & TRAINING INFRASTRUCTURE**	**265,215**	**265,215**	**0**
18	**SPACE SECURITY AND DEFENSE PROGRAM**	**102,140**	**102,140**	**0**
19	**PROTECTED TACTICAL ENTERPRISE SERVICE [PTES]**	**155,885**	**135,885**	**−20,000**
	PTES excess to need		−20,000	
20	**PROTECTED TACTICAL SERVICE [PTS]**	**449,434**	**449,434**	**0**
22	**SPACE RAPID CAPABILITIES OFFICE**	**9,950**	**19,950**	**10,000**
	Program increase—deep space network integration into Space Force		10,000	
23	**TACTICALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE**	**86,306**	**86,306**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
24	**GPS III FOLLOW-ON [GPS IIIF]**	**123,793**	**123,793**	**0**
25	**COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS**	**43,702**	**123,702**	**80,000**
	Space Force requested transfer from P,SF line 5		80,000	
26	**WEATHER SYSTEM FOLLOW-ON**	**34,756**	**34,756**	**0**
27	**SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS**	**1,317,841**	**1,249,841**	**−68,000**
	SG–XX early to need		−68,000	
28	**ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)**	**10,157**	**10,157**	**0**
30	**NEXT-GEN OPIR—GROUND**	**761,425**	**761,425**	**0**
31	**NEXT GENERATION OPIR**	**209,851**	**209,851**	**0**
32	**NEXT-GEN OPIR—GEO**	**485,703**	**485,703**	**0**
33	**NEXT-GEN OPIR—POLAR**	**0**	**200,000**	**200,000**
	Next-Gen OPIR—Polar		200,000	
34	**COMMERCIAL SATCOM [COMSATCOM] INTEGRATION**	**68,554**	**68,554**	**0**
35	**RESILIENT MISSILE WARNING MISSILE TRACKING—LOW EARTH ORBIT [LEO]**	**3,564,176**	**3,024,176**	**−540,000**
	Tracking Layer 3 excess to need		−160,000	
	Tracking Layer Tranche 4 excess to need		−120,000	
	Excess to need		−260,000	
36	**RESILIENT MISSILE WARNING MISSILE TRACKING—MEDIUM EARTH ORBIT (MEO)**	**1,413,662**	**1,213,662**	**−200,000**
	Excess to need		−200,000	
37	**COMMERCIAL SERVICES**	**23,752**	**100,752**	**77,000**
	Program increase—tactical surveillance, reconnaissance and tracking AI crewman		2,000	
	Program increase—Space Force commercial services		75,000	
38	**NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)—EMD**	**2,748**	**7,748**	**5,000**
	Program increase—western range mission development zone		5,000	
39	**EVOLVED STRATEGIC SATCOM [ESS]**	**1,838,718**	**1,658,718**	**−180,000**
	Excess to need		−180,000	
42	**ACQ WORKFORCE—SPACE & MISSILE SYSTEMS**	**260,731**	**260,731**	**0**
43	**SPACE & MISSILE SYSTEMS CENTER—MHA**	**13,717**	**13,717**	**0**
44	**SSC ENTERPRISE ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION**	**230,848**	**230,848**	**0**
45	**MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT—SPACE**	**65,731**	**65,731**	**0**
46	**ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)**	**19,965**	**65,465**	**45,500**
	Program increase—state space launch range services and capabilities		45,500	
47	**SPACE TEST PROGRAM [STP]**	**29,598**	**29,598**	**0**
49	**SERVICE-WIDE SUPPORT (NOT OTHERWISE ACCOUNTED FOR)**	**28,425**	**69,802**	**41,377**
	Air Force requested transfer from RDTE, AF line 153		31,777	
	Space Force requested transfer from OM,SF line 42A		9,600	
51	**DCO–SPACE**	**481,251**	**481,251**	**0**
52	**NARROWBAND SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS**	**855,860**	**515,860**	**−340,000**
	Service life extension		−340,000	
53	**SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)**	**92,537**	**92,537**	**0**
54	**LONG RANGE KILL CHAINS**	**1,392,025**	**1,392,025**	**0**
55	**SPACE-BASED MOVING TARGET INDICATOR**	**253,355**	**243,305**	**−10,050**
	Space Force requested transfer to RDTE, SF line 999		−10,050	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
56	**DATA TRANSPORT AND NETWORKING**	164,974	164,974	0
57	**NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND CONTROL SEGMENTS)**	115,000	115,000	0
58	**SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER**	22,487	22,487	0
59	**SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	10,538	10,538	0
60	**SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)**	56,781	56,781	0
61	**SPACE SUPERIORITY ISR**	64,290	64,290	0
62	**SPACE DATA NETWORK**	0	10,000	10,000
	Program increase—hybrid boosted SATCOM		10,000	
64	**NCMC—ITW/AA System**	25,092	35,092	10,000
	Program increase—spacecaster SISP modernization		10,000	
65	**BALLISTIC MISSILE EARLY WARNING SYSTEM (BMEWS)**	128,630	128,630	0
66	**NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)**	114,004	114,004	0
67	**SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS**	294,902	309,902	15,000
	Program increase—commercial collaborative sensor network		15,000	
68	**GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT**	332,313	125,013	−207,300
	Operational Control Segment cancellation		−109,300	
	Space Force requested transfer to P,SF line 27		−98,000	
72	**RAPID RESILIENT COMMAND AND CONTROL (R2C2)**	109,190	109,190	0
73	**AUTOMATE SAT C2**	1,524,300	591,620	−932,680
	Autonomous tactical fabric		−932,680	
74	**JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM**	92,731	92,731	0
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	17,330,381	16,066,031	−1,264,350
	Classified adjustment		−923,000	
	Space Force requested transfer to P,SF line 20		−351,400	
	Space Force requested transfer from RDTE,SF line 55		10,050	
75	**SPACE DOMAIN AWARENESS/PLANNING/TASKING SW**	617,062	617,062	0
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, SPACE FORCE	38,373,489	35,319,886	−3,053,603

OCX CANCELLATION AND AEP PATH FORWARD

The Space Force cancelled the Global Positioning System (GPS) Operational Control Segment (OCX) contract on April 17, 2026, after determining the program was unable to deliver needed capabilities on an operationally relevant timeline at an acceptable level of risk. OCX consumed significant resources over more than a decade without delivering ground system capabilities needed to exploit the modernized signals on GPS III satellites, leaving nine GPS III satellites on orbit operating below their designed ground capability. Success over the last decade upgrading the Architecture Evolution Plan (AEP) provides confidence that further upgrades will continue to support the enterprise at a fraction of OCX's cost. The Committee recommends continuation of the AEP ground system upgrade, the identified successor path.

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, to submit a GPS ground system transition plan including: (1) OCX termination liability estimate and settlement plan; (2) AEP upgrade schedule to support GPS IIIF launch and checkout; (3) projected timeline for achieving full M–Code operational capability on existing GPS III satellites; (4) a plan to accelerate integration and fielding of M–Code capable GPS receivers across mission-critical weapons systems, platforms, and space architectures; and (5) the relationship between the AEP upgrade path and the planned GPS IV program.

SPACE PROGRAM CLASSIFICATION ASSESSMENT

The Committee is concerned that excessive classification continues to undermine deterrence in space. Consistent with the President's Executive Order on Ensuring American Space Superiority, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to aggressively reduce unnecessary classification on Space Force capabilities and operations. The strategic purpose of developing overwhelming deterrent systems is largely lost when their existence and capabilities are kept secret.

Not later than 180 days after enactment, the Secretary of the Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a classified report, with an unclassified summary, identifying specific space programs, systems, and operational concepts recommended for declassification or partial declassification, along with expected deterrence benefits and an implementation timeline.

COMPETITIVE SPACE TRANSPORT CAPABILITIES

The Committee supports open competition and competitive design, development, and production of systems to ensure that the Department of the Air Force is getting the right system at the right price. The Committee notes that iterative tranches that are awarded to multiple vendors create continuous competition and maintain a robust industrial base. Further, the Committee notes that the President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 did not include funds to continue the development of Space Development Agency Tranche Three Transport Layer. Therefore, as the Department moves toward the new Space Data Network architecture, the Com-

mittee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to continue to resource a Link-16 transmission requirement and to evaluate new ideas for continuous competition in the marketplace that can reduce the cost of delivering tactical communications for the warfighter while bolstering our industrial base.

PROTECTED TACTICAL SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS

The Committee commends the Space Force for having more than one vendor provide protected tactical satellite communication and the opportunity for new entrants to be added to the program on a regular basis.

NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

The Committee directs the preservation of the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) in section 8099 as, a distinct organization maintaining its current hybrid structure, which serves both the Department of Defense and the Intelligence Community. The Committee notes the NRO's critical role in providing timely, high-priority intelligence capabilities through advanced satellite reconnaissance systems that are essential to national security, strategic deterrence, and military operations.

GOLDEN DOME FOR AMERICA FUND

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Golden Dome for America Fund:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
9	GOLDEN DOME FOR AMERICA—MDA	367,000	367,000	0
15	GOLDEN DOME FOR AMERICA	30,971	30,971	0
	TOTAL, GOLDEN DOME FOR AMERICA FUND	397,971	397,971	0

GOLDEN DOME FOR AMERICA FUND

The President's budget request for fiscal year 2027 requests $17,518,222,000 for the Golden Dome for America Fund to develop a comprehensive, multi-layered homeland missile defense architecture. While the Committee recommendation supports the discretionary funding request for the Golden Dome for America Fund, the Committee notes $17,120,151,000 is requested via mandatory funds. The Committee strongly believes that a program of this strategic importance deserves sustained, transparent funding through the discretionary budget, with rigorous congressional oversight and competition-driven efficiencies.

As directed in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to submit a separate budget justification volume beginning in fiscal year 2028, and annually thereafter, detailing program descriptions, justifications and requested funding associated with all Golden Dome activities and requirements by appropriation account and program element, including a crosswalk of funds requested from Golden Dome-related programs executed across the Department. The budget justification volume shall also detail: (1) an integrated master test plan documenting the Golden Dome program office's test program, including all test resourcing and events for flight, ground, and cyber testing capabilities; (2) capability gaps between the current funding profile and the objective architecture; and (3) feasibility and affordability of space-based interceptors characterized by cost and scalability demonstration requirements.

The Committee also directs the Director of Golden Dome to provide quarterly updates to the congressional defense committees, not later than 30 days after the close of each quarter, detailing budget execution and status of ongoing Golden Dome activities to achieve initial operational capability by 2028 and full operational capability by 2035.

The reports required herein shall be submitted in unclassified form and may include a classified annex.

ENERGY GENERATION TO IMPLEMENT EXECUTIVE ORDER 14186

Advanced reactors and microreactors have unique attributes that can ensure reliable power, have geographic flexibility, and have safety characteristics that can ensure extensive power needs of the Department of Defense are met. The Secretary of Defense shall consider using advanced nuclear reactors, including microreactors, in the development and deployment of energy generation needed to implement Executive Order 14186 or any other Golden Dome, missile defense, and Space Force capability.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**DTRA BASIC RESEARCH**	**15,070**	**15,070**	**0**
3	**HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES**	**17,667**	**17,667**	**0**
4	**BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES**	**87,091**	**87,091**	**0**
6	**NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM**	**165,488**	**165,488**	**0**
7	**EMERGING OPPORTUNITIES**	**387,633**	**387,633**	**0**
8	**HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES/ MINORITY INSTITUTIONS**	**99,706**	**99,706**	**0**
9	**CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM**	**27,425**	**27,425**	**0**
11	**JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY**	**32,145**	**32,145**	**0**
12	**ACCESS AND AWARENESS**	**110,096**	**110,096**	**0**
13	**WARFIGHTING PERFORMANCE** Program increase—SMART musculoskeletal assessment Program increase—Swine Research Center for traumatic brain injury	**364,141**	**385,641** 7,500 14,000	**21,500**
14	**MAKING, MAINTAINING, SUPPLY CHAIN LOGISTICS** Program increase—classified adjustment	**1,624,523**	**1,644,523** 20,000	**20,000**
17	**PROMOTION AND PROTECTION STRATEGIES**	**4,260**	**4,260**	**0**
18	**DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION** Program increase—multi-domain autonomous test range	**43,405**	**83,405** 40,000	**40,000**
19	**LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM** Program increase	**10,045**	**47,528** 37,483	**37,483**
20	**APPLIED RESEARCH FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF S&T PRIORITIES**	**59,560**	**59,560**	**0**
22	**CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM**	**207,186**	**207,186**	**0**
23	**CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH** Program increase—semiconductor industry cyber security	**18,575**	**23,575** 5,000	**5,000**
29	**COUNTER WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION APPLIED RESEARCH**	**157,287**	**157,287**	**0**
30	**SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE [SEI] APPLIED RESEARCH**	**7,069**	**7,069**	**0**
31	**HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH**	**50,408**	**50,408**	**0**
32	**FSRM MODELLING**	**6,635**	**6,635**	**0**
33	**SOF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT** Program increase—advanced commercial encryption and device security Program increase—cross-domain adaptive training Program increase—multi-static advanced signature management Program increase—quantum safe encrypted VoIP/PTT Program increase—UAS increased survivability and AI payload integration	**50,856**	**98,487** 6,810 5,321 15,000 8,000 12,500	**47,631**
34	**JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**64,251**	**64,251**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
36	**OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT**	**165,060**	**227,060**	**62,000**
	Program increase—beamed, agile, resilient operational energy		10,000	
	Program increase—fueling logistics for orbital warfare		15,000	
	Program increase—modular power system		10,000	
	Program increase—reactive materials		7,000	
	Program increase—TRISO advanced fuel		20,000	
38	**COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT**	**73,618**	**243,618**	**170,000**
	Program increase—digital force protection capabilities		20,000	
	Program increase—low-cost UAS interceptor system		15,000	
	Program increase—U.S.-Israel counter-UxS and directed energy development		50,000	
	Program increase—U.S.-Israel emerging technology cooperation		35,000	
	Program increase—U.S.-Israel subterranean operations		40,000	
	Program increase—warhead development for autonomous counterterrorism technology		10,000	
39	**FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING**	**24,950**	**24,950**	**0**
40	**MISSION ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION (ME&I)**	**144,454**	**140,121**	**−4,333**
	Efficiencies with CAPE		−4,333	
41	**COUNTER WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**509,160**	**509,160**	**0**
43	**ADVANCED RESEARCH**	**482,573**	**393,771**	**−88,802**
	Program increase—radiation hardened microelectronics		17,000	
	Carryover		−13,427	
	Excess growth		−92,375	
44	**JOINT HYPERSONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT & TRANSITION**	**424,422**	**438,689**	**14,267**
	Program increase—digital engineering hardware infrastructure		27,000	
	Obligation risk		−12,733	
45	**JOINT DOD–DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**45,375**	**45,375**	**0**
48	**ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS**	**36,917**	**36,917**	**0**
49	**ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS**	**51,960**	**51,960**	**0**
50	**QUANTUM APPLICATION**	**60,333**	**60,333**	**0**
51	**FUTURE GENERATION WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES**	**5,000**	**5,000**	**0**
52	**DEFENSE INNOVATION UNIT [DIU]**	**522,559**	**642,559**	**120,000**
	Program increase—commercial-derivative aerial refueling capability		10,000	
	Program increase—innovation with academia		10,000	
	Program increase—multi-mach reusable aircraft		75,000	
	Program increase—defense innovation OnRamp hub DIB innovation		5,000	
	Program increase—theater range contested logistics utility uas prototyping		10,000	
	Program increase—software technical onramp scaling		10,000	
53	**TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION**	**982,694**	**982,694**	**0**
54	**ADVANCED TECHNICAL INTEGRATION**	**79,268**	**79,268**	**0**
55	**CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT**	**310,308**	**310,308**	**0**
56	**DARPA ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**1,568,124**	**1,578,124**	**10,000**
	Program increase—classified adjustment		10,000	
57	**ADVANCED COMPLEX SYSTEMS**	**540,362**	**565,362**	**25,000**
	Program increase—LongShot X–68A		25,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
58	**ADVANCED ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES**	**331,007**	**331,007**	**0**
59	**JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY**	**49,083**	**49,083**	**0**
60	**NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES**	**696,297**	**696,297**	**0**
62	**DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM**	**581,847**	**744,847**	**163,000**
	Program increase—advanced composites for hypersonics aided by digital engineering		10,000	
	Program increase—automated shipyard outfitting		22,000	
	Program increase—autonomous and semi-autonomous armored bulldozers		5,000	
	Program increase—critical materials production		15,000	
	Program increase—digital manufacturing innovation and training		15,000	
	Program increase—high-strain rate testing of ceramic materials		10,000	
	Program increase—Indo-Pacific advanced manufacturing		10,000	
	Program increase—nanoscale materials manufacturing		3,000	
	Program increase—Pacific contested logistics manufacturing readiness initiative		10,000	
	Program increase—packaging for quantum photonics		4,000	
	Program increase—rapid additive manufacturing of critical components		5,000	
	Program increase—rapid response naval manufacturing center		10,000	
	Program increase—reproducible quality additive manufacturing		10,000	
	Program increase—reshoring combat footwear		2,000	
	Program increase—revolutionary rare earth and critical mineral processing		9,500	
	Program increase—robotic enhancements for armaments manufacturing		7,500	
	Program increase—robotic munitions and energetic manufacturing		15,000	
63	**MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM**	**49,787**	**176,287**	**126,500**
	Program increase—affordable alternative refractory powders		10,000	
	Program increase—Battery Network		6,000	
	Program increase—domestic cobalt refinement		10,000	
	Program increase—domestic ferromanganese production for high-strength steel		2,500	
	Program increase—domestic lithium sulfide production initiative		10,000	
	Program increase—domestic tantalum metal production		10,000	
	Program increase—domestic replacement for critical propulsion nozzle material		15,000	
	Program increase—mesopitch large-scale prototype demonstration		15,000	
	Program increase—digital UAS manufacturing expansion		25,000	
	Program increase—nanostructured iron nitride permanent magnets		15,000	
	Program increase—obsolescence supply chain replenishment demonstration		8,000	
64	**GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS**	**18,791**	**18,791**	**0**
65	**STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM**	**60,774**	**60,774**	**0**
66	**MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT**	**139,923**	**144,923**	**5,000**
	Program increase—microelectronics technology development and support		5,000	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
72	**SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE**	**10,227**	**10,227**	**0**
73	**BIOSURVEILLANCE PROGRAM ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**9,800**	**9,800**	**0**
74	**DEFENSE INNOVATION ACCELERATION (DIA)**	**310,977**	**320,477**	**9,500**
	Program increase—MEMS cryptography for insider threats		2,000	
	Program increase—thermoplastic-based continuous production for rocket assisted take-off		7,500	
75	**HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM**	**201,125**	**201,125**	**0**
76	**TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY**	**3,834,080**	**3,879,080**	**45,000**
	Program increase—hypersonics testing and evaluation workforce development		20,000	
	Program increase—hypersonic vehicle collaborative communications demonstration		10,000	
	Program increase—payload dispense system flight demonstration		15,000	
77	**INTERNATIONAL INNOVATION INITIATIVES**	**158,345**	**158,345**	**0**
80	**SOF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**126,085**	**202,052**	**75,967**
	Program increase—AI pilot assistant autonomy		14,500	
	Program increase—automated airlift ISR		15,000	
	Program increase—automated crew and workload reduction systems		12,500	
	Program increase—autonomous intelligence thread processing		5,000	
	Program increase—optimized portable thermophotovoltaic small unit power system		5,000	
	Program increase—scalable attritable undersea systems		12,000	
	Program increase—tactical edge multi-domain interoperability		15,000	
	Program decrease		−3,033	
81	**NUCLEAR MATTERS, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES**	**44,685**	**54,685**	**10,000**
	Program increase—nuclear effects testing for survivability		10,000	
82	**WALKOFF**	**227,158**	**227,158**	**0**
83	**ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM**	**125,066**	**125,066**	**0**
85	**BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT**	**1,360,611**	**1,274,900**	**−85,711**
	Program increase—commercially derived ballistic target vehicle		30,000	
	Carryover		−36,803	
	Disruptive technology insufficient justification		−46,458	
	Excess to need		−32,450	
86	**CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—DEM/VAL**	**391,307**	**396,307**	**5,000**
	Program increase—artificial intelligence-enabled rapid response antiviral platform		5,000	
87	**BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS**	**865,420**	**625,822**	**−239,598**
	ARGUS insufficient justification		−12,913	
	Carryover		−199,910	
	Excess to need		−26,775	
88	**BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS**	**1,457,437**	**1,266,318**	**−191,119**
	Program increase—engineering execution program		10,000	
	Carryover		−53,850	
	Excess to need		−117,175	
	IT planning and solutions excess growth		−30,094	
89	**SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA**	**1,742,778**	**1,742,778**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
90	**AEGIS BMD**	**927,870**	**917,356**	**−10,514**
	Program increase—flight experiment testing of lightweight radiation-hardened, thermally-intensive sensors		10,000	
	Carryover		−8,439	
	Excess to need		−12,075	
91	**BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION (C2BMC)**	**939,987**	**912,588**	**−27,399**
	Program increase—debris identification, mitigation, and exploitation		10,000	
	Carryover		−3,024	
	Excess to need		−34,375	
92	**BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT**	**50,430**	**47,650**	**−2,780**
	Carryover		−2,780	
93	**MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER [MDIOC]**	**57,892**	**56,011**	**−1,881**
	Carryover		−1,881	
94	**REGARDING TRENCH**	**29,807**	**29,807**	**0**
95	**SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR [SBX]**	**274,204**	**264,094**	**−10,110**
	Carryover		−6,560	
	Excess to need		−3,550	
96	**ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS**	**300,000**	**300,000**	**0**
97	**BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST**	**1,065,474**	**958,997**	**−106,477**
	Carryover		−106,477	
98	**BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS**	**518,506**	**484,155**	**−34,351**
	Program increase—advanced target front end configuration 3 risk reduction		10,000	
	Program increase—sea-based launch for missile defense targets		7,500	
	Carryover		−51,851	
99	**COALITION WARFARE**	**10,082**	**10,082**	**0**
101	**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM**	**2,675**	**2,675**	**0**
102	**JOINT MUNITIONS COMPONENT PROTOTYPING**	**7,893**	**7,893**	**0**
103	**GUAM DEFENSE DEVELOPMENT**	**212,413**	**212,413**	**0**
104	**TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES**	**59,700**	**51,700**	**−8,000**
	Program increase—short pulse laser directed energy demonstration		5,000	
	Excess to need		−13,000	
106	**ADVANCED MANUFACTURING COMPONENTS AND PROTOTYPES**	**52,122**	**52,122**	**0**
107	**CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS ELIMINATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**945**	**945**	**0**
108	**HYPERSONIC DEFENSE**	**213,783**	**213,783**	**0**
109	**ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES**	**2,626,130**	**2,692,530**	**66,400**
	Program increase—hypersonic readiness assessment vehicle		66,400	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
110	**TRUSTED & ASSURED MICROELECTRONICS**	**156,743**	**269,443**	**112,700**
	Program increase—50-nanometer gallium nitride (GaN) technology development for extremely high-radiofrequency (RF) devices		7,500	
	Program increase—advanced rad hard design		15,000	
	Program increase—high-reliability cryogenic microelectronics design infrastructure		12,000	
	Program increase—radiation hardened fully-depleted silicon on insulator microelectronics		12,000	
	Program increase—rapidly deployable advanced analog microelectronics		31,300	
	Program increase—trusted microelectronics radiation-hardened		31,300	
	Program increase—university-based microelectronics national security workforce		3,600	
111	**RAPID PROTOTYPING PROGRAM**	**238,800**	**268,800**	**30,000**
	Program increase—blue water instrumentation		5,000	
	Program increase—PLAYMAKER		10,000	
	Program increase—precision inertial navigation system (INS) without GPS		10,000	
	Program increase—multi-mission temperature gradients—powered subsea drones		5,000	
114	**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE [DOD] UNMANNED SYSTEM COMMON DEVELOPMENT**	**2,024**	**2,024**	**0**
115	**DEFENSE AUTONOMOUS WARFARE GROUP**	**1,000,000**	**1,000,000**	**0**
116	**CATAPULT INFORMATION SYSTEM**	**7,500**	**7,500**	**0**
117	**OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT—NON S&T**	**53,505**	**63,205**	**9,700**
	Program increase—project SynCE		9,700	
119	**OFFICE OF STRATEGIC CAPITAL (OSC)**	**18,955**	**18,955**	**0**
120	**SUPPORT FOR STRATEGIC ANALYSIS**	**2,802**	**2,802**	**0**
122	**MULTI-DOMAIN JOINT OPERATIONS**	**32,001**	**32,001**	**0**
123	**JOINT ENERGETIC TRANSITION OFFICE**	**6,278**	**6,278**	**0**
124	**JOINT C5 CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT, INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY ASSESSMENTS**	**28,314**	**32,314**	**4,000**
	Program increase—national air defense readiness and command optimization		4,000	
125	**BIOSURVEILLANCE PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPING**	**7,000**	**7,000**	**0**
126	**LONG RANGE DISCRIMINATION RADAR (LRDR)**	**125,074**	**117,147**	**−7,927**
	Carryover		−7,927	
127	**IMPROVED HOMELAND DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS**	**1,344,824**	**1,344,824**	**0**
129	**AEGIS BMD TEST**	**61,969**	**61,969**	**0**
130	**BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSOR TEST**	**52,919**	**45,719**	**−7,200**
	Excess to need		−7,200	
131	**LAND-BASED SM–3 [LBSM3]**	**25,102**	**25,102**	**0**
132	**BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE SEGMENT TEST**	**53,761**	**53,761**	**0**
133	**HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPE**	**44,485**	**44,485**	**0**
134	**SAFETY PROGRAM MANAGEMENT**	**2,146**	**2,146**	**0**
135	**CYBERCOM ACTIVITIES**	**31,735**	**31,735**	**0**
137	**CYBER TRAINING ENVIRONMENT (CTE)**	**120,814**	**120,814**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
139	**CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE**	**2,160**	**2,160**	**0**
140	**INTELLIGENCE CAPABILITIES AND INNOVATION INVESTMENTS**	**15,047**	**15,047**	**0**
142	**BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS**	**95,819**	**72,845**	**−22,974**
	Project MD33 early to need		−22,974	
144	**CHIEF DIGITAL AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE OFFICER (CDAO)—DEM/VAL ACTIVITIES**	**11,197**	**22,197**	**11,000**
	Program increase—commercially developed GEOINT collection		11,000	
145	**ALPHA–1 DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES**	**969,825**	**719,704**	**−250,121**
	Program increase—AI ready data		30,000	
	Lack of government management oversight		−280,121	
146	**NUCLEAR MATTERS, SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION**	**14,919**	**14,919**	**0**
147	**CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—EMD**	**261,947**	**261,947**	**0**
148	**JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM [JTIDS]**	**10,200**	**10,200**	**0**
149	**COUNTER WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	**16,713**	**16,713**	**0**
150	**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT**	**13,620**	**13,620**	**0**
151	**HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE**	**9,334**	**9,334**	**0**
152	**DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM**	**5,943**	**5,943**	**0**
153	**OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES**	**273,253**	**273,253**	**0**
154	**DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES (DAI)—FINANCIAL SYSTEM**	**51,265**	**51,265**	**0**
156	**DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITIES**	**7,918**	**7,918**	**0**
157	**TRUSTED & ASSURED MICROELECTRONICS**	**51,202**	**51,202**	**0**
158	**MILITARY AVIATION AND INSTALLATION ASSURANCE SITING CLEARINGHOUSE**	**4,072**	**4,072**	**0**
159	**ACQUISITION INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY (AI2)**	**92,689**	**92,689**	**0**
160	**RADIOLOGICAL AND NUCLEAR DEFENSE MODERNIZATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION**	**3,090**	**3,090**	**0**
161	**NUCLEAR COMMAND, CONTROL, & COMMUNICATIONS**	**2,985**	**2,985**	**0**
162	**COUNTER—SMALL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS (JIATF–401)**	**580,348**	**580,348**	**0**
164	**JOINT FIRES NETWORK (JFN)**	**35,000**	**35,000**	**0**
165	**REAL PROPERTY ANALYTICS**	**2,573**	**2,573**	**0**
166	**COUNTERPROLIFERATION ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT**	**12,751**	**12,751**	**0**
168	**JOINT CAPABILITY EXPERIMENTATION**	**12,332**	**12,332**	**0**
169	**JADC2 DEVELOPMENT AND EXPERIMENTATION ACTIVITIES**	**2,109,895**	**1,001,131**	**−1,108,764**
	Unjustified request—Mission Command Applications		−1,108,764	
171	**DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM [DRRS]**	**8,921**	**8,921**	**0**
172	**JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT**	**11,094**	**11,094**	**0**
173	**CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP)**	**1,153,754**	**891,146**	**−262,608**
	Program increase—Florida advanced training range		5,700	
	Program increase—modeling and simulation of hypersonic test facility		10,000	
	Program increase—Navy drone swarming and autonomous systems		10,000	
	Excessive growth		−288,308	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
175	MISSION SUPPORT	108,101	108,101	0
176	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY [JMETC] Program increase—decentralized, cross-network engineering and certification infrastructure	234,700	274,700 40,000	40,000
177	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION (JIAMDO)	69,820	69,820	0
178	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)	0	231,897	231,897
179	LIVE FIRE TESTING	9,020	9,020	0
180	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	21,992	21,992	0
181	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—OSD	5,255	5,255	0
182	NUCLEAR MATTERS MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	21,862	21,862	0
183	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	26,878	26,878	0
184	GENERAL SUPPORT TO OUSD (INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY)	10,695	10,695	0
185	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	89,467	89,467	0
192	CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	10,913	10,913	0
193	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH [SBIR]/SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER (STTR) ADMINISTRATION	8,435	8,435	0
194	MAINTAINING TECHNOLOGY ADVANTAGE	35,512	35,512	0
195	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	165,450	165,450	0
196	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER [DTIC]	57,576	57,576	0
197	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION Program increase—Federal Voting Assistance Program	34,359	44,359 10,000	10,000
198	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	35,106	35,106	0
199	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	5,383	5,383	0
200	MANAGEMENT HQ—DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER [DTIC]	3,489	3,489	0
201	SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	19,260	19,260	0
202	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	10,678	10,678	0
203	ANALYSIS WORKING GROUP (AWG) SUPPORT	11,668	11,668	0
205	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF WAR ENTERPRISE TRANSFORMATION AND MODERNIZATION	75,000	75,000	0
206	ODNA TECHNOLOGY AND RESOURCE ANALYSIS	3,264	3,264	0
207	DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD	6,307	6,307	0
208	AVIATION SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES	986	986	0
209	CYBER RESILIENCY AND CYBERSECURITY POLICY Program increase—quantum-resilient molecular cybersecurity for critical defense infrastructure	30,746	50,746 20,000	20,000
211	JOINT PRODUCTION ACCELERATOR CELL (JPAC)	6,215	6,215	0
212	SUSTAINMENT TRANSITION CAPABILITIES	29,408	29,408	0
215	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT Program increase—strategic multilayer assessment	5,088	13,088 8,000	8,000
216	BIOSURVEILLANCE PROGRAM	7,000	7,000	0
217	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	72,581	72,581	0

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
218	**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RAPID ACQUISITION**	**6,416**	**6,416**	**0**
219	**COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS**	**5,566**	**5,566**	**0**
221	**DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/ SURFACE SYSTEMS**	**2,951**	**2,951**	**0**
222	**JOINT STAFF OFFICE OF THE CHIEF DATA OFFICER (OCDO) ACTIVITIES**	**67,007**	**62,652**	**−4,355**
	Efficiencies from like efforts—Joint Staff War Data Platform		−4,355	
223	**COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (CE2T2)—NON-MHA**	**90,424**	**90,424**	**0**
225	**INTEGRATED PRIMARY PREVENTION**	**5,768**	**5,768**	**0**
226	**MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA**	**31,863**	**31,863**	**0**
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	**36,977**	**36,977**	**0**
229	**NEXT GENERATION INFORMATION COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY (5G)**	**5,893**	**5,893**	**0**
231	**ECONOMIC DEFENSE UNIT (EDU)**	**403,903**	**0**	**−403,903**
	OSW requested transfer to line 233		−403,903	
232	**CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS ELIMINATION TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT**	**2,931**	**2,931**	**0**
233	**INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT**	**1,177,356**	**2,128,059**	**950,703**
	Program increase—ablative material sustainment		10,000	
	Program increase—advancement of laser identification and surface preparation technology		8,000	
	Program increase—advanced technology center(s) for manufacturing and skilled trades		15,000	
	Program increase—aluminum heat treatment capability		10,000	
	Program increase—beryllium and beryllium copper products		12,500	
	Program increase—Civil Reserve Manufacturing Network		100,000	
	Program increase—domestic rare earth free permanent magnet manufacturing capability		150,000	
	Program increase—digital engineering and design center		10,000	
	Program increase—domestic hydrazine		72,500	
	Program increase—establishing sub-tier vertical integration for solid rocket motor production		7,500	
	Program increase—headborne systems manufacturing		8,300	
	Program increase—high-char polymer materials for hypersonic systems		10,000	
	Program increase—high enthalpy air-breathing test (HEAT)		30,000	
	Program increase—improved manufacturability and scale up of enabling technologies for powder and pellet production for advanced thermal batteries		13,000	
	Program increase—modernizing casting and forging supply chain		10,000	
	Program increase—rapid scaling of advanced rare earth separation		5,000	
	Program increase—spheroidized steel manufacturing capabilities		20,000	
	Program increase—submarine workforce development		55,000	
	OSW requested transfer to line 231		403,903	
234	**COUNTERPROLIFERATION MODERNIZATION**	**11,304**	**11,304**	**0**
235	**GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS (G–TSCMIS)**	**6,010**	**6,010**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
236	**CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT)**	82,695	89,695	7,000
	Program increase—nerve agent injection medical countermeasure technology		7,000	
237	**COUNTER WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	4,108	4,108	0
238	**RADIOLOGICAL AND NUCLEAR DEFENSE MODERNIZATION OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT**	2,794	2,794	0
239	**ROBUST INFRASTRUCTURE AND ACCESS**	155,613	151,553	−4,060
	Excess growth—other robust infrastructure		−4,060	
240	**CYBER COMMAND AND CONTROL (CYBER C2)**	85,958	85,958	0
241	**DATA AND UNIFIED PLATFORM (D&UP)**	84,687	84,687	0
245	**DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION**	13,312	13,312	0
246	**COUNTERING THREATS AUTOMATED PLATFORM**	11,710	11,710	0
247	**LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DCS**	10,508	10,508	0
248	**MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN)**	10,307	10,307	0
250	**INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM**	35,214	70,214	35,000
	Program increase—National Centers of Academic Excellence in Cybersecurity		35,000	
252	**INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM**	33,502	33,502	0
253	**DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION**	49,466	49,466	0
254	**JOINT PLANNING AND EXECUTION SERVICES**	10,615	10,615	0
257	**FEDERAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**	50,900	50,900	0
265	**DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL BASE (DIB) CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE**	17,077	17,077	0
269	**DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES**	6,751	6,751	0
270	**COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS**	18,912	18,912	0
272	**POLICY R&D PROGRAMS**	11,740	11,740	0
275	**NET CENTRICITY**	55,673	52,889	−2,784
	Excess to need		−2,784	
282	**HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM**	1,730	1,730	0
283	**MISSION PARTNER ENVIRONMENTS**	15,464	54,664	39,200
	Program increase—modular drone agnostic accessories		9,200	
	Program increase—modular multi-mission precision system		30,000	
293	**CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT**	1,303,094	1,196,999	−106,095
	Program increase—pathfinder cyber offensive teams		15,000	
	Excess growth—cyber weapons tools/hard targets		−117,195	
	Excess growth—joint cyber warfighting integration/ innovation		−3,900	
294	**NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (NISS)**	19,840	19,840	0
297	**LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES**	2,051	2,051	0
299	**DEFENSE PROPERTY ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM**	2,829	2,829	0
302	**AVIATION SYSTEMS**	216,781	212,446	−4,335
	Line consolidation efficiencies		−4,335	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
303	**INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT**	**109,227**	**158,543**	**49,316**
	Program increase—AI-enabled ISR autonomy development		15,000	
	Program increase—littoral focused geospatial intelligence		6,500	
	Program increase—natural language command and control software to collaborate with uncrewed systems		15,000	
	Program increase—vertical takeoff and landing ISR		15,000	
	Line consolidation efficiencies		−2,184	
304	**OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS**	**279,478**	**318,278**	**38,800**
	Program increase—asymmetric counter UAS		20,000	
	Program increase—loitering munition ISR modularity		3,800	
	Program increase—long-range fire control system for small arms sniper		10,000	
	Program increase—next generation geospatial intelligence data awareness		5,000	
305	**WARRIOR SYSTEMS**	**435,074**	**481,432**	**46,358**
	Program increase—advanced tactical flotation systems		10,000	
	Program increase—blast overpressure prevention technology		10,000	
	Program increase—electronic warfare visualization tool		10,000	
	Program increase—MARSOC small unmanned aerial systems modernization		12,000	
	Program increase—SOCOM body armor plate		7,000	
	Program increase—special operations tactical device modernization		8,000	
	Line consolidation efficiencies		−8,701	
	Program decrease		−1,941	
306	**SPECIAL PROGRAMS**	**25,761**	**25,761**	**0**
309	**MARITIME SYSTEMS**	**351,721**	**351,721**	**0**
310	**OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE**	**25,747**	**45,747**	**20,000**
	Program increase—autonomous UAS in contested environments		20,000	
311	**TELEPORT PROGRAM**	**22,244**	**22,244**	**0**
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	**8,923,353**	**8,079,516**	**−843,837**
	Classified adjustment		−843,837	
312	**ENTERPRISE PLATFORMS AND CAPABILITIES—SOFTWARE PILOT PROGRAM**	**481,775**	**466,902**	**−14,873**
	Duplicative effort—Project 676		−14,873	
313	**WEAPONEERING CODE SUSTAINMENT**	**23,071**	**23,071**	**0**
314	**ACQUISITION VISIBILITY—SOFTWARE PILOT PROGRAM**	**64,364**	**72,464**	**8,100**
	Program increase—platinum prototype for digital acquisition data platform		8,100	
315	**ACCELERATE THE PROCUREMENT AND FIELDING OF INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES (APFIT)**	**0**	**65,000**	**65,000**
	Program increase		65,000	
316	**DEFENSE INNOVATION UNIT [DIU] FIELDING**	**433,867**	**483,867**	**50,000**
	Program increase—long-range autonomous munition		20,000	
	Program increase—shared commercial classified infrastructure		10,000	
	Program increase—Thunderforge		20,000	
317	**GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM**	**124,329**	**124,329**	**0**
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	**55,736,724**	**54,747,835**	**−988,889**

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-ENABLED RAPID RESPONSE ANTIVIRAL PLATFORM

The Committee recognizes the need to accelerate the Department of Defense's ability to respond to emerging and engineered biological threats. The Committee directs the Department of Defense Biotechnology Management Office, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense (Research and Engineering) and the Chemical and Biological Defense Program, to develop a report on the feasibility and benefits of developing a secure, auditable artificial intelligence-enabled platform capable of rapidly translating pathogen sequence data into actionable countermeasure strategies. The Committee expects this effort to preserve decision-making optionality, compress the timeline from threat detection to countermeasure decision, accelerate development and acquisition of biological countermeasures, and maintain rigorous auditability and validation standards.

CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY AREAS AND CONTESTED LOGISTICS

The Committee applauds the Department of Defense for aggressively pursuing six cutting-edge Critical Technology Areas (CTAs): applied artificial intelligence; biomanufacturing; contested logistics; quantum and battlefield information dominance; scaled directed energy; and scaled hypersonics. Accordingly, the Committee has provided funding for these activities to the maximum extent feasible.

The Committee is pleased that contested logistics has been elevated to a critical technology area and urges the Department to consider medical technologies and resources essential to sustaining readiness and survival in disrupted or denied environments. The Committee believes that more emphasis is needed on critical medical technologies and resources including, but not limited to, availability of sovereign, domestic active pharmaceutical ingredients, biomedical and warfighting performance technology, and chemical and biological defense capabilities. Furthermore, the Committee encourages close collaboration between the Contested Logistics CTAs, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, and the Chemical and Biological Defense Program.

CULTIVATED MEAT

The Committee intends that none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to produce or procure cultivated meat for human consumption by members of the United States uniformed services or their families.

GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SECURITY

The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense is increasingly utilizing artificial intelligence (AI) to process sensitive, operational, intelligence, and logistics data, yet existing cybersecurity standards allow for sensitive data to be exposed. The Committee notes that section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026 (Public Law 199–60) directs development of a cybersecurity framework addressing unintended exposure or theft of AI data or systems. The Committee seeks to understand

how the Department evaluates and deploys AI data protection technologies. The Committee is concerned government management and oversight is not addressing security and representative workloads across cloud, on-premises, and tactical edge environments with emphasis on agentic use cases and heterogeneous compute configurations and assess applicability to GenAI.mil and Pace-Setting Projects under the January 2026 AI Intelligence Strategy.

Therefore, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Officer, is directed to provide a briefing to congressional defense committees not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act that includes: (1) efforts underway to secure sensitive data; (2) compute utilization and cost efficiency implications to securing data; (3) status of statutory compliance with section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026 (Public Law 199–60); and (4) the cost of such efforts detailed by appropriation and budget line item and/or subactivity group.

NATIONAL HYPERSONIC GROUND TEST AND DIGITAL ENGINEERING CENTER

The Committee applauds the Department of Defense's investment in hypersonic testing infrastructure to ensure the nation can meet growing test and evaluation requirements to achieve parity with near-peer adversaries currently fielding hypersonic weapons. Existing facilities lack capacity and the advanced technology required to rapidly transition hypersonic research and development into operational capability, causing program development delays. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act with a strategy to establish and sustain a state-of-the-art national hypersonic ground test facility that includes modeling and simulation, trisonic, hypersonic, and aeropropulsion wind tunnels, including artificial intelligence-enabled capabilities, a digital engineering environment with digital twins, and high performance computing and storage capabilities for rapid data analysis. The Committee recognizes that such a hypersonic complex of national significance should operate independently to meet the needs of the Department of Defense, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the defense industrial base, and other critical commercial aerospace innovations.

TEST AND EVALUATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

The Committee supports Department of Defense efforts to drive down costs for hypersonic tests. Accordingly, the Committee directs that all requested funding in the Test and Evaluation Science and Technology line is designated as a congressional special interest item.

OUTCOME-BASED REVIEWS

The Committee supports: (1) the conduct of outcome-based program and operational reviews consistent with the Government Performance and Results Modernization Act of 2010; (2) the review of existing regulations, internal policies, and administrative require-

ments to identify outdated, duplicative, or unnecessarily burdensome provisions; and (3) the identification of opportunities for cost savings, administrative streamlining, and improved program delivery. Given this support, the Committee directs that not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit a report to the congressional defense committees on capacity to strengthen performance management, regulatory review, and oversight practices to better align spending with measurable outcomes.

UNMANNED SYSTEMS TESTING IN GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM IMPAIRED ENVIRONMENTS

The Committee is concerned that unmanned aerial systems (UAS) and other unmanned systems are not being consistently tested in operationally realistic environments where Global Positioning System (GPS) signals are denied, degraded, or spoofed. The Committee notes that GPS interference is no longer limited to active conflict zones in Ukraine and is increasingly observed outside of Europe, including within the United States Central Command area of responsibility. The Committee further notes growing global availability and proliferation of low-cost GPS jamming and spoofing technologies are readily accessible to state and non-state actors.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to ensure that developmental and operational testing of UAS, including rapidly fielded, commercially derived, or unit procured systems, includes testing in simulated GPS denied, GPS degraded, and GPS spoofed environments. Such testing shall assess navigation, command and control, autonomy, mission execution, recovery, and safety of flight under conditions representative of contested electromagnetic environments.

The Committee further directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act that includes: (1) a description of current UAS testing practices related to GPS-denied, degraded, and spoofed environments, including for other unmanned systems; (2) identification of gaps in test infrastructure, modeling and simulation capabilities, and policies governing rapidly fielded or commercially derived unmanned systems; and (3) a plan to incorporate standardized GPS-denial and spoofing scenarios into UAS testing and readiness assessments across the military departments.

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT IN MANUFACTURING AND SKILLED TRADES

The Committee recognizes that workforce development in manufacturing and skilled trades is essential to rebuilding and sustaining the defense industrial base. Decades of manufacturing workforce attrition, an aging skilled labor force, and insufficient domestic training pipelines have created critical gaps in the recruitment and retention of the workforce necessary to support national security requirements, particularly in advanced manufacturing, robotics, welding and fabrication, Computer Numerical Control machining, and industrial maintenance. The Committee supports investments in educational opportunities that strengthen the domestic manufacturing workforce and expand opportunities for skilled

trades critical to the defense industrial base. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue partnering with advanced technology centers, educational institutions, regional manufacturing centers, and private industry to develop workforce pipelines aligned with current and future defense industrial requirements. Accordingly, the Committee recommends a $15,000,000 increase for advanced technology centers for manufacturing and skilled trades.

PERSISTENT WIDEBAND RADIO FREQUENCY MONITORING CAPABILITY

The Committee is aware of recent technical advances enabling instantaneous wideband stare capabilities of 45 gigahertz (GHz) or greater for persistent, continuous radio frequency (RF) monitoring with high sensitivity. Such systems provide full-spectrum awareness and improved detection of transient, frequency-agile, and low-probability-of-intercept emissions that may evade legacy scanning architectures. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to assess the feasibility, cost, and operational benefit of procuring and deploying such equipment at Department of Defense facilities and test ranges that conduct RF monitoring as part of their mission. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, to provide to the congressional defense committees a report that identifies facilities performing RF monitoring and assesses current capability gaps that provides a cost estimate and phased plan for procurement and integration of 45 GHz or greater instantaneous wideband monitoring systems where operationally justified.

PRIORITIZATION OF DOMESTIC BATTERY TECHNOLOGY

The Committee recognizes the importance of accelerating the commercialization and domestic deployment of advanced battery separator technologies that enhance safety, performance, and supply-chain resilience for defense applications. The Committee further encourages the Department of Defense to prioritize technologies that are invented, developed, and manufactured in the United States, strengthen domestic production of essential battery components, and reduce dependence on foreign, particularly adversarial, sources.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to support research, development, demonstration, and commercialization activities for next-generation separator technologies, including ceramic-based nanofiber separator architectures that improve thermal stability, mechanical durability, safety margins, and cycle life while reducing reliance on conventional polymer base film separators dominated by foreign suppliers. Accordingly, the Committee recommends an additional $6,000,000 for the Battery Network (BATTNET) program.

OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

R–1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION**	**101,365**	**116,365**	**15,000**
	Program increase—cyber assessments		15,000	
2	**LIVE FIRE TESTING**	**11,000**	**11,000**	**0**
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE	**112,365**	**127,365**	**15,000**

TEST AND EVALUATION ACTIVITIES

The Committee directs that all requested funding in the Operational, Test and Evaluation appropriation account is designated as a congressional special interest item and carries this same designation for any funding requested in support of the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation within the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide appropriation account.

TITLE V

REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

The fiscal year 2027 Department of Defense revolving and management funds budget request and the Committee recommendation are summarized in the table below:

REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

[Dollars in thousands]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
Defense Working Capital Funds	7,502,293	4,756,693	−2,745,600
National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund	5,700	5,700	.....
Total, Revolving and Management Funds	7,507,993	4,762,393	−2,745,600

DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS AND NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE TRANSACTION FUND

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for the Defense Working Capital Funds accounts and the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY	20,563	20,563	0
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, NAVY	266,212	266,212	0
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE	4,440,414	1,694,814	−2,745,600
Unjustified growth		−2,745,600	
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE, DEFENSE FINANCE OPERATIONS—DIRECT	273,760	273,760	0
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY, DOD MARKET FLUCTUATION ACCOUNT (FUEL)	1,000,000	1,000,000	0
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA	1,501,344	1,501,344	0
NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE TRANSACTION FUND	5,700	5,700	0
TOTAL, DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS	7,507,993	4,762,393	−2,745,600

TITLE VI

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

The fiscal year 2027 Department of Defense Other Department of Defense Programs budget request and the Committee recommendation are summarized in the table below:

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

[Dollars in thousands]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
Combat Operation Medical Program:			
Operation and maintenance	18,955,553	18,944,650	−10,903
Procurement	366,728	371,728	+5,000
Research, development, test and evaluation	1,019,362	2,087,862	+1,068,500
Total, Combat Operation Medicine Program	20,341,643	21,404,240	+1,062,597
Private Sector Care Program	22,175,472	21,993,389	−182,083
Total, Military Health Program	42,517,115	43,397,629	+880,514
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:			
Test and evaluation	55,972	55,972	
Total, Chemical Agents	55,972	55,972	
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	918,002	1,158,745	+240,743
Office of the Inspector General	506,343	506,343	
Total, Other Department of Defense Programs	43,997,432	45,118,689	+1,121,257

MILITARY HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for the Military Health Program:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
10	**IN-HOUSE CARE**	**10,863,317**	**10,878,317**	**15,000**
	Program increase—deployment of psychology behavioral science telehealth for children		15,000	
30	**CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT**	**2,375,175**	**2,364,316**	**−10,859**
	Program decrease—historical unobligated funds		−55,559	
	Program increase—Brandon Act signage		2,000	
	Program increase—cancer supplemental insurance pilot program		2,900	
	Program increase—outdoor recreation and education activities		10,000	
	Program increase—substance use recovery pilot		2,800	
	Program increase—transition and suicide prevention for service members and their families		10,000	
	Program increase—wounded warrior service dog program		17,000	
40	**INFORMATION MANAGEMENT**	**2,600,177**	**2,580,133**	**−20,044**
	Program decrease—insufficient justification		−20,044	
50	**MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES**	**304,382**	**304,382**	**0**
60	**EDUCATION AND TRAINING**	**349,460**	**354,460**	**5,000**
	Program increase—advanced orthopedic surgical training		5,000	
70	**BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS**	**2,463,042**	**2,463,042**	**0**
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		**18,955,553**	**18,944,650**	**−10,903**
	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT			
80	**RESEARCH**	**41,924**	**41,924**	**0**
90	**EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT**	**185,153**	**185,153**	**0**
100	**ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT**	**361,241**	**361,241**	**0**
110	**DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION**	**186,018**	**186,018**	**0**
120	**ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT**	**124,662**	**124,662**	**0**
130	**MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT**	**100,912**	**100,912**	**0**
140	**CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT**	**19,452**	**19,452**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
150	**UNDISTRIBUTED MEDICAL RESEARCH**	**0**	**1,068,500**	**1,068,500**
	Program increase—accelerated medical battlefield		5,000	
	Program increase—Advanced Vital Intervention Airborne Training for Emergencies		11,000	
	Program increase—cognitive brain military injury (CBMI) screening pilot program		5,000	
	Program increase—combat casualty care		20,000	
	Program increase—cryopreserved activated platelets		5,000	
	Program increase—detection of mTBI and Subconcussive events		7,000	
	Program increase—glioblastoma medical research consortium		15,000	
	Program increase—freeze-dried platelet hemostatic product development		5,000	
	Program increase—non-concussive brain injuries support		5,000	
	Program increase—ionizing radiation therapy		7,000	
	Program increase—thermal burn and concurrent pulmonary therapies		15,000	
	Program increase—trauma imaging and casualty intelligence program		5,000	
	Program increase—USUHS CHSR pharmaceutical supply chain portfolio		12,000	
	Peer-reviewed alcohol and substance use disorders research		4,000	
	Peer-reviewed ALS research		80,000	
	Peer-reviewed Alzheimer's research		20,000	
	Peer-reviewed arthritis research		10,000	
	Peer-reviewed autism research		4,000	
	Peer-reviewed breast cancer research		145,000	
	Peer-reviewed cancer research		165,000	
	Peer-reviewed Duchenne muscular dystrophy research		12,500	
	Peer-reviewed endometrial cancer research		10,000	
	Peer-reviewed kidney cancer research		15,000	
	Peer-reviewed lung cancer research		20,000	
	Peer-reviewed lupus research		10,000	
	Peer-reviewed melanoma research		15,000	
	Peer-reviewed multiple sclerosis research		20,000	
	Peer-reviewed neurofibromatosis research		25,000	
	Peer-reviewed orthopedic research		20,000	
	Peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research		50,000	
	Peer-reviewed pancreatic cancer research		20,000	
	Peer-reviewed Parkinson's research		25,000	
	Peer-reviewed prostate cancer research		110,000	
	Peer-reviewed rare cancers research		17,500	
	Peer-reviewed spinal cord research		40,000	
	Peer-reviewed tickborne disease research		7,000	
	Peer-reviewed toxic exposures research		15,000	
	Peer-reviewed traumatic brain injury and psychological health research		40,500	
	Peer-reviewed tuberous sclerosis complex research		12,000	
	Peer-reviewed vision research		4,000	
	Global HIV/AIDS prevention		15,000	
	HIV/AIDS program research		20,000	
TOTAL, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT		**1,019,362**	**2,087,862**	**1,068,500**
	PROCUREMENT			
150	**INITIAL OUTFITTING**	**25,555**	**25,555**	**0**
160	**REPLACEMENT AND MODERNIZATION**	**231,382**	**236,382**	**5,000**
	Program increase—prevention of respiratory infections		5,000	
170	**JOINT OPERATIONAL MEDICINE INFORMATION SYSTEM**	**31,203**	**31,203**	**0**

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
180	MILITARY HEALTH SYSTEM -DESKTOP TO DATACENTER	78,588	78,588	0
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT		366,728	371,728	5,000
	TOTAL, COMBAT AND OPERATIONAL MEDICINE PROGRAM	20,341,643	21,404,240	1,062,597

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
20	**PRIVATE SECTOR CARE**	**22,175,472**	**21,993,389**	**−182,083**
	Program decrease—historical unobligated balances		−133,985	
	Program decrease—unjustified growth		−48,098	
	TOTAL, PRIVATE SECTOR CARE PROGRAM	**22,175,472**	**21,993,389**	**−182,083**

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR THE MILITARY HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee provides funds for two distinct appropriations: the Combat and Operational Medicine Program and the Private Sector Care Program, under the Military Health Program, formally the Defense Health Program. The Committee includes a provision which caps the funds available for the Private Sector Care Program under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. The general provision and accompanying report language should not be interpreted as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred to the In-House Care budget sub-activity from other budget sub-activities within the Combat and Operational Medicine Program. In addition, funding for In-House Care sub-activity within the Combat and Operational Medicine Program and the Private Sector Care Program are designated as congressional special interest items. Any transfer of funds in excess of $15,000,000 into or out of the In-House Care budget sub-activity within the Combat and Operational Medicine Program requires the Secretary of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for operation and maintenance funds.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of $15,000,000 out of the Private Sector Care Program or later than 15 days after such a transfer. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act, that delineates transfers of funds, and the dates any transfers occurred, from the Private Sector Care Program to any other budget sub-activities within the Combat and Operational Medicine Program.

Additionally, the Committee remains concerned by the repurposing of Facilities, Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization (FSRM) throughout the fiscal year. Deferring FSRM in favor of more immediate needs of the Military Health Program may seem prudent at the time but comes with costly, severe, and enduring ramifications. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of $15,000,000 out of the Base and Operations and Communications budget sub-activity not later than 15 days after such a transfer.

The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide quarterly briefings to the congressional defense committees, not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal quarter, on budget execution data for all Military Health Program budget activities, and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the Services in future budget submissions. Furthermore, the Committee directs that budget execution data is required to be sent to the congressional defense committees, not later than 30 days after the end of each previous month. These reports shall also be provided to the Government Accountability Office.

CARRYOVER

For fiscal year 2027, the Committee recommends one percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance account for the Combat and Operational Medicine Program and a one percent carryover authority for the Private Sector Care Program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spending plan for any fiscal year 2026 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to executing the carryover funds.

MILITARY MEDICAL MANPOWER

The Committee remains concerned that the Department of Defense's handling of military medical billet reductions, in response to the reforms mandated by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114–328), has negatively impacted access to quality healthcare services for service members and their beneficiaries, particularly in areas deemed high risk and health shortage areas by the Department of Health and Human Services, like mental health care providers.

In addition, the Committee continues to direct the Services' Surgeons Generals to submit vacancy rates by occupational code to the congressional defense committees on a quarterly basis and further directs the Director of the Defense Health Agency to submit vacancy rates among military and civilian medical personnel by location and specialty to the congressional defense committees on a quarterly basis.

Furthermore, these quarterly updates from both the Services' Surgeons Generals and the Director of the Defense Health Agency should include the impacts to medical care for service members and their beneficiaries due to these shortfalls, an estimate of costs or resource constraints driven by these shortfalls, and plan to fill these vacancies. The update should also include proposed incentive structures related to filling these vacancies.

ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS

The Committee continues to support efforts the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs have undertaken with regard to electronic health records and the health record system. It is the Committee's ongoing expectation that the Departments' electronic health record systems will be interoperable with seamless compatibility. The Committee directs the Director of the Federal Electronic Health Record Modernization (FEHRM) program office to continue to submit quarterly reports on the progress of interoperability between the two Departments to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees and the House and Senate Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Appropriations Subcommittees.

The Program Executive Officer of Defense Healthcare Management Systems (PEO DHMS), in conjunction with the Director of the FEHRM and the Director of the Defense Health Agency, is directed to submit quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on the cost of the program, including any indirect costs funded outside of the DHMS Modernization Electronic Health

Record program; and the schedule of the program, to include milestones, knowledge points, and acquisition timelines, as well as quarterly obligations. In addition, the Committee directs the PEO DHMS to continue to brief the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on a quarterly basis, coinciding with the report submission.

The Department of Defense's electronic health record system, MHS GENESIS, completed full deployment and is transitioning into the Capability Support phase focused on end user experience. The Committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to continue quarterly performance reviews of MHS GENESIS with a focus on whether the program is meeting expected cost, schedule, scope, quality, and risk mitigation expectations, to include system enhancements and expects the PEO DHMS will provide the Comptroller General of the United States regular and in-depth access to the program to facilitate these reviews.

MEDICAL RESEARCH

The Committee continues to monitor the transition of medical research conducted by the United States Army Medical Research and Material Command to the Defense Health Agency Research and Development organization to ensure that core medical research funding is responsive to the needs of service members. Additionally, the Committee recommendation for fiscal year 2027 includes $916,500,000 for the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP) to fund high-risk, high-reward medical research. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees a request for prior approval for any changes in management structure; functional alignment; or the two-tiered, peer-reviewed process proposed for the CDMRP program, not less than 30 days prior to any proposed changes taking place.

Additionally, the Committee continues to support the use of agile contracting methods, such as other transaction agreements, that may help mitigate the impact on medical readiness through public-private partnerships and encourages the Department of Defense to continue to leverage these mechanisms to ensure expeditious delivery of medical solutions.

PEER-REVIEWED CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends $145,000,000 for the peer-reviewed breast cancer program, $10,000,000 for peer-reviewed endometrial cancer research program, $15,000,000 for peer-reviewed kidney cancer research program, $20,000,000 for peer-reviewed lung cancer research program, $15,000,000 for peer-reviewed melanoma research program, $50,000,000 for peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research program, $20,000,000 for peer-reviewed pancreatic cancer research program, $110,000,000 for peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program, $17,500,000 for peer-reviewed rare cancer research program, and $165,000,000 for peer-reviewed cancer research program that would research cancers not addressed in the aforementioned programs currently executed by the Department of Defense.

The funds provided in the peer-reviewed cancer research program are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: bladder cancer; blood cancers; brain cancer (including glioblastoma); colorectal cancer; esophageal cancer; germ cell cancers; liver cancer; lymphoma; metastatic cancers; other skin cancers; myeloma; neuroblastoma; pediatric brain tumors; pediatric, adolescent, and young adult cancers; sarcoma; stomach cancer; and thyroid cancer. The inclusion of the individual rare cancers research program shall not prohibit the peer-reviewed cancer research program from funding the previously mentioned cancers or cancer subtypes that may be rare by definition.

The funds provided under the peer-reviewed cancer research program shall be used only for the purposes listed above. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a report, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research program. For each research area, the report shall include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research, and the relevance of the research to service members and their families.

The Committee commends the Department of Defense for ensuring that projects funded through the various peer-reviewed cancer research programs maintain a focus on issues of significance to military populations and the warfighter. This includes promoting collaborative research proposals between Department of Defense researchers and non-military research institutions. These collaborations leverage the knowledge, infrastructure, and access to clinical populations that the partners bring to the research effort. Additionally, promoting these collaborations provides a valuable recruitment and retention incentive for military medical and research personnel. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to continue to emphasize the importance of these collaborations between military and non-military researchers throughout the peer-reviewed process.

PEER-REVIEWED ALS RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee notes that there is a well-documented correlation between military service and the development of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Service members are twice as likely to develop ALS as the general population, although the etiology of ALS and its linkage to military service remains largely unknown. Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes $80,000,000 for the peer-reviewed ALS research program to maintain pre-clinical research in the program and expand the program to grant funds in support of clinical trials. The Committee notes that the ALS research program has a unique ability to fund clinical trials for new ALS treatments and cures with additional funding while making an impact in pre-clinical research.

PEER-REVIEWED TOXIC EXPOSURES RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee is concerned by the number of known and unknown toxins service members are exposed to as part of their military service. The Committee remains committed to veterans affected by Gulf War Illness and acknowledges a commonality be-

tween this community and others exposed to substances including, burn pit exposure, that result in multiple, diverse symptoms and health abnormalities. Therefore, the Committee recommends $15,000,000 for the peer-reviewed toxic exposures research program. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) is directed to select research projects of clear scientific merit and direct relevance to military exposures to toxic substances, including toxic industrial chemicals, materials, metals, and minerals. The inclusion of the toxic exposures research program shall not prohibit research in any other congressionally directed research program that may be associated with conditions or health abnormalities linked to toxic exposures.

As with other research programs, the Committee expects projects funded through the peer-reviewed toxic exposures research program to maintain a focus on issues of significance to military populations and the warfighter and that the program shall promote collaborative research proposals between Department of Defense researchers and non-military research institutions.

PEER-REVIEWED ARTHRITIS RESEARCH

The Committee remains concerned by the detrimental impact of arthritis on service members and notes its impact on retention. Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes $10,000,000 for the peer-reviewed arthritis research program. Funding provided in the peer-reviewed arthritis research program shall be used to conduct research on all forms of arthritis including osteoarthritis, posttraumatic arthritis, and rheumatoid arthritis. Further, arthritis research shall not be provided for in other peer-reviewed research programs, and the inclusion of the peer-reviewed arthritis research program shall not prohibit research in any other congressionally directed research program that may be associated with conditions or health abnormalities related to arthritis.

COMBAT CASUALTY CARE

The Committee recognizes the critical role of combat casualty care research in advancing battlefield medicine, preserving warfighter lives, and sustaining medical readiness. However, the Committee is concerned that current resourcing does not adequately address emerging medical challenges posed by recent conflicts. Accordingly, the Committee recommendation includes an increase of $20,000,000 for combat casualty care research to support extramural research collaborations and directs the Department of Defense to prioritize efforts in prolonged casualty care, hemorrhage control and blood products, autonomous evacuation, advanced wound care, and other innovative efforts in this field. The Committee directs that this funding be separate from, and not used to supplant, intramural laboratory sustainment activities. Further, the Committee encourages the work to be conducted in close coordination with the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) and Services' Surgeons General to ensure investments are operationally relevant, field-informed, and aligned with the requirements of future large-scale combat operations. The Committee notes that future conflicts are likely to involve contested environments, includ-

ing those associated with emerging threats such as persistent unmanned systems.

FULLY OPERATIONAL HOSPITALS AT ARMY TRAINING CENTERS

The Committee recognizes the critical role that Army Training Centers play in preparing forces for combat operations and emphasizes the importance of maintaining ready access to trauma, emergency, and casualty care capabilities at these locations. The Committee remains concerned about staffing challenges at medical facilities supporting major training centers, including Fort Polk, Fort Leonard Wood, and Fort Irwin, and the potential impact on medical readiness and patient care during high-tempo training activities. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Director of the Defense Health Agency and the Army Surgeon General, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army to prioritize staffing and resource requirements necessary to sustain fully operational medical capabilities at Army Training Centers.

USUHS CHSR PHARMACEUTICAL SUPPLY CHAIN

The Committee recognizes the importance of ensuring the quality, resilience, and security of the Military Health Program pharmaceutical supply chain. The Committee directs the Defense Health Agency, in coordination with the Defense Logistics Agency as appropriate, to expand and operationalize the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS) Center for Health Services Research (CHSR) pharmaceutical supply chain portfolio by: (1) defining a bounded essential medicines list; (2) employing independent laboratory testing to assess product quality; (3) generating a repeatable risk scoring methodology incorporating quality and supply chain security factors, including country-of-origin transparency; and (4) describing how outputs will be integrated into procurement. The Committee further directs the Director of the Defense Health Agency to brief the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment on implementation status, barriers, and a plan to scale.

SUICIDE PREVENTION

The Committee commends the Department of Defense's efforts to foster supportive environments, improve access to mental health care, reduce stigma and other barriers to care, strengthen suicide prevention training, and promote a culture of weapon safety. However, the Committee remains concerned by the persistent incidence of suicide across the Military Services and believes continued attention to prevention and intervention is warranted.

The Committee notes that the Department's Annual Report on Suicide in the Military identifies opportunities for collaboration among the Department, academic institutions, and non-governmental organizations. The Committee encourages the Department to evaluate opportunities to expand and share successful approaches across the Military Services, including but not limited to Counseling on Access to Lethal Means (CALM), Resources Exist, Asking Can Help (REACH), evidence-based sleep health interventions, and other initiatives that improve mental health outcomes.

The Committee further encourages continued collaboration between the Defense Health Agency and the Services' Surgeons Generals, to improve awareness of available resources, share best practices, identify emerging needs, and strengthen suicide prevention efforts across the Department. The Committee is particularly interested in understanding how the re-alignment of the Defense Suicide Prevention Office to the Defense Health Agency improves suicide prevention oversight, coordination, and support for service members and their families.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Director of the Defense Health Agency, in coordination with the Services' Surgeons Generals, to submit a report not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, on suicide prevention efforts across the Department. At a minimum, the report should include: (1) an overview of the current suicide prevention programs, resources, and requirements; (2) a discussion on how the Defense Suicide Prevention Office is expected to improve coordination, training, and accountability; (3) an assessment of how programs and interventions address the unique operational requirements of service members and their families; (4) a discussion on how existing programs target contributing factors to suicides such as poor sleep hygiene, alcohol and substance use, and other risk factors; (5) timelines associated with expanding any collaboration efforts outlined above; (6) any identified resource gaps, challenges, budget shortfalls, and planned mitigation efforts.

Finally, the Committee recognizes that suicide prevention and mental health needs may differ across the Military Services due to the unique nature of their missions and populations. Therefore, the Committee directs a briefing to the congressional defense committees from the Services' Surgeons Generals, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act on Service-specific suicide prevention initiatives, associated resource challenges, and emerging requirements.

SUPPORT FOR ACCESS TO MENTAL HEALTH CARE AND TRAINING

The Committee remains concerned about the shortage of current and prospective mental health care professionals, including social workers, clinical psychologists, and psychiatrists, for service members and beneficiaries. To address the shortage across the military health system, the Committee urges the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), the Director of the Defense Health Agency, and the Services' Surgeons Generals, to review the tools available to the Department of Defense to increase the number of mental health professionals it educates, trains, hires, and retains. This review should consider how the Health Professions Scholarship Program and programming through the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences could be expanded to increase the number of mental health-related scholarships granted with the goal of increasing the pipeline of mental health providers. Further, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to exercise available authorities to improve recruitment and retention of mental health providers, including a review of special and incentive pays and the grades of civilian mental healthcare provider billets.

ACCESS TO CHIROPRACTIC CARE

The Committee remains concerned about the access to services provided by chiropractors for service members and their families, especially in light of recent closures of chiropractic clinics across several military treatment facilities. The Committee believes that chiropractic care plays a key role in providing alternate choices for service members to manage pain and encourages the Director of the Defense Health Agency to ensure access to chiropractor care is maintained as the Defense Health Agency balances care delivery across the In-House Care budget sub-activity within the Combat and Operational Medicine Program and the Private Sector Care Program. Furthermore, the Committee encourages the Director of the Defense Health Agency to explore ways to expand access to chiropractic care across the Military Health Program.

METASTATIC CANCER RESEARCH

The Committee looks forward to receiving the briefing by the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) required under this heading in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 (House Report 119–162) by the mandated deadline.

MILITARY FAMILY LIMB LOSS AND LIMB DIFFERENCE SUPPORT

The Committee recognizes the unique challenges faced by military families caring for dependents with limb loss or limb difference and the important role that peer mentorship, care coordination, and educational resources play in supporting rehabilitation and long-term quality of life. The Committee encourages the Director of the Defense Health Agency to strengthen coordination of nonclinical support services available to TRICARE beneficiaries affected by limb loss or limb difference, including care coordination, peer support networks, educational resources, and community reintegration programs. The Committee urges the Director to consider supporting partnerships with nonprofit organizations with demonstrated expertise in providing peer mentorship, family support, and care coordination for individuals with limb loss or limb difference, including those with experience serving pediatric populations and military families. The Committee directs the Director of the Defense Health Agency to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, on current efforts and plans to expand access to these resources.

MATERNAL HEALTH SERVICES AND SUPPORT

The Committee recognizes the importance of maternal health services in supporting the readiness, health, and well-being of service members and their families. The Committee encourages the Defense Health Agency, in coordination with Services' Surgeons Generals, to continue evaluating opportunities to strengthen access to maternal health services, including maternal health screening and education, perinatal mental health resources, and maternal and infant feeding support services. The Committee supports efforts to improve awareness among providers and beneficiaries of the avail-

able maternal health resources and best practices for addressing maternal health concerns. Furthermore, the Committee encourages the Department of Defense to assess the availability of maternal health professionals, including obstetricians, gynecologists, and other maternal support personnel. The Committee remains supportive of opportunities for the Defense Health Agency to strengthen recruitment, training, and retention in these fields.

CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION	55,972	55,972	0
TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE	55,972	55,972	0

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
COUNTER-NARCOTICS SUPPORT	**658,191**	**680,000**	**21,809**
Program increase		21,809	
DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM	**135,745**	**143,745**	**8,000**
Program increase—Young Marines		8,000	
NATIONAL GUARD COUNTER-DRUG PROGRAM	**117,418**	**305,000**	**187,582**
Program increase		187,582	
NATIONAL GUARD COUNTER-DRUG SCHOOLS	**6,648**	**30,000**	**23,352**
Program increase		23,352	
TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	**918,002**	**1,158,745**	**240,743**

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER–DRUG ACTIVITIES SUPPORT

The Committee recognizes the importance of the Department of Defense's drug interdiction and counter-drug activities in disrupting the flow of illicit drugs that kill thousands of Americans every year. The Committee therefore has increased funding for the program over the fiscal year 2026 enacted level and the fiscal year 2027 request. Further, the Secretary of Defense is directed to ensure international programs requested and supported by this account do not duplicate programs funded by the Defense Security Cooperation Agency in the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide account. Any congressional notification submitted pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 284 shall identify any resources within the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide account that are allocated for similar or related purposes.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The Committee recommends the following appropriations for the Office of Inspector General:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	501,371	501,371	0
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, CYBER	2,073	2,073	0
PROCUREMENT	1,393	1,393	0
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION	1,506	1,506	0
TOTAL, OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL	506,343	506,343	0

TITLE VII

RELATED AGENCIES

NATIONAL AND MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS

The National Intelligence Program and the Military Intelligence Program budgets funded in this Act consist primarily of resources for the Director of National Intelligence, including the Intelligence Community Management Account; the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA); the Defense Intelligence Agency; the National Reconnaissance Office; the National Security Agency; the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency; the intelligence services of the Departments of the Army, Navy, and Air Force; and the CIA Retirement and Disability System Fund.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to classified programs are addressed in a separate, detailed, and comprehensive classified annex. The Intelligence Community, the Department of Defense, and other organizations are expected to fully comply with the recommendations and directions in the classified annex accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2027.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM FUND

Fiscal year 2027 budget request	$514,000,000
Committee recommendation	$514,000,000
Change from budget request	$0

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $514,000,000 for the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) Retirement and Disability System Fund. This is a mandatory account.

This appropriation provides payments of benefits to qualified beneficiaries in accordance with the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for Certain Employees (Public Law 88–643), as amended by Public Law 94–522. This statute authorized the establishment of the CIA Retirement and Disability System Fund for certain employees and authorized the establishment and maintenance of a fund from which benefits would be paid to those beneficiaries.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2027 budget request	$591,000,000
Committee recommendation	$575,350,000
Change from budget request	−$15,650,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $575,350,000 for the Intelligence Community Management Account.

TITLE VIII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Title VIII of the accompanying Act includes 152 general provisions. A brief description of each general provision follows.

Section 8001 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Section 8002 provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Section 8003 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless expressly provided for a greater period of availability elsewhere in the Act.

Section 8004 limits the obligation of certain funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 8005 provides general transfer authority of funds to other military functions.

Section 8006 provides that the tables titled Explanation of Project Level Adjustments shall be carried out in the manner provided by the tables to the same extent as if the tables were included in the text of this Act.

Section 8007 provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year.

Section 8008 provides for limitations on the use of transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Section 8009 provides that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8010 provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multiyear procurement contracts.

Section 8011 provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs.

Section 8012 provides for limitations on the use of the Defense Modernization Account.

Section 8013 prohibits the use of funds to influence congressional action on any matters pending before Congress.

Section 8014 restricts the use of funds to reduce, or prepare to reduce, the number of deployed and non-deployed strategic delivery vehicles and launchers.

Section 8015 provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protege Program.

Section 8016 provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Section 8017 prohibits funds made available in this Act for the support of any non-appropriated activity of the Department of Defense that procures malt beverages and wine except under certain conditions.

Section 8018 prohibits funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of certain surplus firearms and small arms ammunition or ammunition components.

Section 8019 provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Section 8020 provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Section 8021 provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units that are excess to the needs of the Air Force.

Section 8022 provides for the availability of funds for the mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands resulting from Department of Defense activities.

Section 8023 prohibits funds for the Defense Media Activity from being used for national, or international, political or psychological activities.

Section 8024 provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Section 8025 prohibits funding from being used to establish new Department of Defense federally funded research and development centers (FFRDCs), with certain limitations.

Section 8026 defines the congressional defense committees as the Armed Services Committees of the House and Senate and the Subcommittees on Defense of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

Section 8027 defines the congressional intelligence committees as being the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Subcommittees on Defense of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

Section 8028 provides for competition between private firms and Department of Defense depot maintenance activities.

Section 8029 requires the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act, chapter 83 of title 41, U.S.C.

Section 8030 provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plates melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Section 8031 provides for the revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy American Act.

Section 8032 prohibits funding from being used for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.

Section 8033 prohibits funding from being used to purchase supercomputers which are not manufactured in the United States.

Section 8034 provides for a waiver of "Buy American" provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Section 8035 prohibits the use of funds for the purchase or manufacture of a United States flag unless such flags are treated as covered items under section 4862(b) of title 10, U.S.C.

Section 8036 provides for the availability of funds contained in the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account.

Section 8037 provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than $350,000.

Section 8038 provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative Program.

Section 8039 prohibits the sale of tobacco products in military resale outlets below the most competitive price in the local community.

Section 8040 prohibits the use of Working Capital Funds to purchase specified investment items.

Section 8041 provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, the Working Capital Fund, or other programs as specified.

Section 8042 places certain limitations on the use of funds made available in this Act to establish field operating agencies.

Section 8043 places restrictions on converting to contractor performance an activity or function of the Department of Defense unless it meets certain guidelines provided.

(RESCISSIONS)

Section 8044 provides for the rescission of $405,165,000 from the following programs:

2025 Appropriations:	
Procurement of Ammunition, Army:	
Reactive Armor Tiles	$19,165,000
2026 Appropriations:	
Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund:	
Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund	$20,000,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
Joint Effects Targeting System	$40,000,000
Synthetic Training Environment	$5,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
SBIR/STTR	$84,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:	
SBIR/STTR	$94,200,000
Defense Modernization Account, Defense-Wide:	
SBIR/STTR	$142,800,000

Section 8045 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Section 8046 prohibits funding from being obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless specifically appropriated for that purpose.

Section 8047 prohibits the transfer of Department of Defense and Central Intelligence Agency drug interdiction and counter-drug activities funds to other agencies.

Section 8048 provides funding for Red Cross and United Service Organizations grants.

Section 8049 provides funds for the Small Business Innovation Research program and the Small Business Technology Transfer program.

Section 8050 prohibits funding from being used for contractor bonuses being paid due to business restructuring.

Section 8051 provides transfer authority for the pay of military personnel in connection with support and services for eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Section 8052 provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Section 8053 limits funds for the retirement of C–40 aircraft.

Section 8054 provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use, or inventory requirements.

Section 8055 prohibits funding in this Act from being used for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Section 8056 provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8057 provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Section 8058 provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Section 8059 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any nongovernmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center-fire cartridge and is designated as "armor piercing" except for demilitarization purposes.

Section 8060 provides for a waiver by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Section 8061 provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Army to other activities of the federal government for classified purposes.

Section 8062 prohibits funding to separate, or to consolidate from within, the National Intelligence Program budget from the Department of Defense budget.

Section 8063 provides the authority to transfer funding from operation and maintenance accounts for the Army, Navy, and Air Force for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Section 8064 provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Section 8065 provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Navy to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund.

Section 8066 prohibits the modification of command and control relationships to give Fleet Forces Command operational and administrative control of United States Navy forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet.

Section 8067 requires notification to the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees for the rapid acquisition and deployment of capabilities.

Section 8068 provides funding for Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Section 8069 provides funding for prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Section 8070 provides that funds made available in this Act for intelligence and intelligence related activities not otherwise authorized in the Intelligence Authorization Act for the current fiscal year are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947.

Section 8071 prohibits funding from being used to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Section 8072 prohibits funding from being used for the research, development, test and evaluation; procurement; or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Section 8073 provides for special transfer authority for ship construction programs.

Section 8074 prohibits funding from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Section 8075 prohibits funding from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Section 8076 limits the availability of funding provided for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence beyond the current fiscal year, except for funds appropriated for research and technology, which shall remain available for the current and the following fiscal years.

Section 8077 provides limitations on the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy appropriation.

Section 8078 provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence for the current fiscal year.

Section 8079 provides for limitations on funding provided for the National Intelligence Program to be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming or transfer of funds in accordance with section 102A(d) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3024(d)).

Section 8080 provides that any agency receiving funds made available in this Act shall post on a public website any report required to be submitted to Congress with certain exceptions.

Section 8081 prohibits funds for federal contracts in excess of $1,000,000 unless the contractor meets certain conditions.

Section 8082 provides funds for transfer to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Section 8083 provides for the purchase of heavy and light armored vehicles for the physical security of personnel or for force protection purposes up to a limit of $700,000 per vehicle, and for the purchase of passenger motor vehicles for use by military and civilian employees of the Department of Defense in the United States Central Command area of responsibility up to a limit of $75,000 per vehicle.

Section 8084 provides the Director of National Intelligence with general transfer authority with certain limitations.

Section 8085 authorizes the use of funds in the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy account to purchase auxiliary vessels for the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Section 8086 directs the Secretary of Defense to post grant awards on a public website in a searchable format.

Section 8087 prohibits the use of funds by the National Security Agency targeting United States persons under authorities granted in the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act.

Section 8088 places restrictions on transfer amounts available to pay salaries for non-Department of Defense personnel.

Section 8089 provides that operation and maintenance funds may be used for any purposes related to the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Section 8090 prohibits the use of funds to award a new TAO Fleet Oiler or any Frigate program contract for the acquisition of certain components unless those components are manufactured in the United States with some exceptions.

Section 8091 prohibits funds for the development and design of certain future naval ships unless any contract specifies that all hull, mechanical, and electrical components are manufactured in the United States.

Section 8092 prohibits certain transfers from the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account.

Section 8093 prohibits the use of funds for gaming or entertainment that involves nude entertainers.

Section 8094 prohibits funding for information technology systems that do not have pornographic content filters.

Section 8095 prohibits the use of funds for ceremonial honors when doing so would undermine military readiness.

Section 8096 prohibits funds in the Act from being used to enter into a contract or provide a loan to any corporation that has any unpaid federal tax liability.

Section 8097 requires software developed, procured, or operated using covered funds to adhere to a modular open systems approach with a government-owned interface layer that is separate from vendor-specific application logic.

Section 8098 provides funds for agile development, test and evaluation, procurement, production and modification, and the operation and maintenance for certain software pilot programs.

Section 8099 prohibits funds from being used to transfer the National Reconnaissance Office to the Space Force.

Section 8100 prohibits the use of funding in contravention of the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.

Section 8101 prohibits the use of funds to provide arms, training, or other assistance to the Azov Battalion.

Section 8102 provides the authority to accept and retain contributions from foreign governments to carry out security assistance authorized by section 1250 of Public Law 114–92.

Section 8103 provides for the obligation of funds in anticipation of receipt of contributions from the Government of Kuwait.

Section 8104 provides funding for International Security Cooperation Programs.

Section 8105 provides funding to reimburse certain countries in accordance with section 1226 of Public Law 114–92.

Section 8106 prohibits funding from being used in contravention of the War Powers Resolution.

Section 8107 prohibits funding from being used in violation of the Child Soldiers Prevention Act of 2008.

Section 8108 prohibits funds for any member of the Taliban.

Section 8109 provides that certain support to friendly foreign countries be made in accordance with section 8005 of this Act.

Section 8110 prohibits funds from being used to enter into a contract with Rosoboronexport.

Section 8111 requires notification of the receipt of contributions from foreign governments.

Section 8112 requires the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to report on any unplanned activity or exercise.

Section 8113 directs the Secretary of Defense to allocate amounts made available from the Creating Helpful Incentives to Produce Semiconductors (CHIPS) for America Defense Fund:

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ALLOCATION OF FUNDS: CHIPS AND SCIENCE ACT FISCAL YEAR 2027

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	
Budget Activity 02, Applied Research:	
Microelectronics Commons	$72,979,000
Budget Activity 03, Advanced Technology Development:	
Microelectronics Commons	$264,648,000
Budget Activity 04, Advanced Component Development and Prototypes:	
Microelectronics Commons	$62,373,000

Section 8114 requires notification if a foreign base is opened or closed.

Section 8115 prohibits funds to establish permanent bases in Iraq or exercise United States control over Iraq or Syria oil resources.

Section 8116 provides security assistance to the Government of Jordan.

Section 8117 provides guidance regarding implementation of "Policy for Assisted Reproductive Services for Benefit of Seriously or Severely Ill/Injured (Category II or III) Active Duty Service Member."

Section 8118 provides the authority for the Secretary of Defense to obligate funds to modify up to nine F–35 aircraft to a test configuration.

Section 8119 prohibits the use of funds to integrate an alternative engine on any F–35 aircraft.

Section 8120 provides for the use of funds for the rapid acquisition and deployment of supplies and associated support services.

Section 8121 provides the authority for Defense Innovation Unit Fielding funds for expenses related to agile research, development, test and evaluation, procurement, production, modification, and operation and maintenance requirements, including initial acquisition of end-items for operational use.

Section 8122 prohibits the use of funds to be used to support any activity associated with the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

Section 8123 prohibits funds for any work to be performed by EcoHealth Alliance, Inc. in China on research supported by the Government of the People's Republic of China.

Section 8124 prohibits the use of funds to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer to the United States of detainees from United States Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Section 8125 prohibits the use of funds to transfer any individual detained at United States Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the custody or control of the individual's country of origin or other foreign country.

Section 8126 prohibits the use of funds to construct, acquire, or modify any facility in the United States to house any individual detained at United States Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Section 8127 prohibits the use of funds to carry out the closure of the United States Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Section 8128 limits funds to divest, or prepare to divest, U–2 aircraft.

Section 8129 requires the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly reports to House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on execution of funds provided from Public Law 119–21.

Section 8130 requires the Secretary of Defense to obligate funds for the Next Generation Fighter program.

Section 8131 provides funds for the Platform (Offshore) Supply Vessel Program.

Section 8132 prohibits funds for the cancellation of the UH–60 Blackhawk aircraft program.

Section 8133 prohibits funds for the cancellation of the E–7 Wedgetail aircraft program.

Section 8134 provides funds for the Taiwan Security Cooperation Initiative.

Section 8135 provides authority for the Secretary of Defense to reimburse the Federated States of Micronesia.

Section 8136 provides funds for the replacement of defense articles and reimbursement of defense services provided to Taiwan.

Section 8137 prohibits funds to pause, cancel, or terminate Next-Generation Overhead Persistent Infrared Geosynchronous Earth Orbit and Next-Generation Overhead Persistent Infrared Polar programs.

Section 8138 provides limitations on military construction transaction authorities.

Section 8139 prohibits funds to divest F–15 aircraft.

Section 8140 prohibits funding to remove a Chinese military company from the list required by section 1260H of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021.

Section 8141 provides the authority for Defense Autonomous Warfare Group and Counter-Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems (JIATF 401) funds for expenses related to agile research, development, test and evaluation, procurement, production modification, and operation and maintenance requirements, including initial acquisition of end-items for operational use.

Section 8142 requires proceeds from the sale by the Secretary of Defense of any equity security, equity warrant, or similar instrument shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury.

Section 8143 requires reporting no less than every 60 days on financial management and contracting details for unclassified procurement accounts and unclassified research, development, test and evaluation accounts.

Section 8144 provides for efficiencies from business process modernization and artificial intelligence deployment.

Section 8145 requires the United States Southern Command to assume combatant command responsibility for activities related to Mexico.

Section 8146 prohibits funds for the United Nations Relief and Works Agency.

Section 8147 limits funds for the Department of the Navy from entering into a contract to build the lead ship of the battleship program until certain criteria are met.

Section 8148 provides funds to improve military readiness.

Section 8149 provides funds for a combatant commanders contingency fund.

Section 8150 provides funds for innovation, expansion, and acceleration of qualification and certification activities and technical data management to enhance competition in the defense industrial base.

Section 8151 provides funds for on premises infrastructure and compute capital expenses for hardware, electronics and network equipment, storage, and resiliency.

Section 8152 prohibits funding to carry out the relocation, consolidation, or realignment of any Army Basic Combat Training unit.

Section 8153 prohibits funding to conduct or support research using human fetal tissue if such tissue is obtained pursuant to an induced abortion.

Section 8154 prohibits funding for surgical procedures or hormone therapies for the purposes of sex-rejecting procedures.

Section 8155 prohibits funding for diversity equity and inclusion initiatives that promote or advance Critical Race Theory.

Section 8156 prohibits funding for any member of Hamas, Hezbollah, the Houthis, or the Taliban.

Section 8157 prohibits funding to promote, host, facilitate, or support events that violate the Department of Defense Joint Ethics Regulation.

Section 8158 prohibits funding to implement, administer, or carry out the Department of Defense memorandum dated October 20, 2022.

Section 8159 prohibits funding to take any discriminatory action against a person, wholly or partially, on the basis that such person speaks, or acts, in accordance with a sincerely held religious belief, or moral conviction, that marriage is, or should be recognized as, a union of one man and one woman.

Section 8160 redesignates the Department of Defense as the Department of War.

Section 8161 establishes a spending reduction account.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORT REQUIREMENTS

The following materials are submitted in accordance with various requirements of the Rules of the House of Representatives:

MINORITY VIEWS

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(a)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 6(i) of the Committee Rules, the views of the minority party of the House of Representatives, are printed below:

The Fiscal Year 2027 Defense Appropriations Bill totals $1.072 trillion. The Administration's total request for both mandatory and discretionary levels $1.5 trillion for the coming fiscal year for defense. This sum is an unprecedented topline request.

Broken out, this is a base budget increase of over $200 billion above last year's enacted level; mandatory funding is requested at $350 billion. The request is nearly a 44% increase in defense spending over last year.

This massive increase for defense comes as the President also proposes to cut domestic investments in the non-defense budget by $73 billion, or 10%. We know that cuts to other appropriations bills will deeply impact our national security—particularly cuts to education and diplomacy.

In addition to the immense cuts to non-defense programs, we continue to have concerns about the Administration's split between discretionary funding that we control, and mandatory funding that we do not.

The Administration's reconciliation request includes many of their priorities such as Golden Dome, Drone Dominance, munitions and defense industrial base support. As of today, there is no guarantee it will pass. And if it does not, it will be nearly impossible to fix all the "broken glass" in a conferenced bill. The Administration must forego this approach in the fiscal year 2028 process.

In addition to the $1 trillion provided in fiscal year 2026 and the $1.5 trillion requested in Fiscal year 2027, the Administration is now requesting an additional $67 billion for the President's war with Iran. As of the writing of this document, the Committee is still waiting for the details of what this request encompasses. What the President said would be a six week conflict has evolved into a four month mess.

Our brave men and women in the military have won this war tactically, however, the strategic costs to our nation have been immense. The question must be answered at the end of this crisis—what will we have accomplished and at what cost?

Unfortunately, yet again, the bill did not include additional military support funding for Ukraine. The hostilities between Russia and Ukraine have now lasted longer than World War I—more than 1500 days. Every enacted Defense bill for the past eleven years has included funding for Ukraine and there remains broad Congressional support for the funding these programs. Therefore, we believe funding should be included. We look forward to working through the process to ensure funding continues.

It was disappointing that an amendment to follow the language in Fiscal Year 2026 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 119–60) for troop levels in European Command (EUCOM) failed in committee. The Administration's recent announcement of a six-month review of our personnel in NATO countries causes concern for many members, especially given the Administration's rash decisions over the past six months on troop levels and exercises in the EUCOM area of operations. Any additional removal of personnel and assets from EUCOM is exactly what Putin is hoping that the United States will do.

The bill now will include support for changing the name of the Department of Defense to the Department of War. This cosmetic alteration could cost up to $125 million to cover the costs of the necessary changes. When Congress and the Truman Administration reorganized our national security agencies in 1947, they made the decision to create the Department of Defense—and bring all the military services underneath it. The reason they chose "defense" instead of "war" was because they did not want to be overtly aggressive towards the Soviet Union. We should heed their wise decision. War is something we should want to avoid.

Finally, we were optimistic that going into the full committee markup the bill included only one poison pill provision. Unfortunately, an amendment to restore anti-LGBTQ, anti-DEI, anti-CRT and restrictions on reproductive health provisions were added to the bill in a party-line vote. Partisan riders bog down the appropriations process. They only extend deliberations until they are finally removed.

It should also be noted that none of these provisions are necessary under the current Administration. The Department of Defense does not conduct research on fetal tissue from abortions; does not have DEI programs; does not teach critical race and does not provide for the reproductive health needs of service members to the fullest extent necessary. Yet the attacks against equality for women, the LGBTQI community and diversity continue.

We are consistently concerned about what effect these provisions could have on recruitment and retention of military personnel. Any person, if they have the desire to serve, should have the opportunity to enlist in the U.S. Armed Forces. This bill should be laser focused on serving our warfighters and their families; that they have the equipment, training and support they need to execute their missions. It should not be focused on issues that divide us.

We have the most powerful military in the history of humankind. We are extraordinarily grateful to the men and women of our armed services who sacrifice everything to keep us safe. Their devotion to duty is exemplary, and it must be matched by the seriousness and sobriety of those at the very top of the chain of command.

For all of these reasons, we cannot yet support the bill as it is currently drafted. We look forward to working with the majority through the process of crafting a law that we all can support.

ROSA DELAURO.
BETTY MCCOLLUM.

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 1

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Ms. McCollum
Description of Motion: Reduces funding for the National Guard Quick Reaction Force and the D.C. Safe and Beautiful Initiative.
Results: Not adopted 25 yeas to 33 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aguilar	Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Bishop	Mr. Alford
Mr. Case	Mr. Amodei
Mr. Clyburn	Mrs. Bice
Ms. Dean	Mr. Calvert
Ms. DeLauro	Mr. Carter
Ms. Escobar	Mr. Ciscomani
Ms. Frankel	Mr. Cline
Mr. Harder	Mr. Cloud
Mr. Hoyer	Mr. Clyde
Mr. Ivey	Mr. Cole
Ms. Kaptur	Mr. Diaz-Balart
Ms. Lee	Mr. Edwards
Mr. Levin	Mr. Ellzey
Ms. McCollum	Mr. Fleischmann
Ms. Meng	Mr. Franklin
Mr. Morelle	Mr. Guest
Mr. Mrvan	Dr. Harris
Ms. Pingree	Mr. Joyce
Mr. Pocan	Mr. LaLota
Mr. Quigley	Ms. Maloy
Mrs. Torres	Mr. Moolenaar
Ms. Underwood	Mr. Moore
Ms. Wasserman Schultz	Mr. Newhouse
Mrs. Watson Coleman	Mr. Reschenthaler
	Mr. Rogers
	Mr. Rutherford
	Mr. Shreve
	Mr. Simpson
	Mr. Strong
	Mr. Valadao
	Mr. Womack
	Mr. Zinke

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 2

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Ms. DeLauro
Description of Motion: Incorporates defense reconciliation funding under appropriations accounts, terms and conditions and adds report language regarding a report on funding allocations.
Results: Not adopted 27 yeas to 32 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aguilar	Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Bishop	Mr. Alford
Mr. Case	Mrs. Bice
Mr. Clyburn	Mr. Calvert
Mr. Cuellar	Mr. Carter
Ms. Dean	Mr. Ciscomani
Ms. DeLauro	Mr. Cline
Ms. Escobar	Mr. Cloud
Ms. Frankel	Mr. Clyde
Mr. Harder	Mr. Cole
Mr. Hoyer	Mr. Diaz-Balart
Mr. Ivey	Mr. Edwards
Ms. Kaptur	Mr. Ellzey
Ms. Lee	Mr. Fleischmann
Mr. Levin	Mr. Franklin
Ms. McCollum	Mr. Guest
Ms. Meng	Dr. Harris
Mr. Morelle	Mr. Joyce
Mr. Mrvan	Mr. LaLota
Ms. Perez	Ms. Maloy
Ms. Pingree	Mr. Moolenaar
Mr. Pocan	Mr. Moore
Mr. Quigley	Mr. Newhouse
Mrs. Torres	Mr. Reschenthaler
Ms. Underwood	Mr. Rogers
Ms. Wasserman Schultz	Mr. Rutherford
Mrs. Watson Coleman	Mr. Shreve
	Mr. Simpson
	Mr. Strong
	Mr. Valadao
	Mr. Womack
	Mr. Zinke

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 3

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Ms. DeLauro
Description of Motion: Prohibition on the Office of Management and Budget's proposed rule related to federal grants.
Results: Not adopted 25 yeas to 33 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aguilar	Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Bishop	Mr. Alford
Mr. Case	Mr. Amodei
Mr. Clyburn	Mrs. Bice
Mr. Cuellar	Mr. Calvert
Ms. Dean	Mr. Carter
Ms. DeLauro	Mr. Ciscomani
Ms. Escobar	Mr. Cline
Ms. Frankel	Mr. Cloud
Mr. Harder	Mr. Clyde
Mr. Hoyer	Mr. Cole
Mr. Ivey	Mr. Diaz-Balart
Ms. Kaptur	Mr. Edwards
Mr. Levin	Mr. Ellzey
Ms. McCollum	Mr. Fleischmann
Ms. Meng	Mr. Franklin
Mr. Morelle	Mr. Guest
Mr. Mrvan	Dr. Harris
Ms. Perez	Mr. Joyce
Ms. Pingree	Mr. LaLota
Mr. Pocan	Ms. Maloy
Mr. Quigley	Mr. Moolenaar
Mrs. Torres	Mr. Moore
Ms. Wasserman Schultz	Mr. Newhouse
Mrs. Watson Coleman	Mr. Reschenthaler
	Mr. Rogers
	Mr. Rutherford
	Mr. Shreve
	Mr. Simpson
	Mr. Strong
	Mr. Valadao
	Mr. Womack
	Mr. Zinke

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 4

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Mr. Quigley
Description of Motion: Prohibits funds for United States troop withdrawal from Europe.
Results: Not adopted 26 yeas to 31 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aguilar	Mr. Alford
Mr. Bishop	Mrs. Bice
Mr. Case	Mr. Calvert
Mr. Clyburn	Mr. Carter
Mr. Cuellar	Mr. Ciscomani
Ms. Dean	Mr. Cline
Ms. DeLauro	Mr. Cloud
Ms. Escobar	Mr. Clyde
Ms. Frankel	Mr. Cole
Mr. Harder	Mr. Diaz-Balart
Mr. Hoyer	Mr. Edwards
Mr. Ivey	Mr. Ellzey
Ms. Kaptur	Mr. Fleischmann
Ms. Lee	Mr. Franklin
Mr. Levin	Mr. Guest
Ms. McCollum	Dr. Harris
Ms. Meng	Mr. Joyce
Mr. Morelle	Mr. LaLota
Mr. Mrvan	Ms. Maloy
Ms. Perez	Mr. Moolenaar
Ms. Pingree	Mr. Moore
Mr. Pocan	Mr. Newhouse
Mr. Quigley	Mr. Reschenthaler
Mrs. Torres	Mr. Rogers
Ms. Underwood	Mr. Rutherford
Ms. Wasserman Schultz	Mr. Shreve
	Mr. Simpson
	Mr. Strong
	Mr. Valadao
	Mr. Womack
	Mr. Zinke

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 5

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Ms. Frankel
Description of Motion: Limits the Secretary of Defense's travel budget by 25 percent until the Secretary provides Congress with a justification for the removal of any military officer previously determined by the Promotion Selection Board to be chosen for military promotion to the rank of general officer.
Results: Not adopted 26 yeas to 33 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aguilar	Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Bishop	Mr. Alford
Mr. Case	Mr. Amodei
Mr. Clyburn	Mrs. Bice
Mr. Cuellar	Mr. Calvert
Ms. Dean	Mr. Carter
Ms. DeLauro	Mr. Ciscomani
Ms. Escobar	Mr. Cline
Ms. Frankel	Mr. Cloud
Mr. Harder	Mr. Clyde
Mr. Hoyer	Mr. Cole
Mr. Ivey	Mr. Diaz-Balart
Ms. Kaptur	Mr. Edwards
Ms. Lee	Mr. Ellzey
Mr. Levin	Mr. Fleischmann
Ms. McCollum	Mr. Franklin
Ms. Meng	Mr. Guest
Mr. Morelle	Dr. Harris
Mr. Mrvan	Mr. Joyce
Ms. Perez	Mr. LaLota
Ms. Pingree	Ms. Maloy
Mr. Pocan	Mr. Moolenaar
Mrs. Torres	Mr. Moore
Ms. Underwood	Mr. Newhouse
Ms. Wasserman Schultz	Mr. Reschenthaler
Mrs. Watson Coleman	Mr. Rogers
	Mr. Rutherford
	Mr. Shreve
	Mr. Simpson
	Mr. Strong
	Mr. Valadao
	Mr. Womack
	Mr. Zinke

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 6

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Mr. Calvert
Description of Motion: Republican en bloc.
Results: Adopted 32 yeas to 25 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aderholt	Mr. Aguilar
Mr. Alford	Mr. Bishop
Mr. Amodei	Mr. Case
Mrs. Bice	Mr. Clyburn
Mr. Calvert	Ms. Dean
Mr. Carter	Ms. DeLauro
Mr. Ciscomani	Ms. Escobar
Mr. Cline	Ms. Frankel
Mr. Cloud	Mr. Harder
Mr. Clyde	Mr. Hoyer
Mr. Cole	Mr. Ivey
Mr. Diaz-Balart	Ms. Kaptur
Mr. Edwards	Ms. Lee
Mr. Ellzey	Mr. Levin
Mr. Fleischmann	Ms. McCollum
Mr. Franklin	Ms. Meng
Mr. Guest	Mr. Morelle
Dr. Harris	Mr. Mrvan
Mr. Joyce	Ms. Perez
Mr. LaLota	Ms. Pingree
Ms. Maloy	Mr. Pocan
Mr. Moolenaar	Mrs. Torres
Mr. Moore	Ms. Underwood
Mr. Newhouse	Ms. Wasserman Schultz
Mr. Rogers	Mrs. Watson Coleman
Mr. Rutherford	
Mr. Shreve	
Mr. Simpson	
Mr. Strong	
Mr. Valadao	
Mr. Womack	
Mr. Zinke	

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 7

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Mrs. Torres
Description of Motion: Prohibition on the use of funds for activities related to United States military forces in Iran.
Results: Not adopted 27 yeas to 31 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aguilar	Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Bishop	Mr. Alford
Mr. Case	Mr. Amodei
Mr. Clyburn	Mrs. Bice
Mr. Cuellar	Mr. Calvert
Ms. Dean	Mr. Carter
Ms. DeLauro	Mr. Ciscomani
Ms. Escobar	Mr. Cline
Ms. Frankel	Mr. Clyde
Mr. Harder	Mr. Cole
Mr. Hoyer	Mr. Diaz-Balart
Mr. Ivey	Mr. Edwards
Ms. Kaptur	Mr. Ellzey
Ms. Lee	Mr. Fleischmann
Mr. Levin	Mr. Franklin
Ms. McCollum	Mr. Guest
Ms. Meng	Dr. Harris
Mr. Morelle	Mrs. Hinson
Mr. Mrvan	Ms. Maloy
Ms. Perez	Mr. Moolenaar
Ms. Pingree	Mr. Moore
Mr. Pocan	Mr. Newhouse
Mr. Quigley	Mr. Reschenthaler
Mrs. Torres	Mr. Rogers
Ms. Underwood	Mr. Rutherford
Ms. Wasserman Schultz	Mr. Shreve
Mrs. Watson Coleman	Mr. Simpson
	Mr. Strong
	Mr. Valadao
	Mr. Womack
	Mr. Zinke

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 8

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Mr. Levin
Description of Motion: Report language directing the Government Accountability Office to investigate Department of Defense loans to Vulcan Elements.
Results: Not adopted 27 yeas to 32 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aguilar	Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Bishop	Mr. Alford
Mr. Case	Mr. Amodei
Mr. Clyburn	Mrs. Bice
Ms. Dean	Mr. Calvert
Ms. DeLauro	Mr. Carter
Ms. Escobar	Mr. Ciscomani
Ms. Frankel	Mr. Cline
Mr. Harder	Mr. Cloud
Mr. Hoyer	Mr. Clyde
Mr. Ivey	Mr. Cole
Ms. Kaptur	Mr. Diaz-Balart
Ms. Lee	Mr. Edwards
Mr. Levin	Mr. Ellzey
Ms. McCollum	Mr. Fleischmann
Ms. Meng	Mr. Franklin
Mr. Morelle	Mr. Guest
Mr. Mrvan	Dr. Harris
Mr. Newhouse	Mr. Joyce
Ms. Perez	Mr. LaLota
Ms. Pingree	Ms. Maloy
Mr. Pocan	Mr. Moolenaar
Mr. Quigley	Mr. Moore
Mrs. Torres	Mr. Reschenthaler
Ms. Underwood	Mr. Rogers
Ms. Wasserman Schultz	Mr. Rutherford
Mrs. Watson Coleman	Mr. Shreve
	Mr. Simpson
	Mr. Strong
	Mr. Valadao
	Mr. Womack
	Mr. Zinke

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 9

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Ms. Escobar
Description of Motion: Report language directing the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on readiness impacts of use of military installations for Immigration and Customs Enforcement facilities.
Results: Not adopted 25 yeas to 34 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aguilar	Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Bishop	Mr. Alford
Mr. Clyburn	Mr. Amodei
Ms. Dean	Mrs. Bice
Ms. DeLauro	Mr. Calvert
Ms. Escobar	Mr. Carter
Ms. Frankel	Mr. Ciscomani
Mr. Harder	Mr. Cline
Mr. Hoyer	Mr. Cloud
Mr. Ivey	Mr. Clyde
Ms. Kaptur	Mr. Cole
Ms. Lee	Mr. Diaz-Balart
Mr. Levin	Mr. Edwards
Ms. McCollum	Mr. Ellzey
Ms. Meng	Mr. Fleischmann
Mr. Morelle	Mr. Franklin
Mr. Mrvan	Mr. Guest
Ms. Perez	Dr. Harris
Ms. Pingree	Mrs. Hinson
Mr. Pocan	Mr. Joyce
Mr. Quigley	Mr. LaLota
Mrs. Torres	Ms. Maloy
Ms. Underwood	Mr. Moolenaar
Ms. Wasserman Schultz	Mr. Moore
Mrs. Watson Coleman	Mr. Newhouse
	Mr. Reschenthaler
	Mr. Rogers
	Mr. Rutherford
	Mr. Shreve
	Mr. Simpson
	Mr. Strong
	Mr. Valadao
	Mr. Womack
	Mr. Zinke

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 10

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Ms. Escobar
Description of Motion: Prohibition on the use of Worldwide Expeditionary Multiple Award Contract for civil immigration purposes.
Results: Not adopted 25 yeas to 34 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aguilar	Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Bishop	Mr. Alford
Mr. Clyburn	Mr. Amodei
Ms. Dean	Mrs. Bice
Ms. DeLauro	Mr. Calvert
Ms. Escobar	Mr. Carter
Ms. Frankel	Mr. Ciscomani
Mr. Harder	Mr. Cline
Mr. Hoyer	Mr. Cloud
Mr. Ivey	Mr. Clyde
Ms. Kaptur	Mr. Cole
Ms. Lee	Mr. Diaz-Balart
Mr. Levin	Mr. Edwards
Ms. McCollum	Mr. Ellzey
Ms. Meng	Mr. Fleischmann
Mr. Morelle	Mr. Franklin
Mr. Mrvan	Mr. Guest
Ms. Perez	Dr. Harris
Ms. Pingree	Mrs. Hinson
Mr. Pocan	Mr. Joyce
Mr. Quigley	Mr. LaLota
Mrs. Torres	Ms. Maloy
Ms. Underwood	Mr. Moolenaar
Ms. Wasserman Schultz	Mr. Moore
Mrs. Watson Coleman	Mr. Newhouse
	Mr. Reschenthaler
	Mr. Rogers
	Mr. Rutherford
	Mr. Shreve
	Mr. Simpson
	Mr. Strong
	Mr. Valadao
	Mr. Womack
	Mr. Zinke

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those against, are printed below:

Roll Call 11

Date: June 24, 2026
Measure: Department of Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2027
Motion by: Mr. Rogers
Description of Motion: Motion to report the bill to the House, as amended.
Results: Adopted 34 yeas to 27 nays

Members Voting Yea	*Members Voting Nay*
Mr. Aderholt	Mr. Aguilar
Mr. Alford	Mr. Bishop
Mr. Amodei	Mr. Case
Mrs. Bice	Mr. Clyburn
Mr. Calvert	Mr. Cuellar
Mr. Carter	Ms. Dean
Mr. Ciscomani	Ms. DeLauro
Mr. Cline	Ms. Escobar
Mr. Cloud	Ms. Frankel
Mr. Clyde	Mr. Harder
Mr. Cole	Mr. Hoyer
Mr. Diaz-Balart	Mr. Ivey
Mr. Edwards	Ms. Kaptur
Mr. Ellzey	Ms. Lee
Mr. Fleischmann	Mr. Levin
Mr. Franklin	Ms. McCollum
Mr. Guest	Ms. Meng
Dr. Harris	Mr. Morelle
Mrs. Hinson	Mr. Mrvan
Mr. Joyce	Ms. Perez
Mr. LaLota	Ms. Pingree
Ms. Maloy	Mr. Pocan
Mr. Moolenaar	Mr. Quigley
Mr. Moore	Mrs. Torres
Mr. Newhouse	Ms. Underwood
Mr. Reschenthaler	Ms. Wasserman Schultz
Mr. Rogers	Mrs. Watson Coleman
Mr. Rutherford	
Mr. Shreve	
Mr. Simpson	
Mr. Strong	
Mr. Valadao	
Mr. Womack	
Mr. Zinke	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the following table compares the levels of new budget authority provided in the bill with the appropriate allocation under section 302(b) of the Budget Act.

Funding amounts displayed under the fiscal year 2026 estimate column reflect fiscal year 2026 operating plans and other available information. Some funding amounts displayed under the fiscal year 2027 request column are displayed in accordance with the programs and accounts under current law and may not be directly comparable to the display in the fiscal year 2027 budget request submitted by the Office and Management and Budget.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2027

[Amounts in thousands]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
TITLE I—MILITARY PERSONNEL			
Military Personnel, Army	56,567,970	56,363,343	−204,627
Military Personnel, Navy	43,455,235	43,292,981	−162,254
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	17,853,485	17,815,905	−37,580
Military Personnel, Air Force	40,885,692	40,610,059	−275,633
Military Personnel, Space Force	1,824,853	1,780,331	−44,522
Reserve Personnel, Army	6,003,189	5,932,241	−70,948
Reserve Personnel, Navy	2,797,683	2,795,728	−1,955
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	1,065,922	1,058,142	−7,780
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	2,844,302	2,805,321	−38,981
National Guard Personnel, Army	11,555,125	11,492,865	−62,260
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	5,918,475	5,856,705	−61,770
Total, Title I, Military Personnel	190,771,931	189,803,621	−968,310
Total, including Tricare	205,121,200	204,152,890	−968,310
TITLE II—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
Operation and Maintenance, Army	64,114,683	63,994,579	−120,104
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	82,568,546	81,673,408	−895,138
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	15,988,817	15,728,097	−260,720
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	74,039,636	73,679,124	−360,512
Operation and Maintenance, Space Force	9,265,917	8,800,801	−465,116
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	64,251,581	64,165,575	−86,006
Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund (CTEF)	303,099	303,099	.....
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	3,112,634	3,091,135	−21,499
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,477,216	1,468,610	−8,606
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	404,680	399,974	−4,706
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	4,748,987	4,675,843	−73,144
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	8,703,824	8,657,460	−46,364
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	8,130,689	7,981,154	−149,535
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	21,698	21,698	.....
Environmental Restoration, Army	282,444	282,444	.....
Environmental Restoration, Navy	305,246	308,496	+3,250
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	320,060	320,060	.....
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	8,957	8,957	.....
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	238,927	238,927	.....
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	78,187	78,187	.....
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	221,332	221,332	.....
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account	37,478	37,478	.....
Total, Title II, Operation and Maintenance	338,624,638	336,136,438	−2,488,200
TITLE III—PROCUREMENT			
Aircraft Procurement, Army	1,933,769	2,837,225	+903,456
Missile Procurement, Army	12,130,430	11,421,617	−708,813
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	3,728,159	3,707,509	−20,650
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	5,469,588	5,376,381	−93,207
Other Procurement, Army	12,667,053	11,836,525	−830,528
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	26,947,023	24,777,133	−2,169,890
Weapons Procurement, Navy	11,769,111	11,424,510	−344,601
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	1,958,597	1,768,663	−189,934
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	60,176,117	56,673,695	−3,502,422
Other Procurement, Navy	18,866,679	17,486,518	−1,380,161
Procurement, Marine Corps	6,288,905	5,356,462	−932,443
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	26,985,236	27,449,748	+464,512
Missile Procurement, Air Force	6,811,174	6,762,886	−48,288
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	910,116	878,565	−31,551
Other Procurement, Air Force	39,199,963	37,277,255	−1,922,708
Procurement, Space Force	9,645,353	9,619,753	−25,600
Procurement, Defense-Wide	10,354,868	11,129,485	+774,617
Defense Production Act Purchases	477,287	552,787	+75,500

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2027—Continued

[Amounts in thousands]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
Defense Strategic Capital Credit Program	216,000	216,000	.....
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	1,000,000	1,000,000	.....
Total, Title III, Procurement	257,535,428	247,522,717	−9,982,711
TITLE IV—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION			
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	18,708,826	21,026,854	+2,318,028
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	32,386,619	34,570,694	+2,184,075
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	73,075,614	74,820,382	+1,744,768
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Space Force	38,373,489	35,319,886	−3,053,603
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	55,736,724	54,747,835	−988,889
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	112,365	127,365	+15,000
Golden Dome for America Fund	397,971	397,971	.....
Total, Title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	218,791,608	221,010,987	+2,219,379
TITLE V—REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS			
Defense Working Capital Funds	7,502,293	4,756,693	−2,745,600
National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund	5,700	5,700	.....
Total, Title V, Revolving and Management Funds	7,507,993	4,762,393	−2,745,600
TITLE VI—OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS			
Combat Operation Medical Program:			
Operation and maintenance	18,955,553	18,944,650	−10,903
Procurement	366,728	371,728	+5,000
Research, development, test and evaluation	1,019,362	2,087,862	+1,068,500
Total, Combat Operation Medicine Program	20,341,643	21,404,240	+1,062,597
Private Sector Care Program	22,175,472	21,993,389	−182,083
Total, Military Health Program	42,517,115	43,397,629	+880,514
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:			
Test and evaluation	55,972	55,972	.....
Total, Chemical Agents	55,972	55,972	.....
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	918,002	1,158,745	+240,743
Office of Inspector General	506,343	506,343	.....
Total, Title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs	43,997,432	45,118,689	+1,121,257
TITLE VII—RELATED AGENCIES			
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	514,000	514,000	.....
Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA)	591,000	575,350	−15,650
Total, Title VII, Related Agencies	1,105,000	1,089,350	−15,650
TITLE VIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS			
Additional transfer authority (Sec 8005)	(10,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(−7,000,000)
Rescissions (Sec. 8044)	.....	−405,165	−405,165
Red Cross and United Service Organizations (Sec. 8048)	.....	60,000	+60,000
O&M, Defense-Wide transfer authority (Sec. 8051)	(30,000)	(30,000)	.....
O&M, Army transfer authority (Sec. 8061)	(389,743)	(389,743)	.....
Fisher House O&M Army Navy Air Force transfer authority (Sec. 8063)	(11,000)	(11,000)	.....
Fisher House Foundation (Sec. 8064)	.....	5,000	+5,000
John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development (Sec. 8065)	.....	(1,000)	(+1,000)
Procurement, DW and RDT&E,DW Israeli Cooperative Programs transfer authority (Sec. 8068)	(500,000)	(500,000)	.....
Shipbuilding transfer authority (Sec. 8073)	(40,000)	(40,000)	.....
Defense Health O&M transfer authority (Sec. 8082)	(174,000)	(174,000)	.....
National Intelligence Program transfer authority (Sec. 8084)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2027—Continued

[Amounts in thousands]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
O&M, DW Replacement of defense articles transferred to Taiwan (Sec. 8136)	(1,000,000)	(1,000,000)	
Savings from Business Transformation and AI Deployment (Sec. 8144)		−1,000,000	−1,000,000
Operational Readiness (Sec. 8148)		2,950,000	+2,950,000
COCOM Contingency Fund (Sec. 8149)		3,250,000	+3,250,000
Qualification and Certification Innovation Fund (Sec. 8150)		2,750,000	+2,750,000
Compute Infrastructure (Sec. 8151)		5,250,000	+5,250,000
Total, Title VIII, General Provisions		12,859,835	+12,859,835
Grand total	1,072,683,299	1,072,683,299	
(Appropriations)	(1,072,683,299)	(1,073,088,464)	(+405,165)
(Rescissions)		(−405,165)	(−405,165)
(Transfer Authority)	(13,644,743)	(6,645,743)	(−6,999,000)
RECAPITULATION			
Title I—Military Personnel	205,121,200	204,152,890	−968,310
Title II—Operation and Maintenance	338,624,638	336,136,438	−2,488,200
Title III—Procurement	257,535,428	247,552,717	−9,982,711
Title IV—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	218,791,608	221,010,987	+2,219,379
Title V—Revolving and Management Funds	7,507,993	4,762,393	−2,745,600
Title VI—Other Department of Defense Programs	43,997,432	45,118,689	+1,121,257
Title VII—Related Agencies	1,105,000	1,089,350	−15,650
Title VIII—General Provisions		12,859,835	+12,859,835
Total, Department of Defense	1,072,683,299	1,072,683,299	
Total, mandatory and discretionary	1,072,724,299	1,072,724,299	

COMPARISON WITH THE BUDGET RESOLUTION

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the following table compares the levels of new budget authority provided in the bill with the appropriate allocation under section 302(b) of the Budget Act:

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) Allocation		This Bill	
	Budget Authority	Outlays	Budget Authority	Outlays
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees: Subcommittee on Defense				
Discretionary		0	1,072,210	[1] 930,384
Mandatory		0	514	[1] 514

[1] Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

FIVE-YEAR OUTLAY PROJECTIONS

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill as provided to the Committee by the Congressional Budget Office:

[In millions of dollars]

	Outlays
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:	
2027	[1] 592,928
2028	274,570
2029	93,784
2030	47,874
2031 and future years	41,393

[1] Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the Congressional Budget Office has provided the following estimates of new budget authority and outlays provided by the accompanying bill for financial assistance to state and local governments:

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget Authority	Outlays
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2027	N/A	[1] N/A

[1] Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

PROGRAM DUPLICATION

No provision of this bill establishes or reauthorizes a program of the Federal Government known to be duplicative of another federal program, a program that was included in any report from the Government Accountability Office to Congress pursuant to section 21 of Public Law 111–139, or a program related to a program identified in the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

COMMITTEE HEARINGS

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(6) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following hearings were used to develop or consider the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2027:

The Subcommittee on Defense held a hearing on March 19, 2026, entitled "Defense Member Day". The Subcommittee received testimony from:

The Honorable Sylvia R. Garcia

The Subcommittee on Defense held a budget hearing on April 16, 2026, entitled "The United States Army". The Subcommittee received testimony from:

The Honorable Daniel P. Driscoll, Secretary of the Army

General Christopher C. LaNeve, Vice Chief of Staff of the Army and Acting Chief of Staff of the Army

The Subcommittee on Defense held a budget hearing on April 17, 2026, entitled "National Guard and Reserve Forces". The Subcommittee received testimony from:

Lieutenant General Leonard F. Anderson, IV, Commander, United States Marine Corps Reserve

Lieutenant General Robert D. Harter, Chief of the Army Reserve and Commanding General, United States Army Reserve Command

Lieutenant General John P. Healy, Chief of Air Force Reserve

Rear Admiral Richard S. Lofgren, Acting Chief of Navy Reserve

General Steven S. Nordhaus, Chief of the National Guard Bureau

The Subcommittee on Defense held a budget hearing on April 30, 2026, entitled "The United States Air Force and Space Force". The Subcommittee received testimony from:

Dr. Troy E. Meink, Secretary of the Air Force

General B. Chance Saltzman, Chief of Space Operations

General Kenneth S. Wilsbach, Chief of Staff of the Air Force

The Subcommittee on Defense held a budget hearing on May 12, 2026, entitled "The Navy and Marine Corps". The Subcommittee received testimony from:

The Honorable Hung Cao, Acting Secretary of the Navy

Admiral Daryl Caudle, Chief of Naval Operations

General Eric M. Smith, Commandant of the Marine Corps

The Subcommittee on Defense held a budget hearing on May 12, 2026, entitled "The Department of Defense". The Subcommittee received testimony from:

The Honorable Pete Hegseth, Secretary of Defense

General Dan Caine, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII, CL. 3(d) (RAMSEYER RULE)

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets, new matter is printed in italics, existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

The comparative print required by clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives was not available at the time the report was filed.

CHANGES IN THE APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1)(A) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill that directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities which require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of law.

The bill includes a number of provisions which provide for the transfer of funds and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of law.

The bill includes a number of provisions, which have been virtually unchanged for many years that are technically considered legislation.

The bill provides that appropriations shall remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authorizing legislation does not presently authorize each extended availability.

In various places in the bill, the Committee has allocated funds within appropriation accounts in order to fund specific programs.

Language is included in various accounts placing a limitation on funds for emergencies and extraordinary expenses.

Language is included that provides not more than $2,981,000 for the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund.

Language is included that provides not less than $65,000,000 for the APEX Accelerators, of which not less than $6,000,000 shall be available for centers.

Language is included that prohibits the consolidation of certain legislative affairs or liaison offices.

Language is included that makes available $27,693,000 for certain classified activities, allows such funds to be transferred between certain accounts, and exempts such funds from the investment item unit cost ceiling.

Language is included under the heading "Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund" that provides for the use of funds for certain purposes, compliance with vetting standards, management of contributions, the submission of certain reports, and making certain funds unavailable until a report is submitted.

Language is included that limits the use of funds for official representation purposes under the heading "United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces."

Language is included that limits funds credited or transferred under the heading "Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account."

Language is included that provides for specific construction, acquisition, or conversion of vessels under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy."

Language is included that provides for the incurring of additional obligations for certain activities under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy."

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds provided under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" for the construction of any naval vessel, or the construction of major components for the construction or conversion of any naval vessel, in foreign facilities or shipyards.

Language is included under the heading "National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account" providing for the procurement of certain items and the submission of modernization priority assessments.

Language is included under the heading "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy" that provides funds for certain activities related to the V–22.

Language is included that specifies the use of certain funds provided under the heading "Combat and Operational Medicine Program."

Language is included that provides that not less than $15,000,000 of funds provided under the heading "Combat and Operational Medicine Program" shall be available for HIV prevention educational activities.

Language is included under the heading "Combat and Operational Medicine Program" that provides that not less than $916,500,000 shall be made available to the Defense Health Agency to carry out congressionally directed medical research programs.

Language is included that specifies the use of certain funds provided under the heading "Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense."

Language is included that specifies the use of certain funds provided under the heading "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense."

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Language is included that provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Language is included that limits the availability of funds.

Language is included that limits the obligation of funds during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Language is included that provides general transfer authority of funds to other military functions.

Language is included that provides that the tables titled "Explanation of Project Level Adjustments" shall be carried out in the

manner provided by the tables to the same extent as if the tables were included in the text of this Act.

Language is included that provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year.

Language is included that provides for limitations on the use of transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds to initiate multiyear procurement contracts.

Language is included that provides for the use of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs.

Language is included that provides for limitations on the use of the Defense Modernization Account.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to influence congressional action on any matters pending before Congress.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to reduce the number of strategic delivery vehicles and launchers.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protege Program.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Language is included that prohibits funds for any non-appropriated activity of the Department of Defense that procures malt beverages and wine except under certain conditions.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to demilitarize or dispose of certain surplus firearms and small arms ammunition or ammunition components.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Language is included that provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Language is included that provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units that are excess to the needs of the Air Force.

Language is included that provides funds for the mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands resulting from Department of Defense activities.

Language is included that prohibits funds for the Defense Media Activity from being used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Language is included that provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Language is included that prohibits funds from being used to establish new Department of Defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.

Language is included that defines the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that defines the congressional intelligence committees.

Language is included that provides for competition between private firms and Department of Defense depot maintenance activities.

Language is included that requires the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plate melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Language is included that provides for the revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy American Act.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to purchase supercomputers which are not manufactured in the United States.

Language is included that provides a waiver of Buy American provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds for the purchase or manufacture of a United States flag unless such flags are treated as covered items under Language is included that 4862(b) of title 10 U.S.C.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds contained in the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account.

Language is included that provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than $350,000.

Language is included that provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative Program.

Language is included that prohibits the sale of tobacco products in military resale outlets below the most competitive price in the local community.

Language is included that prohibits the use of Working Capital Funds to purchase specified investment items.

Language is included that provides limitations on the availability of funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency.

Language is included that places limitations on the use of funds made available in this Act to establish field operating agencies.

Language is included that places restrictions on converting to contractor performance an activity or function of the Department of Defense unless it meets certain guidelines.

Language is included that provides for rescissions.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to reduce authorized positions for military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Language is included that prohibits funds for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless specifically appropriated for that purpose.

Language is included that prohibits the transfer of Department of Defense and Central Intelligence Agency drug interdiction and counter-drug activities funds to other agencies except as specifically provided in an appropriations law.

Language is included that provides funding for Red Cross and United Service Organizations grants.

Language is included that provides funds for the Small Business Innovation Research program and the Small Business Technology Transfer program.

Language is included that prohibits funds for contractor bonuses being paid due to business restructuring.

Language is included that provides transfer authority for the pay of military personnel in connection with support and services for eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Language is included that provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Language is included that prohibits funds to retire C–40 aircraft, with certain exceptions.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use or inventory requirements.

Language is included that prohibits funds for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Language is included that provides obligation authority for new starts for defense innovation acceleration or rapid prototyping program only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that requires a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Language is included that provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to transfer certain ammunition.

Language is included that provides for a waiver by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Army to other activities of the federal government for classified purposes.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to separate, or to consolidate from within, the National Intelligence Program budget from the Department of Defense budget.

Language is included that provides the authority to transfer funding from operation and maintenance accounts for the Army, Navy, and Air Force to the central fund for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Language is included that provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the

needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Language is included that provides for the authority to transfer funding made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Navy to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund.

Language is included that prohibits the modification of command and control relationships to give Fleet Forces Command operational and administrative control of United States Navy forces assigned to the Pacific fleet.

Language is included that requires notification for the rapid acquisition and deployment of supplies and associated support services.

Language is included that provides funding and transfer authority for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Language is included that provides for the funding of prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Language is included that provides authorization for funds for intelligence and intelligence-related activities until the enactment of an Intelligence Authorization Act.

Language is included that prohibits funds to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement, or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Language is included that provides for special transfer authority for ship construction programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Language is included that prohibits funds for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Language is included that limits the availability of funding provided for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence beyond the current fiscal year, except for funds appropriated for research and technology.

Language is included that provides limitations on the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy appropriation.

Language is included that provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence for the current fiscal year.

Language is included that provides for limitations on funding provided for the National Intelligence Program to be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming or transfer of funds in accordance with the National Security Act of 1947.

Language is included that provides that any agency receiving funds made available in this Act shall post on a public website any report required to be submitted to Congress with certain exceptions.

Language is included that prohibits funds for federal contracts in excess of $1,000,000 unless the contractor meets certain conditions.

Language is included that provides funds for transfer to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language is included that provides for the purchase of heavy and light armored vehicles for the physical security of personnel or for force protection purposes up to a limit of $700,000 per vehicle.

Language is included that provides the Director of National Intelligence with general transfer authority, with certain limitations.

Language is included that authorizes the use of funds in the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy account to purchase two used auxiliary vessels for the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Language is included that directs the Secretary of Defense to post grant awards on a public website in a searchable format.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds by the National Security Agency for targeting United States persons under authorities granted in the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act.

Language is included that places restrictions on transfer amounts available to pay salaries for non-Department of Defense personnel.

Language is included that provides that operation and maintenance funds may be used for any purposes related to the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to award a new TAO Fleet Oiler or FFG Frigate program contract for the acquisition of certain components unless those components are manufactured in the United States.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds for the development and design of certain future naval ships unless any contract specifies that all hull, mechanical, and electrical components are manufactured in the United States.

Language is included that prohibits certain transfers from the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Account.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds for gaming or entertainment that involves nude entertainers.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds for information technology systems that do not have pornographic content filters.

Language is included that places restrictions on the use of funding for military parades.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to enter into a contract or provide a loan to any corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability.

Language is included that requires software developed, procured, or operated using covered funds to adhere to a modular open systems approach with a government-owned interface layer that is separate from vendor-specific application logic.

Language is included that provides funds for certain software pilot programs.

Language is included that prohibits the transfer of the National Reconnaissance Office to the Space Force.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds in contravention of the United Nations Convention Against Torture.

Language is included that prohibits funds to the Azov Battalion, the Third Separate Assault Brigade, or any successor organization.

Language is included that provides the authority to accept and retain contributions from foreign governments to carry out security assistance authorized by section 1250 of Public Law 114–92.

Language is included that provides for the obligation of funds in anticipation of receipt of contributions from the Government of Kuwait.

Language is included that provides funding for International Security Cooperation Programs.

Language is included that provides funding to reimburse certain countries in accordance with section 1226 of Public Law 114–92.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds in contravention of the War Powers Resolution.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds in violation of the Child Soldiers Prevention Act of 2008.

Language is included that prohibits funds for any member of the Taliban.

Language is included that provides certain support to friendly foreign countries be made in accordance with section 8005 of this Act.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to enter into a contract with Rosoboronexport.

Language is included that requires notification of the receipt of contributions from foreign governments and notification prior to obligating such funds.

Language is included that requires the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs to report on any unplanned activity or exercise.

Language is included that directs the Secretary of Defense to allocate amounts made available from the Creating Helpful Incentives to Produce Semiconductors (CHIPS) for America Defense Fund.

Language is included that requires notification if a foreign base is opened or closed.

Language is included that prohibits funds to establish permanent bases in Iraq or exercise United States control over Iraq or Syria oil resources.

Language is included that provides security assistance to Jordan.

Language is included that provides guidance on the implementation of the Policy for Assisted Reproductive Services for the Benefit of Seriously or Severely Ill/Injured Active-Duty Service Members.

Language is included that provides the authority for the Secretary of Defense to obligate funds to modify up to nine F–35 aircraft to a test configuration.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to integrate an alternative engine on any F–35 aircraft.

Language is included that provides for the use of funds for the rapid acquisition and deployment of supplies and associated support services.

Language is included that provides the authority for Defense Innovation Unit Fielding funds for expenses related to agile, research, development, test and evaluation, procurement, production modification, and operation and maintenance requirements, including initial acquisition of end-items for operational use.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to support the Wuhan Institute of Virology, or any laboratory owned or controlled by the governments of foreign adversaries.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds for any work to be performed by EcoHealth Alliance, Inc. in China on research supported by the Government of the People's Republic of China.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release to or within the United States of certain detainees.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to transfer any individual detained at United States Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the custody or control of the individual's country of origin or any other foreign country.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to construct, acquire, or modify any facility in the United States to house any individual detained at United States Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to carry out the closure of the United States Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Language is included that limits funds to divest, or prepare to divest, U–2 aircraft.

Language is included that requires the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly reports to House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on execution of funds provided from Public Law 119–21.

Language is included that requires the Secretary of Defense to obligate funds for the Next Generation Fighter program.

Language is included that provides funds for the establishment of a Platform (Offshore) Supply Vessel Program.

Language is included that prohibits funds for the cancellation of the UH–60 Blackhawk aircraft program.

Language is included that prohibits funds for the cancellation of the E–7 Wedgetail aircraft program.

Language is included that provides funds for the Taiwan Security Cooperation Initiative.

Language is included that provides authority for the Secretary of Defense to reimburse the Federated States of Micronesia.

Language is included that provides funds for the replacement of defense articles and reimbursement of defense services provided to Taiwan.

Language is included that prohibits funds to pause, cancel, or terminate Next-Generation Overhead Persistent Geosynchronous Earth Orbit and Next-Generation Overhead Persistent Infrared Polar programs.

Language is included that provides limitations on military construction transaction authorities.

Language is included that prohibits funds to divest F–15 aircraft.

Language is included that prohibits funding to remove a Chinese military company from the list required by section 1260H of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021.

Language is included that provides the authority for Defense Autonomous Warfare Group and Counter-Small Unmanned Aircraft

Systems (JIATF 401) funds for expenses related to agile research, development, test and evaluation, procurement, production modification, and operation and maintenance requirements, including initial acquisition of end-items for operational use.

Language is included that requires proceeds from the sale by the Secretary of Defense of any equity security, equity warrant, or similar instrument shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury.

Language is included that requires reporting no less than every 60 days on financial management and contracting details for unclassified procurement accounts and unclassified research, development, test and evaluation accounts.

Language is included that provides for efficiencies from business process modernization and artificial intelligence deployment.

Language is included that requires the United States Southern Command to assume combatant command responsibility for activities related to Mexico.

Language is included that prohibits funds for the United Nations Relief and Works Agency.

Language is included that limits funds for the Department of the Navy from entering into a contract to build the lead ship of the battleship program until certain criteria are met.

Language is included that provides funds to improve military readiness.

Language is included that provides funds to for a combatant commanders contingency fund.

Language is included that provides funds for innovation, expansion, and acceleration of qualification and certification activities and technical data management to enhance competition in the defense industrial base.

Language is included that provides funds for on premises infrastructure and compute capital expenses for hardware, electronics and network equipment, storage, and resiliency.

Language is included that prohibits funding to carry out the relocation, consolidation, or realignment of any Army Basic Combat Training unit.

Language is included that prohibits funding to conduct or support research using human fetal tissue if such tissue is obtained pursuant to an induced abortion.

Language is included that prohibits funding for surgical procedures or hormone therapies for the purposes of sex-rejecting procedures.

Language is included that prohibits funding for diversity equity and inclusion initiatives that promote or advance Critical Race Theory.

Language is included that prohibits funding for any member of Hamas, Hezbollah, the Houthis, or the Taliban.

Language is included that prohibits funding to promote, host, facilitate, or support events that violate the Department of Defense Joint Ethics Regulation.

Language is included that prohibits funding to implement, administer, or carry out the Department of Defense memorandum dated October 20, 2022.

Language is included that prohibits funding to take any discriminatory action against a person, wholly or partially, on the basis that such person speaks, or acts, in accordance with a sincerely held religious belief, or moral conviction, that marriage is, or should be recognized as, a union of one man and one woman.

Language is included that redesignates the Department of Defense as the Department of War.

Language is included that establishes a spending reduction account.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

[In thousands of dollars]

Agency/Program	Last year of authorization	Authorization Level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
Military Personnel, Army	2026	(1)	50,041,206	56,363,343
Military Personnel, Navy	2026	(1)	36,707,388	43,292,981
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	2026	(1)	15,268,629	17,815,905
Military Personnel, Air Force	2026	(1)	36,204,130	40,610,059
Military Personnel, Space Force	2026	(1)	1,256,973	1,780,331
Reserve Personnel, Army	2026	(1)	5,367,436	5,932,241
Reserve Personnel, Navy	2026	(1)	2,472,718	2,795,728
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	2026	(1)	878,928	1,058,142
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	2026	(1)	2,428,553	2,805,321
National Guard Personnel, Army	2026	(1)	9,791,213	11,492,865
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	2026	(1)	5,272,165	5,856,705
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions	2026	(1)	12,850,000	14,349,269
Operation and Maintenance, Army	2026	58,237,411	58,604,854	63,994,579
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	2026	73,860,909	71,972,007	81,673,408
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	2026	10,950,785	10,184,529	15,728,097
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	2026	61,810,146	61,471,101	73,679,124
Operation and Maintenance, Space Force	2026	5,670,086	4,895,818	8,800,801
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	2026	54,179,547	52,599,068	64,165,575
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2026	3,303,956	3,562,714	3,091,135
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	2026	1,422,291	1,370,710	1,468,610
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	2026	349,778	325,395	399,974
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	2026	4,097,726	4,005,756	4,675,853
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	2026	8,427,282	8,611,897	8,657,460
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	2026	7,331,738	7,335,405	7,981,154
Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund	2026	357,516	397,950	303,099
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	2026	21,243	16,620	21,698
Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund	2026	45,346	64,977	37,478
Environmental Restoration, Army	2026	148,070	241,860	282,444
Environmental Restoration, Navy	2026	357,949	410,240	308,496
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	2026	342,149	384,744	320,060
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	2026	8,885	8,965	8,957
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	2026	235,156	232,806	238,927
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	2026	103,446	142,500	78,187
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	2026	282,830	350,999	221,332
Aircraft Procurement, Army	2026	3,153,199	3,287,997	2,837,225
Missile Procurement, Army	2026	9,053,509	4,622,213	11,421,617
Procurement of WTCV, Army	2026	2,898,534	4,244,226	3,707,509
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	2026	3,981,235	2,943,574	5,376,381
Other Procurement, Army	2026	9,418,566	8,626,297	11,836,525
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	2026	17,004,401	19,826,909	24,777,133
Weapons Procurement, Navy	2026	5,816,100	5,876,828	11,424,510
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	2026	1,127,430	1,161,205	1,768,663
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	2026	25,973,719	33,665,493	56,673,695
Other Procurement, Navy	2026	13,895,012	14,385,665	17,486,518
Procurement, Marine Corps	2026	3,818,745	3,904,532	5,356,462
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	2026	18,084,232	20,828,306	27,449,748
Missile Procurement, Air Force	2026	4,590,776	4,693,647	6,792,886
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	2026	765,578	589,943	878,565
Other Procurement, Air Force	2026	31,547,144	31,327,131	37,277,255
Procurement, Space Force	2026	3,393,637	4,064,948	9,619,753
Procurement, Defense-Wide	2026	7,185,427	6,392,675	11,129,485
Defense Production Act Purchases (2)	2026	393,377	587,905	552,787
National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account	2026	100,000	1,000,000	100,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	2026	14,736,793	17,115,037	21,026,854
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	2026	25,732,646	27,964,807	34,570,694
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	2026	53,988,989	47,340,416	74,820,382
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Space Force	2026	16,615,855	18,669,844	35,319,886
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, DW	2026	34,306,189	36,892,886	54,747,835
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	2026	318,143	357,489	127,365
Defense Working Capital Funds	2026	1,632,237	1,786,779	4,756,693
National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund	2026	5,700	5,700	5,700
Military Health Program (3)	2026	40,531,123	39,898,624	43,397,629
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense	2026	213,282	1,091,844	55,972
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	2026	1,004,301	1,177,061	1,158,745
Office of Inspector General	2026	517,599	528,665	506,343
CIA Retirement and Disability System Fund	2026	514,000	514,000	514,000
Intelligence Community Management Account	2026	678,853	625,419	575,350

1/ The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026 authorizes $193,154,692 for military personnel
2/ Defense Production Act, as amended by P.L. 117-268 (50 U.S.C. 4561)
3/ Military Health Program combines the Combat and Operational Medicine Program and the Private Sector Care Program.

TRANSFERS OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following list includes the transfers included in the accompanying bill:

Language has been included under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for certain classified activities.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Army" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Navy" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Air Force" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense" which provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for military personnel of the reserve components; for operation and maintenance' for procurement; and for research, development, test and evaluation for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the Department of Defense.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8005" which provides for the transfer of working capital funds to other appropriations accounts of the Department of Defense military functions.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8008" which provides for transfer of funds between working capital funds and the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense" appropriation and the operation and maintenance appropriation accounts.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8015" which provides for the transfer of funds from the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protege Program to any other appropriation and the operation and maintenance appropriation accounts.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8051" which provides for the transfer of funds from the "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" to appropriations available for the pay of military personnel in connection with support and serv-

ices of eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8061" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Army" to other activities of the federal government.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8063" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Army", "Operation and Maintenance, Navy", and "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force" to the central fund established for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8064" which provides for the transfer of funds for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8065" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Navy" to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8073" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" to any available Navy ship construction appropriation for the purpose of liquidating necessary changes from inflation, market fluctuations, or rate adjustments.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8082" which provides for the transfer of funds appropriated for operation and maintenance for the Combat and Operational Medicine Program to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8084" which provides for the transfer of funds for the National Intelligence Program.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8136" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide".

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8148" which provides for the transfer of funds for the operation and maintenance accounts of the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, and Space Force (including National Guard and Reserve) for purposes of improving military readiness.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8149" which provides for the transfer of funds for a combatant commanders contingency fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8150" which provides for the transfer of funds for innovation, expansion, and acceleration of qualification and certification activities and technical data management to enhance competition in defense industrial base.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8151" which provides for the transfer of funds for on premises infrastructure and compute capital expenses for hardware, electronics and network equipment, storage, and resiliency.

RESCISSIONS OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the rescissions included in the accompanying bill:

2025 Appropriations:	
Procurement of Ammunition, Army:	
Reactive Armor Tiles	$19,165,000
2026 Appropriations:	
Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund:	
Counter-ISIS Train and Equip Fund	$20,000,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
Joint Effects Targeting System	$40,000,000
Synthetic Training Environment	$5,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
SBIR/STTR	$84,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:	
SBIR/STTR	$94,200,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide:	
SBIR/STTR	$142,800,000

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, neither the bill nor this report contains any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

○